

CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN ENDANGERED SPECIES
OF WILD FAUNA AND FLORA



Eighteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties
Geneva (Switzerland), 17-28 August 2019

Summary record of the fifteenth session for Committee I

26 August 2019: 14h00 - 17h10

Chair: R. Hay (New Zealand)

Secretariat: I. Camarena
T. De Meulenaer
K. Gaynor
M. Hitziger

Rapporteurs: A. Caromel
J. Gray
C. Stafford
E. Vovk

Adoption of Summary Records

CoP18 Com. I Rec. 8

The European Union requested that the following amendment be added under item 99, as a new fourth paragraph before that beginning “The Republic of Korea...”: “The European Union was of the opinion that the issue of *Aztekium valdezii* was not yet resolved.”

India requested additional text be added to the first paragraph under Proposal 51 as follows:

“It was highlighted by India that the non-detriment finding (NDF) study of *Dalbergia sissoo* was conducted and was positive for wild and cultivated stock. It reported that this species was abundant in forested and non-forested areas and did not meet criteria for listing on Appendix II. India noted that it did not permit any export of round logs or sawn wood, which it argued had been the basis for the genus listing in Appendix II at CoP17. It also maintained that this listing had negatively affected the livelihood of several thousand farmers and artisans.”

In the third paragraph under proposal 52, India asked that the last sentence be extended to include the following after “... Nepal”: “on similar lines of part (e), where such exemptions have been granted to part and derivatives of all species of *Dalbergia* originating and exported from Mexico, irrespective of their status of conservation.”

Summary record CoP18 Com. I Rec. 8 was adopted with these amendments.

CoP18 Com. I Rec. 9

The Democratic Republic of the Congo requested that a sentence be added at the end of the paragraph beginning “The United Republic of Tanzania requested clarification...”, to read as follows: Plywood and processed wood prior to the expansion of Annotation #5 will be considered as pre-convention stocks by the Democratic Republic of the Congo.; in the same paragraph, the United States of America asked that, in the sentence beginning “It added that although...”, it requested the words transformed wood be inserted before “was not an HS term”, and

that expanded annotation be added before the words “definition to be clearly expressed”. In the paragraph following draft decision 18.DD, it asked for the wording to be amended to:

“The United Republic of Tanzania, supported by China, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya, Lesotho, and Switzerland and the United States of America, expressed support for the amendment to the Annotation, along with the United States of America, that also supported the draft decisions proposed by the European Union.”

Under proposal CoP18 Prop. 56, the United States also asked that the common name of *Adansonia grandidieri*, “Grandidier’s Baobab” be removed from the text. Under proposal CoP18 Prop. 57, it asked that in the first paragraph, the word “a” preceding “18-month delay” be changed to an, and in the fourth paragraph, that “be conducive” be replaced by lead. Under the same proposal, Peru requested the following amendment:

“Peru ~~also opposed the proposal, reasoning~~ recommended that Parties should use national-level regulation to manage trade in *Cedreia* spp. before resorting to Appendix-II controls.”

TRAFFIC requested the following amendment:

“The Documentation Center for Species Protection supported the amendment. TRAFFIC, also on behalf of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), welcomed the new information provided by South Africa that addressed concerns raised in the IUCN-TRAFFIC analyses of this proposal. ~~echoed by the Documentation Center for Species Protection, supported the amendment.~~”

Summary record CoP18 CoP. I Rec. 9 was adopted with these amendments.

CoP18 Com. I Rec. 10

Summary record CoP18 Com. I Rec. 10 was adopted without amendments.

CoP18 Com. I Rec. 11

Nigeria requested that an amendment be made to the last sentence of the first paragraph under proposal 5, which would read as “Nigeria indicated that it had voted in favour of the original proposal.”

Summary record CoP18 Com. I Rec. 11 was adopted as amended.

68. Sharks and rays (Elasmobranchii spp.)

New Zealand, as chair of the working group on sharks and rays, introduced in-session document CoP18 Com. I. 5. It proposed an additional amendment to draft decision 18.CC a) i) D. as follows:

“recording stockpiles of commercial and/or pre-Convention ~~shark fins~~ shark parts and derivatives for CITES Appendix II elasmobranch species and controlling the entry of these stocks into trade; and”

It also proposed the following additional draft decision:

18.GG The Standing Committee shall

- a) develop guidance on the making of legal acquisition findings, and related assessments for introductions from the sea for CITES-listed shark species in the context of the implementation of Resolution Conf. 18.XX on *Legal acquisition findings*;
- b) develop new guidance or identify existing guidance on the control and monitoring of stockpiles of shark parts and derivatives, in particular for specimens caught prior to the inclusion of the species in Appendix II; and
- c) report its findings under Decision 18.GG a) and b) to the 19th meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

18.GGHH The Animals Committee...

With these changes, the draft decisions and the revisions to Resolution Conf. 12.6 (Rev. CoP17) on

Conservation and management of sharks in in-session document CoP18 Com. I. 5 were accepted and it was agreed to delete Decisions 17.209 to 17.216.

87. Conservation of the Titicaca water frog (*Telmatobius culeus*)

The United States, as chair of the working group on conservation of the Titicaca water frog (*Telmatobius culeus*), introduced in-session document CoP18 Com. I. 8.

The draft decisions in in-session document CoP18 Com. I. 8 were accepted.

85. Queen conch (*Strombus gigas*)

Belize introduced in-session document CoP18 Com. I. 9, noting that the decisions within reflected consensus from the drafting group.

The draft decisions in in-session document CoP18 Com. I. 9 were accepted, and it was agreed to delete Decisions 17.285 to 17.287 and 17.289.

46. Quotas for leopard hunting trophies

The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, as chair of the working group on quotas for leopard hunting trophies, introduced in-session document CoP18 Com. I. 10. It noted that, following discussions, the working group recommended the adoption of the decisions in Annex 3 to document CoP18 Doc. 46 as originally drafted. In-session document CoP18 Com. I. 10 suggested a number of changes to the revisions to Resolution Conf. 9.21 (Rev. CoP13) on *Interpretation and application of quotas for species included in Appendix I* outlined in Annex 2 to document CoP18 Doc. 46.

The revisions to Resolution Conf. 9.21 (Rev. CoP13) in the document were accepted, and it was agreed to delete Decisions 17.114 to 17.117. The draft decisions on *Quotas for leopard hunting trophies*, as proposed by the Secretariat in Annex 3 to document CoP18 Doc. 46 were accepted.

Proposals to amend the Appendices (cont.)

105. Proposals to amend Appendices I and II (cont.)

The Philippines presented the outcomes of the co-proponents' discussions on **proposal CoP18 Prop. 6** with Indonesia. The co-proponents did not support the amendment proposed by Indonesia that *Aonyx cinerea* be maintained on Appendix II with an annotation specifying a zero export quota for wild specimens for commercial purposes, and preferred that Parties consider the proposal as it had originally been introduced. Indonesia acknowledged that its discussions with India, Nepal and the Philippines had not reached consensus.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 6, with the amendment proposed by Indonesia, was put to a vote. With a vote of 30 Parties in favour, 79 against, and 22 abstentions, the amended proposal was rejected. The Chair then put the original proposal CoP18 Prop. 6 to a vote.

With a vote of 98 in favour, 16 against and 14 abstentions, proposal CoP18 Prop. 6 to transfer *Aonyx cinerea* from Appendix II to Appendix I was accepted.

The outcomes of discussions between Canada, Israel and the Russian Federation on **proposal CoP18 Prop. 13** to receive approval of proposed draft decisions were introduced by Israel. It noted that Canada had approved these, and that the Russian Federation had not provided a final response.

The following draft decisions were proposed:

Directed to the Secretariat

18.AA Subject to external funding, the Secretariat shall commission a study on the trade in mammoth ivory, and its impact and contribution to the illegal trade in elephant ivory and the poaching of elephants, and report its findings to the Standing Committee at its 74th meeting.

Directed to the Standing Committee

18.BB At its 74th meeting, the Standing Committee shall consider the report and findings provided by the Secretariat in accordance with Decision 18.AA, and make recommendations to the 19th meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

Canada wished to clarify that it had the opportunity to review the proposed decisions prepared by Israel and had no comments on the proposed text itself. However, Canada did not support the proposal or the draft decisions. The Russian Federation noted that it did not agree with the proposed decisions as the mammoth was an extinct species, and trade in its ivory was well regulated as paleontological objects. Both Parties expressed concerns regarding the value of the study in light of limited resources.

The Chair noted that the original listing proposal presented by Israel had been withdrawn, and that the Committee was now considering the proposal for the two draft decisions. He additionally noted that draft decision 18.AA included the caveat that the study was “subject to external funding”, which he believed might address one of the concerns raised by the Russian Federation.

South Africa made a point of order, and observed that the legal advice provided by the Secretariat concluded that listing an extinct species on a CITES Appendix was beyond the scope of the Convention, and may create a precedent that could be problematic in the future. Qatar echoed the concerns voiced by South Africa, and requested clarification from the Secretariat on how resources and funding would be allocated to conduct such a study.

The Secretariat clarified its view that the inclusion of an extinct species was out of the CITES mandate, and that the proposed study was restricted to a commodity in trade which potentially influenced illegal wildlife trade in a CITES-listed species. Regarding resource allocation, it noted that after the present meeting it would be collating all approved Decisions subject to external funding in order to seek sponsors for implementation, should they be agreed in Plenary.

The draft decisions were accepted by consensus.

Regarding amended **proposals CoP18 Prop. 24** (to include *Ceratophora erdeleni*, *C. karu* and *C. tennentii* in Appendix I, and *C. aspera* and *C. stoddartii* in Appendix II with a zero export quota for wild specimens for commercial purposes) and **CoP18 Prop. 26** (to include *Lyriocephalus scutatus* in Appendix II with a zero export quota for wild specimens for commercial purposes) the United States introduced proposed text for two draft decisions to address concerns regarding captive-bred specimens of *Ceratophora stoddarti*, *Ceratophora aspera* and *Lyriocephalus scutatus*. This was:

Directed to Secretariat

18.XX The Secretariat shall prepare a report to the 31st meeting of the Animals Committee on possible species-country combinations of *Ceratophora stoddartii*, *Ceratophora aspera* and *Lyriocephalus scutatus* for review under Resolution Conf. 17.7, on *Review of trade in animal specimens reported as produced in captivity*.

Directed to the Animals Committee

18. XX The Animals Committee shall review the report of the Secretariat and consider whether to select species-country combinations of *Ceratophora stoddartii*, *Ceratophora aspera* and *Lyriocephalus scutatus* for review under Resolution Conf. 17.7, on *Review of trade in animal specimens reported as produced in captivity*.

Sri Lanka agreed to the proposed text. The draft decisions were accepted.

Amended proposals CoP18 Prop. 24 and CoP18 Prop. 26 to include *Ceratophora erdeleni*, *C. karu* and *C. tennentii* in Appendix I, and *C. aspera* and *C. stoddartii* in Appendix II with a zero quota for wild specimens for commercial purposes, and *Lyriocephalus scutatus* in Appendix II with a zero export quota for wild specimens for commercial purposes respectively as amended by Sri Lanka and the European Union were accepted by consensus.

Species specific matters (cont.)

84. Helmeted hornbill (*Rhinoplax vigil*)

The United States, as chair of the working group on helmeted hornbill, reported on the outcomes from the group presented in in-session document CoP18 Com. I. 12, drawing attention to the fact that discussions had been on the basis of information document CoP18 Inf. 22. The draft decisions in document CoP18 Com.I.12 were accepted. It was agreed to delete Decisions 17.264 to 17.266.

Proposals to amend the Appendices (cont.)

105. Proposals to amend Appendices I and II

Viet Nam introduced **proposals CoP18 Prop. 33, Prop. 34 and Prop. 35** to transfer from Appendix II to Appendix I *Cuora bourreti*, *Cuora picturata* and *Mauremys annamensis*, noting that these proposals resulted from the Animals Committee's review in accordance with Resolution Conf. 14.8 (Rev. CoP17) on *Periodic review of the Appendices* and highlighting that all three species were categorized as Critically Endangered in the *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* and stating that all three species met the listing criteria for inclusion in Appendix I.

Mongolia supported the proposals.

Proposals CoP18 Prop. 33, Prop. 34 and Prop. 35 to transfer *Cuora bourreti*, *Cuora picturata* and *Mauremys annamensis* from Appendix II to Appendix I were accepted by consensus.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 36 to transfer *Geochelone elegans* from Appendix II to Appendix I was introduced by India. It underscored that the species was greatly threatened by poaching for the pet trade and said that populations of the species were believed to have suffered marked declines. India noted that an Appendix-I listing for the species would mean commercial captive-breeding operations would need to be registered with the Secretariat, a measure that would be likely to provide safeguards for the tortoise.

Bangladesh, Bhutan, the European Union, Kenya, Nepal, the Republic of Korea and Sri Lanka spoke in favour of the proposal.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 36 to transfer *Geochelone elegans* from Appendix II to Appendix I was accepted by consensus.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 37 to transfer *Malacochersus tornieri* from Appendix II to Appendix I was introduced by Kenya, underlining that the species had recently been categorized as Critically Endangered in the *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. It also underscored the low densities and isolated nature of populations of the species, its low reproduction potential, and depletion of its numbers for the pet trade. Kenya stated it would apply to trade commercially in specimens of the species from CITES-registered captive breeding operations, should the proposal be adopted.

Gabon and the United States supported the proposal. The United Republic of Tanzania opposed the proposal, noting that the Standing Committee had only just lifted a trade suspension for their country with regards to the pancake tortoise, on the condition that it implemented a zero export quota for wild specimens.

Seeing there was divided opinion on the proposal, the Chair requested it be put to a vote.

With a vote of 105 Parties in favour, 10 against and 10 abstentions, proposal CoP18 Prop. 37 to transfer *Malacochersus tornieri* from Appendix II to Appendix I was accepted.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 38 to include glass frogs of the genera *Hyalinobatrachium*, *Centrolene*, *Cochranella* and *Sachatamia* in Appendix II was introduced by Costa Rica. It referred to the transparency of the frogs' skin, which rendered them highly sought after by collectors. It also referred to difficulties in identification of the species within the four genera.

Burkina Faso, on behalf also of Benin, Cabo Verde, the Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Mali, Niger, Senegal and Togo, supported the proposal, observing that it was supported by most range States for the species. Honduras and the United States also supported the proposal, the latter observing that that listing would be a precautionary approach while still allowing trade.

The European Union, stating that most trade in the genera concerned was in species that were categorized as Least Concern in the *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*, said it was not clear how a CITES listing would be beneficial and opposed the proposal as it had been presented. It commented that it would be able to support the proposal if it were amended, for a future CoP, to be restricted to species of the genera that met CITES listing criteria.

Costa Rica stated it wished their original proposal to stand.

The Chair called for a vote on the proposal. With a vote of 75 Parties in favour, 40 against and 11 abstentions, proposal CoP18 Prop. 38 to include glass frogs of the genera *Hyalinobatrachium*, *Centrolene*, *Cochranella* and *Sachatamia* in Appendix II was rejected.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 39 to include *Echinotriton chinhaiensis* and *E. maxiquadratus* in Appendix II, **proposal CoP18 Prop. 40** to include *Paramesotriton* spp. in Appendix II, and **proposal CoP18 Prop. 41** to include *Tylototriton* spp. in Appendix II, were introduced together by China. It noted that although some species met the requirements for listing in Appendix I, it was proposing listing in Appendix II lest an Appendix-I listing render the species more desirable to international collectors. It stated that difficulties in identifying individuals to species level necessitated a genus-level listing for *Paramesotriton* and *Tylototriton* to aid enforcement due to their very similar color and size morphology.

In reaction to a proposed amendment by TRAFFIC regarding the wording of the listing in proposal CoP18 Prop. 40, China wished to retain the proposal as originally presented.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 39 to include *Echinotriton chinhaiensis* and *E. maxiquadratus* in Appendix II, proposal CoP18 Prop. 40 to include *Paramesotriton* spp. in Appendix II, and proposal CoP18 Prop. 41 to include *Tylototriton* spp. in Appendix II, were all accepted by consensus.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 46 to include *Poecilotheria* spp. in Appendix II was introduced by Sri Lanka, highlighting that difficulties in identification, including the fact that juveniles did not possess the morphological characteristics used to identify adults, required the whole genus to be listed.

India supported the proposal.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 46 to include *Poecilotheria* spp. in Appendix II was accepted by consensus.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 47 to include *Achillides chikae hermeli* in Appendix I, was introduced by the Philippines. It contained the proposal for adoption of new standard nomenclature for Papilionidae in the Philippines, which also would result in a change to the name of *Papilio chikae* in Appendix I to *Achillides chikae chikae*. They explained that although the subspecies *A. c. hermeli* met criterion A of Annex 2 B of Resolution Conf 9.24 (Rev. CoP17) on *Criteria for amendment of Appendices I and II* for inclusion in Appendix II, they proposed inclusion in Appendix I to avoid split-listing of the species *A. chikae* and to aid enforcement authorities unable to differentiate between the subspecies.

China expressed concern with the proposal to amend the standard nomenclature, arguing that the proposed standard nomenclature did not reflect the scientific consensus on Papilionidae in Asia and should not be adopted. While they did not oppose the inclusion of *A. c. hermeli* in Appendix I, they recommended that the proposal be amended to refer to *Papilio hermeli*.

The Nomenclature Specialist for the Animals Committee clarified that the proposed standard reference would apply for Papilionidae from the Philippines only, and therefore would only impact the names of the two subspecies in question.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 47 to include *Achillides chikae hermeli* in Appendix I was accepted by consensus, and it was agreed to include Page & Treadwell 2004 in Resolution Conf. 12.11 on *Standard nomenclature* as a standard nomenclature reference for *Achillides chikae*.

Proposal CoP18 Prop. 48 to include *Parides burchellanus* in Appendix I was introduced by Brazil and accepted by consensus.

In-session document CoP18 Com. I. 11 was presented by Canada as chair of the in-session drafting group on Annotation #15 and its related definitions that had been established following discussion of proposal CoP18 Prop. 52. The document contained the results of the drafting group, comprising a revision to part b)

of the Annotation, the addition of definitions for “shipment” and “10kg per shipment” to include in the interpretation of the Appendices, and a draft decision directed to the Secretariat.

Brazil, supported by the European Union, Kenya and the United States, suggested including “finished products up to 10kg per shipment and” before “finished musical instruments” in the draft decision. The United States, supported by the European Union and Gabon, proposed adding the following to the draft decisions:

18.AA b) bring any scientific or technical issues to the attention of the Plants Committee and seek its advice; and

c) report the results of its evaluation along with its recommendations to the Standing Committee.

Directed to the Standing Committee

18.BB The Standing Committee shall:

In the context of its work on annotations under the relevant decisions, consider any report by the Secretariat in accordance with Decision 18.AA, undertake additional evaluation if necessary and report to the 19th meeting of the Conference of the Parties. If warranted, the Standing Committee may work with relevant Parties in preparing an amendment proposal for the 19th meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

Regarding the definition of “shipment”, Kenya suggested adding “or as personal baggage” after “air waybill”, while the European Union suggested adding “or [pieces] worn, carried or included in personal baggage” after “packages”. As this mirrored the wording in Resolution Conf. 13.7 (Rev. CoP17) on *Control of trade in personal and household effects*, the amendment proposed by the European Union was agreed.

India felt that the drafting group had not fully addressed its concerns and that of Bangladesh, Bhutan and Nepal on the livelihood issues of farmers and artisans, particularly regarding part b) of annotation #15. It stressed that a non-detriment finding for *Dalbergia sissoo* by India had demonstrated its abundance in the wild and agricultural fields. It reiterated its request that handicraft items of *Dalbergia sissoo* be included in Annotation #15, either as a part f) to the annotation reading: “Finished products and items made with *Dalbergia* species originating and exported from India, Nepal, Bangladesh and Bhutan”, or as an amendment to part b) of the annotation to read: “Finished products of the wood from *Dalbergia* spp. for which NDF study has been conducted and NDF study is positive”. It wished that the matter be referred to the Standing Committee or Plants Committee or in an intersessional working group if it could not be resolved during the 18th meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

The Chair considered that the wording of the annotation had been the mandate of the drafting group, and that the amendments proposed by India were too substantive to consider in the session. He also drew attention to the fact that the draft decisions included provisions for issues to be raised with the Standing Committee and the Plants Committee, and that India’s concerns would be addressed over time, including through implementation of the proposed decisions.

The revised Annotation #15 proposed in in-session document CoP18 Com. I. 11, the proposed draft decisions in the document as amended by Brazil and the United States of America, and the definitions in the document as amended by the European Union, were accepted.

The meeting was closed at 17h11.



Motion Proposal 6 as amended by Indonesia [26.08.2019 2:5

Proposal 6 as amended by Indonesia

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 27.52%

1	Afghanistan	ABST
2	Albania	Not Allowed
3	Algeria	YES
4	Angola	Not Present
5	Antigua and Barbuda	NO
6	Argentina	NO
7	Armenia	NO
8	Australia	NO
9	Austria	NO (eu)
10	Azerbaijan	NO
11	Bahamas	NO
12	Bahrain	Not Present
13	Bangladesh	NO
14	Barbados	ABST
15	Belarus	Not Allowed
16	Belgium	NO (eu)
17	Belize	YES
18	Benin	Not Present
19	Bhutan	NO
20	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Not Present
21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Not Allowed
22	Botswana	NO
23	Brazil	ABST
24	Brunei Darussalam	Not Allowed
25	Bulgaria	NO (eu)
26	Burkina Faso	NO
27	Burundi	NO
28	Cabo Verde	YES
29	Cambodia	YES
30	Cameroon	ABST
31	Canada	NO
32	Central African Republic	NO
33	Chad	NO
34	Chile	NO
35	China	YES
36	Colombia	ABST
37	Comoros	Not Present
38	Congo	Not Allowed
39	Costa Rica	NO
40	Côte d'Ivoire	NO
41	Croatia	NO (eu)
42	Cuba	YES
43	Cyprus	NO (eu)
44	Czech Republic	NO (eu)
45	Democratic Republic of the Congo	YES
46	Denmark	NO (eu)
47	Djibouti	Not Allowed
48	Dominica	Not Allowed
49	Dominican Republic	YES



Motion Proposal 6 as amended by Indonesia [26.08.2019 2:5

Proposal 6 as amended by Indonesia

50	Ecuador	YES
51	Egypt	NO
52	El Salvador	Not Allowed
53	Equatorial Guinea	Not Allowed
54	Eritrea	ABST
55	Estonia	NO (eu)
56	Eswatini	ABST
57	Ethiopia	NO
58	European Union	Voted
59	Fiji	YES
60	Finland	NO (eu)
61	France	NO (eu)
62	Gabon	NO
63	Gambia	Not Allowed
64	Georgia	NO
65	Germany	NO (eu)
66	Ghana	YES
67	Greece	NO (eu)
68	Grenada	Not Allowed
69	Guatemala	NO
70	Guinea	Not Present
71	Guinea-Bissau	Not Allowed
72	Guyana	YES
73	Honduras	NO
74	Hungary	NO (eu)
75	Iceland	NO
76	India	NO
77	Indonesia	YES
78	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Not Allowed
79	Iraq	Not Allowed
80	Ireland	NO (eu)
81	Israel	NO
82	Italy	NO (eu)
83	Jamaica	ABST
84	Japan	YES
85	Jordan	NO
86	Kazakhstan	Not Allowed
87	Kenya	YES
88	Kuwait	Not Present
89	Kyrgyzstan	Not Present
90	Lao People's Democratic Republic	YES
91	Latvia	NO (eu)
92	Lebanon	NO
93	Lesotho	Present
94	Liberia	Not Allowed
95	Libya	Not Allowed
96	Liechtenstein	YES
97	Lithuania	NO (eu)
98	Luxembourg	NO (eu)
99	Madagascar	ABST
100	Malawi	NO
101	Malaysia	YES
102	Maldives	NO



Motion Proposal 6 as amended by Indonesia [26.08.2019 2:5

Proposal 6 as amended by Indonesia

103	Mali	NO
104	Malta	NO (eu)
105	Mauritania	Not Present
106	Mauritius	Not Allowed
107	Mexico	YES
108	Monaco	NO
109	Mongolia	NO
110	Montenegro	NO
111	Morocco	NO
112	Mozambique	ABST
113	Myanmar	ABST
114	Namibia	ABST
115	Nepal	NO
116	Netherlands	NO (eu)
117	New Zealand	ABST
118	Nicaragua	YES
119	Niger	YES
120	Nigeria	Present
121	North Macedonia	NO
122	Norway	YES
123	Oman	Not Present
124	Pakistan	NO
125	Palau	Not Present
126	Panama	Not Allowed
127	Papua New Guinea	YES
128	Paraguay	Not Allowed
129	Peru	NO
130	Philippines	NO
131	Poland	NO (eu)
132	Portugal	NO (eu)
133	Qatar	NO
134	Republic of Korea	NO
135	Republic of Moldova	Not Present
136	Romania	NO (eu)
137	Russian Federation	ABST
138	Rwanda	YES
139	Saint Kitts and Nevis	NO
140	Saint Lucia	Not Present
141	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Not Present
142	Samoa	ABST
143	San Marino	Not Allowed
144	Sao Tome and Principe	Not Allowed
145	Saudi Arabia	ABST
146	Senegal	NO
147	Serbia	Not Allowed
148	Seychelles	ABST
149	Sierra Leone	ABST
150	Singapore	NO
151	Slovakia	NO (eu)
152	Slovenia	NO (eu)
153	Solomon Islands	Present
154	Somalia	Not Present
155	South Africa	YES



Motion Proposal 6 as amended by Indonesia [26.08.2019 2:5

Proposal 6 as amended by Indonesia

156	Spain	NO (eu)
157	Sri Lanka	NO
158	Sudan	YES
159	Suriname	ABST
160	Sweden	NO (eu)
161	Switzerland	YES
162	Syrian Arab Republic	Not Allowed
163	Tajikistan	Present
164	Thailand	ABST
165	Togo	NO
166	Tonga	Not Present
167	Trinidad and Tobago	Not Present
168	Tunisia	NO
169	Turkey	NO
170	Uganda	NO
171	Ukraine	ABST
172	United Arab Emirates	YES
173	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	NO (eu)
174	United Republic of Tanzania	Present
175	United States of America	NO
176	Uruguay	YES
177	Uzbekistan	Not Allowed
178	Vanuatu	Not Present
179	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Not Present
180	Viet Nam	ABST
181	Yemen	Not Allowed
182	Zambia	YES
183	Zimbabwe	Present



Motion Proposal 6 - Original [26.08.2019 2:57:28 PM]

Proposal 6 - Original

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 85.96%

1	Afghanistan	YES
2	Albania	Not Allowed
3	Algeria	YES
4	Angola	Not Present
5	Antigua and Barbuda	YES
6	Argentina	YES
7	Armenia	Present
8	Australia	YES
9	Austria	YES (eu)
10	Azerbaijan	Present
11	Bahamas	YES
12	Bahrain	Not Present
13	Bangladesh	YES
14	Barbados	ABST
15	Belarus	Not Allowed
16	Belgium	YES (eu)
17	Belize	YES
18	Benin	Not Present
19	Bhutan	YES
20	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Not Present
21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Not Allowed
22	Botswana	NO
23	Brazil	YES
24	Brunei Darussalam	Not Allowed
25	Bulgaria	YES (eu)
26	Burkina Faso	YES
27	Burundi	YES
28	Cabo Verde	YES
29	Cambodia	YES
30	Cameroon	YES
31	Canada	NO
32	Central African Republic	YES
33	Chad	YES
34	Chile	YES
35	China	NO
36	Colombia	ABST
37	Comoros	Not Present
38	Congo	Not Allowed
39	Costa Rica	YES
40	Côte d'Ivoire	YES
41	Croatia	YES (eu)
42	Cuba	YES
43	Cyprus	YES (eu)
44	Czech Republic	YES (eu)
45	Democratic Republic of the Congo	NO
46	Denmark	YES (eu)
47	Djibouti	Not Allowed
48	Dominica	Not Allowed
49	Dominican Republic	YES



Motion Proposal 6 - Original [26.08.2019 2:57:28 PM]

Proposal 6 - Original

50	Ecuador	YES
51	Egypt	YES
52	El Salvador	Not Allowed
53	Equatorial Guinea	Not Allowed
54	Eritrea	Present
55	Estonia	YES (eu)
56	Eswatini	YES
57	Ethiopia	YES
58	European Union	Voted
59	Fiji	ABST
60	Finland	YES (eu)
61	France	YES (eu)
62	Gabon	YES
63	Gambia	Not Allowed
64	Georgia	YES
65	Germany	YES (eu)
66	Ghana	YES
67	Greece	YES (eu)
68	Grenada	Not Allowed
69	Guatemala	YES
70	Guinea	Not Present
71	Guinea-Bissau	Not Allowed
72	Guyana	NO
73	Honduras	YES
74	Hungary	YES (eu)
75	Iceland	NO
76	India	YES
77	Indonesia	NO
78	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Not Allowed
79	Iraq	Not Allowed
80	Ireland	YES (eu)
81	Israel	YES
82	Italy	YES (eu)
83	Jamaica	ABST
84	Japan	NO
85	Jordan	YES
86	Kazakhstan	Not Allowed
87	Kenya	YES
88	Kuwait	Not Present
89	Kyrgyzstan	Not Present
90	Lao People's Democratic Republic	NO
91	Latvia	YES (eu)
92	Lebanon	YES
93	Lesotho	ABST
94	Liberia	Not Allowed
95	Libya	Not Allowed
96	Liechtenstein	YES
97	Lithuania	YES (eu)
98	Luxembourg	YES (eu)
99	Madagascar	Present
100	Malawi	YES
101	Malaysia	NO
102	Maldives	YES



Motion Proposal 6 - Original [26.08.2019 2:57:28 PM]

Proposal 6 - Original

103	Mali	YES
104	Malta	YES (eu)
105	Mauritania	Not Present
106	Mauritius	Not Allowed
107	Mexico	NO
108	Monaco	YES
109	Mongolia	NO
110	Montenegro	YES
111	Morocco	YES
112	Mozambique	ABST
113	Myanmar	ABST
114	Namibia	ABST
115	Nepal	YES
116	Netherlands	YES (eu)
117	New Zealand	ABST
118	Nicaragua	YES
119	Niger	YES
120	Nigeria	YES
121	North Macedonia	YES
122	Norway	NO
123	Oman	Not Present
124	Pakistan	YES
125	Palau	Not Present
126	Panama	Not Allowed
127	Papua New Guinea	NO
128	Paraguay	Not Allowed
129	Peru	YES
130	Philippines	YES
131	Poland	YES (eu)
132	Portugal	YES (eu)
133	Qatar	YES
134	Republic of Korea	YES
135	Republic of Moldova	Not Present
136	Romania	YES (eu)
137	Russian Federation	ABST
138	Rwanda	YES
139	Saint Kitts and Nevis	YES
140	Saint Lucia	Not Present
141	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Not Present
142	Samoa	ABST
143	San Marino	Not Allowed
144	Sao Tome and Principe	Not Allowed
145	Saudi Arabia	YES
146	Senegal	YES
147	Serbia	Not Allowed
148	Seychelles	ABST
149	Sierra Leone	YES
150	Singapore	YES
151	Slovakia	YES (eu)
152	Slovenia	YES (eu)
153	Solomon Islands	Present
154	Somalia	Not Present
155	South Africa	NO



Motion Proposal 6 - Original [26.08.2019 2:57:28 PM]

Proposal 6 - Original

156	Spain	YES (eu)
157	Sri Lanka	YES
158	Sudan	YES
159	Suriname	ABST
160	Sweden	YES (eu)
161	Switzerland	YES
162	Syrian Arab Republic	Not Allowed
163	Tajikistan	Present
164	Thailand	YES
165	Togo	YES
166	Tonga	Not Present
167	Trinidad and Tobago	Not Present
168	Tunisia	YES
169	Turkey	YES
170	Uganda	NO
171	Ukraine	YES
172	United Arab Emirates	YES
173	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	YES (eu)
174	United Republic of Tanzania	Present
175	United States of America	YES
176	Uruguay	YES
177	Uzbekistan	Not Allowed
178	Vanuatu	Not Present
179	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Not Present
180	Viet Nam	ABST
181	Yemen	Not Allowed
182	Zambia	Present
183	Zimbabwe	Present



Motion Proposal 37 [26.08.2019 4:07:18 PM]

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 91.30%

1	Afghanistan	Present
2	Albania	Not Allowed
3	Algeria	Not Present
4	Angola	Not Present
5	Antigua and Barbuda	ABST
6	Argentina	YES
7	Armenia	Present
8	Australia	YES
9	Austria	YES (eu)
10	Azerbaijan	YES
11	Bahamas	YES
12	Bahrain	Not Present
13	Bangladesh	Present
14	Barbados	Present
15	Belarus	Not Allowed
16	Belgium	YES (eu)
17	Belize	NO
18	Benin	Present
19	Bhutan	YES
20	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Present
21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Not Allowed
22	Botswana	NO
23	Brazil	YES
24	Brunei Darussalam	Not Allowed
25	Bulgaria	YES (eu)
26	Burkina Faso	YES
27	Burundi	YES
28	Cabo Verde	YES
29	Cambodia	YES
30	Cameroon	YES
31	Canada	YES
32	Central African Republic	YES
33	Chad	YES
34	Chile	YES
35	China	YES
36	Colombia	ABST
37	Comoros	Not Present
38	Congo	Not Allowed
39	Costa Rica	YES
40	Côte d'Ivoire	YES
41	Croatia	YES (eu)
42	Cuba	YES
43	Cyprus	YES (eu)
44	Czech Republic	YES (eu)
45	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Present
46	Denmark	YES (eu)
47	Djibouti	Not Allowed
48	Dominica	Not Allowed
49	Dominican Republic	YES



Motion Proposal 37 [26.08.2019 4:07:18 PM]

50	Ecuador	YES
51	Egypt	Not Present
52	El Salvador	Not Allowed
53	Equatorial Guinea	Not Allowed
54	Eritrea	YES
55	Estonia	YES (eu)
56	Eswatini	NO
57	Ethiopia	ABST
58	European Union	Voted
59	Fiji	YES
60	Finland	YES (eu)
61	France	YES (eu)
62	Gabon	YES
63	Gambia	Not Allowed
64	Georgia	YES
65	Germany	YES (eu)
66	Ghana	YES
67	Greece	YES (eu)
68	Grenada	Not Allowed
69	Guatemala	YES
70	Guinea	YES
71	Guinea-Bissau	Not Allowed
72	Guyana	ABST
73	Honduras	YES
74	Hungary	YES (eu)
75	Iceland	YES
76	India	YES
77	Indonesia	NO
78	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Not Allowed
79	Iraq	Not Allowed
80	Ireland	YES (eu)
81	Israel	YES
82	Italy	YES (eu)
83	Jamaica	YES
84	Japan	ABST
85	Jordan	YES
86	Kazakhstan	Not Allowed
87	Kenya	YES
88	Kuwait	Not Present
89	Kyrgyzstan	Not Present
90	Lao People's Democratic Republic	YES
91	Latvia	YES (eu)
92	Lebanon	YES
93	Lesotho	Not Present
94	Liberia	Not Allowed
95	Libya	Not Allowed
96	Liechtenstein	YES
97	Lithuania	YES (eu)
98	Luxembourg	YES (eu)
99	Madagascar	YES
100	Malawi	ABST
101	Malaysia	YES
102	Maldives	YES



Motion Proposal 37 [26.08.2019 4:07:18 PM]

103	Mali	YES
104	Malta	YES (eu)
105	Mauritania	Not Present
106	Mauritius	Not Allowed
107	Mexico	YES
108	Monaco	YES
109	Mongolia	YES
110	Montenegro	YES
111	Morocco	Not Present
112	Mozambique	NO
113	Myanmar	ABST
114	Namibia	NO
115	Nepal	YES
116	Netherlands	YES (eu)
117	New Zealand	YES
118	Nicaragua	YES
119	Niger	YES
120	Nigeria	YES
121	North Macedonia	YES
122	Norway	YES
123	Oman	Not Present
124	Pakistan	YES
125	Palau	Not Present
126	Panama	Not Allowed
127	Papua New Guinea	YES
128	Paraguay	Not Allowed
129	Peru	YES
130	Philippines	YES
131	Poland	YES (eu)
132	Portugal	YES (eu)
133	Qatar	YES
134	Republic of Korea	YES
135	Republic of Moldova	Not Present
136	Romania	YES (eu)
137	Russian Federation	ABST
138	Rwanda	YES
139	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Not Present
140	Saint Lucia	Not Present
141	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Not Present
142	Samoa	YES
143	San Marino	Not Allowed
144	Sao Tome and Principe	Not Allowed
145	Saudi Arabia	Present
146	Senegal	YES
147	Serbia	Not Allowed
148	Seychelles	ABST
149	Sierra Leone	YES
150	Singapore	YES
151	Slovakia	YES (eu)
152	Slovenia	YES (eu)
153	Solomon Islands	Present
154	Somalia	Not Present
155	South Africa	NO



Motion Proposal 37 [26.08.2019 4:07:18 PM]

156	Spain	YES (eu)
157	Sri Lanka	YES
158	Sudan	YES
159	Suriname	YES
160	Sweden	YES (eu)
161	Switzerland	YES
162	Syrian Arab Republic	Not Allowed
163	Tajikistan	Present
164	Thailand	YES
165	Togo	Not Present
166	Tonga	Not Present
167	Trinidad and Tobago	Not Present
168	Tunisia	YES
169	Turkey	YES
170	Uganda	YES
171	Ukraine	YES
172	United Arab Emirates	YES
173	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	YES (eu)
174	United Republic of Tanzania	NO
175	United States of America	YES
176	Uruguay	YES
177	Uzbekistan	Not Allowed
178	Vanuatu	Not Present
179	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	ABST
180	Viet Nam	YES
181	Yemen	Not Allowed
182	Zambia	NO
183	Zimbabwe	NO



Motion Proposal 38 [26.08.2019 4:24:24 PM]

Proposal 38

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 65.22%

1	Afghanistan	YES
2	Albania	Not Allowed
3	Algeria	Not Present
4	Angola	Not Present
5	Antigua and Barbuda	YES
6	Argentina	YES
7	Armenia	YES
8	Australia	YES
9	Austria	NO (eu)
10	Azerbaijan	Not Present
11	Bahamas	YES
12	Bahrain	Not Present
13	Bangladesh	YES
14	Barbados	YES
15	Belarus	Not Allowed
16	Belgium	NO (eu)
17	Belize	YES
18	Benin	YES
19	Bhutan	YES
20	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	YES
21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Not Allowed
22	Botswana	NO
23	Brazil	YES
24	Brunei Darussalam	Not Allowed
25	Bulgaria	NO (eu)
26	Burkina Faso	YES
27	Burundi	YES
28	Cabo Verde	YES
29	Cambodia	YES
30	Cameroon	Present
31	Canada	NO
32	Central African Republic	YES
33	Chad	YES
34	Chile	YES
35	China	ABST
36	Colombia	ABST
37	Comoros	Not Present
38	Congo	Not Allowed
39	Costa Rica	YES
40	Côte d'Ivoire	YES
41	Croatia	NO (eu)
42	Cuba	YES
43	Cyprus	NO (eu)
44	Czech Republic	NO (eu)
45	Democratic Republic of the Congo	ABST
46	Denmark	NO (eu)
47	Djibouti	Not Allowed
48	Dominica	Not Allowed
49	Dominican Republic	YES



Motion Proposal 38 [26.08.2019 4:24:24 PM]

Proposal 38

50	Ecuador	Present
51	Egypt	Not Present
52	El Salvador	Not Allowed
53	Equatorial Guinea	Not Allowed
54	Eritrea	YES
55	Estonia	NO (eu)
56	Eswatini	ABST
57	Ethiopia	ABST
58	European Union	Voted
59	Fiji	YES
60	Finland	NO (eu)
61	France	NO (eu)
62	Gabon	YES
63	Gambia	Not Allowed
64	Georgia	YES
65	Germany	NO (eu)
66	Ghana	YES
67	Greece	NO (eu)
68	Grenada	Not Allowed
69	Guatemala	YES
70	Guinea	YES
71	Guinea-Bissau	Not Allowed
72	Guyana	NO
73	Honduras	YES
74	Hungary	NO (eu)
75	Iceland	NO
76	India	YES
77	Indonesia	NO
78	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Not Allowed
79	Iraq	Not Allowed
80	Ireland	NO (eu)
81	Israel	YES
82	Italy	NO (eu)
83	Jamaica	YES
84	Japan	YES
85	Jordan	YES
86	Kazakhstan	Not Allowed
87	Kenya	Present
88	Kuwait	Not Present
89	Kyrgyzstan	Not Present
90	Lao People's Democratic Republic	YES
91	Latvia	NO (eu)
92	Lebanon	YES
93	Lesotho	Not Present
94	Liberia	Not Allowed
95	Libya	Not Allowed
96	Liechtenstein	YES
97	Lithuania	NO (eu)
98	Luxembourg	NO (eu)
99	Madagascar	YES
100	Malawi	YES
101	Malaysia	YES
102	Maldives	YES



Motion Proposal 38 [26.08.2019 4:24:24 PM]

Proposal 38

103	Mali	YES
104	Malta	NO (eu)
105	Mauritania	Not Present
106	Mauritius	Not Allowed
107	Mexico	YES
108	Monaco	ABST
109	Mongolia	Present
110	Montenegro	NO
111	Morocco	Not Present
112	Mozambique	ABST
113	Myanmar	ABST
114	Namibia	ABST
115	Nepal	ABST
116	Netherlands	NO (eu)
117	New Zealand	YES
118	Nicaragua	YES
119	Niger	YES
120	Nigeria	YES
121	North Macedonia	NO
122	Norway	NO
123	Oman	Not Present
124	Pakistan	YES
125	Palau	Not Present
126	Panama	Not Allowed
127	Papua New Guinea	YES
128	Paraguay	Not Allowed
129	Peru	YES
130	Philippines	YES
131	Poland	NO (eu)
132	Portugal	NO (eu)
133	Qatar	YES
134	Republic of Korea	YES
135	Republic of Moldova	Not Present
136	Romania	NO (eu)
137	Russian Federation	NO
138	Rwanda	YES
139	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Not Present
140	Saint Lucia	Not Present
141	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Not Present
142	Samoa	ABST
143	San Marino	Not Allowed
144	Sao Tome and Principe	Not Allowed
145	Saudi Arabia	YES
146	Senegal	YES
147	Serbia	Not Allowed
148	Seychelles	YES
149	Sierra Leone	YES
150	Singapore	NO
151	Slovakia	NO (eu)
152	Slovenia	NO (eu)
153	Solomon Islands	Present
154	Somalia	Not Present
155	South Africa	NO



Motion Proposal 38 [26.08.2019 4:24:24 PM]

Proposal 38

156	Spain	NO (eu)
157	Sri Lanka	YES
158	Sudan	Not Present
159	Suriname	YES
160	Sweden	NO (eu)
161	Switzerland	YES
162	Syrian Arab Republic	Not Allowed
163	Tajikistan	Present
164	Thailand	YES
165	Togo	Not Present
166	Tonga	Not Present
167	Trinidad and Tobago	Not Present
168	Tunisia	YES
169	Turkey	YES
170	Uganda	NO
171	Ukraine	YES
172	United Arab Emirates	YES
173	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	NO (eu)
174	United Republic of Tanzania	Present
175	United States of America	YES
176	Uruguay	YES
177	Uzbekistan	Not Allowed
178	Vanuatu	Not Present
179	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	YES
180	Viet Nam	YES
181	Yemen	Not Allowed
182	Zambia	YES
183	Zimbabwe	YES