

CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN ENDANGERED SPECIES  
OF WILD FAUNA AND FLORA



Eighteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties  
Geneva (Switzerland), 17-28 August 2019

Summary record of the twelfth session for Committee I

25 August 2019: 09h20 - 12h15

Chair: R. Hay (New Zealand)

Secretariat: I. Higuero  
T. De Meulenaer  
K. Gaynor  
D. Kachelriess

Rapporteurs: B. Austin  
F. Davis  
J. Robinson  
C. Stafford

**Proposals to amend the Appendices (cont.)**

105. Proposals to amend Appendices I and II

Gabon raised a point of order under Rule 31.1 of the Rules of Procedure relating to proposal CoP18 Prop. 12 stating that co-proponents were not given adequate opportunity to respond to comments from other Parties before voting commenced.

**Proposal CoP18 Prop. 42** to list *Isurus oxyrinchus* and *Isurus paucus* in Appendix II was introduced by Mexico that drew attention to the fact that, with the support of 54 Parties, the proposal had the largest number of proponents in the history of CITES. It summarised the importance of the role of sharks in ecosystems and their vulnerability to overfishing, citing the recent reclassification of *I. oxyrinchus* as Endangered by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

The European Union, Gabon, Nigeria, Palau, Samoa and Senegal, as co-proponents, expressed their support for the proposal. The European Union and Senegal highlighted new information in the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) stock assessments published in May 2019, as summarised in information document CoP18 Inf. 40. Costa Rica (speaking also on behalf of Colombia, Cuba, Ecuador and Paraguay), India, Monaco and Seychelles also supported the proposal, with Costa Rica and Monaco adding that a CITES listing would be complementary to other management measures.

IUCN (also on behalf of TRAFFIC) highlighted sources of information in support of the proposal as summarised in information document CoP18 Inf 40. The Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) also expressed its support, noting that the species was now being targeted for the fin market. The Pew Charitable Trusts, speaking also on behalf of Animal Welfare Institute, Blue Resources Trust, Defenders of Wildlife, Florida International University, Gulf Elasmobranch Project, Humane Society International, International Fund for Animal Welfare, Japan Wildlife Conservation Society, Natural Resources Defense Council, Oceana Inc., Save Our Seas, Sea Shepherd Legal, Shark Advocates International, Shark Conservation Fund, Shark Trust, Universidad Jorge Tadeo Lozano and Wildlife Conservation Society, supported the proposal.

China, Iceland, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, New Zealand, Solomon Islands and South Africa opposed the proposal, several of them pointing to the findings of the Food and Agriculture Organization's (FAO) Expert Advisory Panel Report in information document CoP18 Inf. 30, which had concluded that *I. oxyrinchus* did not meet the criteria for inclusion in Appendix II. Indonesia, supported by Malaysia, believed there would be significant implementation issues and limited conservation gains if a CITES listing were accepted. Iceland, supported by Opes Oceani, expressed the opinion that regional fisheries management organizations (RFMOs) would be the best instrument for management of the species. Japan opposed the proposal, believing that an Appendix II-listing would interfere with essential scientific research relating to the species. Antigua and Barbuda recommended the proposal be referred to the Standing Committee for further consultation with relevant Parties and organizations.

Mexico reiterated the need for collaborative action between CITES, RFMOs and other relevant organizations such as FAO. It asked for a vote on the proposal. Japan asked for a secret ballot; this received sufficient support from more than 10 Parties present.

With a vote of 102 Parties in favour, 40 opposed and 5 abstentions, proposal CoP18 Prop. 42 to include *Isurus oxyrinchus* and *Isurus paucus* in Appendix II was accepted.

Qatar and the United States of America both stated they had voted against the proposal.

Senegal, also on behalf of co-proponents, introduced **proposal CoP18 Prop. 43** to include giant guitarfish, *Glaucostegus* spp. in Appendix II. It emphasized the high value of *Glaucostegus* fins in the international market, the lack of existing management measures, and the need for cooperation to conserve these migratory species. It reminded Parties that a listing in Appendix II did not represent a ban on trade, but a means of ensuring legality of acquisition, sustainability and traceability.

Australia, Bangladesh, Chile, Comoros, the European Union, Fiji, Morocco, Nigeria, the United States of America, and Humane Society International, also on behalf of African Wildlife Foundation, Bloom Association, The Blue Resources Trust, Born Free Foundation, Born Free USA, Catholic Concern for Animals, the Center for Biological Diversity, Gulf Elasmobranch Project, Defenders of Wildlife, Florida International University, International Fund for Animal Welfare, Japan Wildlife Conservation Society, OCEANA Inc., The Pew Charitable Trusts, Pro Wildlife, Natural Resources Defense Council, Robin des Bois, Save our Seas Foundation, Sea Shepherd Legal, Shark Conservation Fund, Shark Advocates International, The Shark Trust, Species Survival Network, Universidad Jorge Tadeo Lozano, Wildlife Conservation Society, and Zoological Society of London, supported the proposal.

Japan, Malaysia, Saint Kitts and Nevis, and IWMC-World Conservation Trust, also speaking on behalf of a number of other non-governmental organisations, opposed the proposal. They considered that there was insufficient evidence to determine whether inclusion in Appendix II was justified; that there would be difficulties regarding implementation; and that management through national and regional measures, such as under relevant RFMOs, was more appropriate.

FAO summarized the findings of the Sixth Expert Advisory Panel, noted in information document CoP18 Inf. 30, that there was insufficient evidence to determine whether guitarfishes met the criteria for inclusion in the Appendices. They advised Parties to take note of the widespread lack of management and the very high value of guitarfish fins in international trade.

IUCN reiterated the findings in information document CoP18 Inf. 12 of the IUCN/TRAFFIC *Analysis of the proposals to amend the CITES Appendices*, which concluded that the species met the criteria for listing in Appendix II.

The Chair called for a vote. Malaysia asked that the vote be by secret ballot and received the support of more than ten Parties.

With 109 votes in favour of the proposal, 30 against and 4 abstentions, proposal CoP17 Prop. 43 to include *Glaucostegus* spp. in Appendix II was accepted.

The United States announced that it had voted in support of the proposal.

Sri Lanka, also on behalf of co-proponents, introduced **proposal CoP18 Prop. 44** to include all species of the Family Rhinidae in Appendix II. It emphasized the high value of these species' fins in the international market; their vulnerability to multiple fishing gears and over-exploitation; the lack of existing management

measures, the recent categorisation of the majority of species in the proposal in the IUCN Red List as Critically Endangered, and the need for regulation of trade to ensure sustainability. Sri Lanka drew Parties attention to information document CoP18 Inf. 39 summarising new information and identification tools.

New Zealand, Somalia, the United States of America, and Wildlife Conservation Society, also on behalf of The Pew Charitable Trusts, The Shark Trust, Bloom Association, Gulf Elasmobranch Project, Humane Society International, International Fund for Animal Welfare, Florida International University, Defenders of Wildlife, Natural Resources Defense Council, OCEANA Inc., and Zoological Society of London, supported the proposal. The United States reiterated that trade in fins represented a major threat to the species.

Indonesia, Japan and Malaysia opposed the proposed. Malaysia highlighted difficulties regarding implementation, particularly species identification. Indonesia and Japan did not believe there was sufficient evidence to justify listing the Family in Appendix II. Japan argued that management through national and regional measures was more appropriate, and that a CITES listing would not be of benefit to a species that was principally caught as bycatch.

FAO summarized the conclusions of the Sixth Expert Advisory Panel that there were insufficient data to determine whether these species met the criteria for listing in Appendix II. It recommended that Parties take note of the widespread lack of management and the very high value of wedgefish fins in international trade.

The Chair called for a vote. Indonesia asked that the vote be by secret ballot and received support of more than ten Parties.

With 112 votes in favour, 30 against and 4 abstentions, proposal CoP18 Prop. 43 to include the family Rhinidae in Appendix II was therefore accepted.

Senegal and the United States announced that they had voted in support of the proposal.

**Proposal CoP18 Prop. 45**, to include *Holothuria (Microthele) fuscogilva*, *Holothuria (Microthele) nobilis* and *Holothuria (Microthele) whitmaei* in Appendix II, was introduced by the European Union on behalf of the co-proponents. The European Union highlighted the important role played by sea cucumbers in the ecology and livelihoods of the Indo-Pacific region, but noted that high levels of demand, in combination with the high vulnerability of sea cucumbers to overexploitation, had caused certain species to meet the criteria for an Appendix-II listing. It additionally noted that the European Union stood ready to provide both technical and financial support to help Parties which might require additional capacity to implement the proposed listing effectively.

Tonga emphasized the importance of sea cucumbers for livelihoods and called for any listing to be accompanied by financial and technical support to implement a comprehensive capacity building programme.

Australia, Chile, Senegal and the United States of America spoke in support of the proposal. They agreed that the species proposed met the appropriate criteria for listing in Appendix II but that there was currently a lack of global measures to ensure sustainable management. Echoing Tonga and the European Union's assertion that the listing would create capacity-building needs, on behalf of the co-proponents, the United States, supported by Australia, proposed amending the proposal to delay the entry into effect of the listing by 12 months. China, Papua New Guinea and the Solomon Islands did not support the proposal, drawing attention to the implications of the listing for communities whose livelihoods depended on sea cucumbers as well as the large management burden implementation would entail.

FAO noted that there had generally been little international or regional coordination in management for these species and that, to date, national management measures and enforcement of regulations had been unable to stabilize production. It further noted that effective implementation would require significant investments in capacity-building throughout the value chain. SPREP voiced its support for the listing, supported the United States' proposal to delay the entry into effect of the listing for 12 months; and welcomed the European Union's offer to provide technical and financial support to implement the listing.

The Chair called for a vote on the proposal as amended by the United States of America. China asked that the vote be by secret ballot and received support of more than ten Parties.

Japan asked whether all members of the European Union were present, and the EU confirmed that this was the case.

With a vote of 108 Parties in favour, 30 against, and 7 abstentions, proposal CoP18 Prop. 45 to include *Holothuria fuscogilva*, *Holothuria nobilis* and *Holothuria whitmaei* (entry into effect delayed by 12 months, i.e. until 28 August 2020) in Appendix II was therefore accepted.

The United States announced that it had voted in support of the proposal.

The meeting was adjourned at 12h13.



Motion Proposal 42 [25.08.2019 10:33:53 AM]

Proposal 42

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 71.83%

1	Afghanistan	Voted
2	Albania	Not Allowed
3	Algeria	Present
4	Angola	Voted
5	Antigua and Barbuda	Voted
6	Argentina	Voted
7	Armenia	Not Present
8	Australia	Voted
9	Austria	Voted (eu)
10	Azerbaijan	Not Allowed
11	Bahamas	Voted
12	Bahrain	Voted
13	Bangladesh	Voted
14	Barbados	Voted
15	Belarus	Not Allowed
16	Belgium	Voted (eu)
17	Belize	Voted
18	Benin	Voted
19	Bhutan	Voted
20	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Not Present
21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Not Allowed
22	Botswana	Voted
23	Brazil	Voted
24	Brunei Darussalam	Not Allowed
25	Bulgaria	Voted (eu)
26	Burkina Faso	Voted
27	Burundi	Voted
28	Cabo Verde	Voted
29	Cambodia	Voted
30	Cameroon	Voted
31	Canada	Voted
32	Central African Republic	Voted
33	Chad	Voted
34	Chile	Voted
35	China	Voted
36	Colombia	Voted
37	Comoros	Voted
38	Congo	Not Allowed
39	Costa Rica	Voted
40	Côte d'Ivoire	Voted
41	Croatia	Voted (eu)
42	Cuba	Voted
43	Cyprus	Voted (eu)
44	Czech Republic	Voted (eu)
45	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Voted
46	Denmark	Voted (eu)
47	Djibouti	Not Allowed
48	Dominica	Not Allowed
49	Dominican Republic	Voted



Motion Proposal 42 [25.08.2019 10:33:53 AM]

Proposal 42

50	Ecuador	Voted
51	Egypt	Voted
52	El Salvador	Not Allowed
53	Equatorial Guinea	Not Allowed
54	Eritrea	Voted
55	Estonia	Voted (eu)
56	Eswatini	Voted
57	Ethiopia	Voted
58	European Union	Voted
59	Fiji	Voted
60	Finland	Voted (eu)
61	France	Voted (eu)
62	Gabon	Voted
63	Gambia	Not Allowed
64	Georgia	Voted
65	Germany	Voted (eu)
66	Ghana	Voted
67	Greece	Voted (eu)
68	Grenada	Not Allowed
69	Guatemala	Voted
70	Guinea	Voted
71	Guinea-Bissau	Not Allowed
72	Guyana	Voted
73	Honduras	Voted
74	Hungary	Voted (eu)
75	Iceland	Voted
76	India	Voted
77	Indonesia	Voted
78	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Not Allowed
79	Iraq	Not Allowed
80	Ireland	Voted (eu)
81	Israel	Voted
82	Italy	Voted (eu)
83	Jamaica	Voted
84	Japan	Voted
85	Jordan	Voted
86	Kazakhstan	Not Allowed
87	Kenya	Voted
88	Kuwait	Not Present
89	Kyrgyzstan	Voted
90	Lao People's Democratic Republic	Voted
91	Latvia	Voted (eu)
92	Lebanon	Voted
93	Lesotho	Voted
94	Liberia	Not Allowed
95	Libya	Not Allowed
96	Liechtenstein	Voted
97	Lithuania	Voted (eu)
98	Luxembourg	Voted (eu)
99	Madagascar	Voted
100	Malawi	Voted
101	Malaysia	Voted
102	Maldives	Voted



Motion Proposal 42 [25.08.2019 10:33:53 AM]

Proposal 42

103	Mali	Voted
104	Malta	Voted (eu)
105	Mauritania	Voted
106	Mauritius	Not Allowed
107	Mexico	Voted
108	Monaco	Voted
109	Mongolia	Voted
110	Montenegro	Voted
111	Morocco	Voted
112	Mozambique	Present
113	Myanmar	Voted
114	Namibia	Voted
115	Nepal	Voted
116	Netherlands	Voted (eu)
117	New Zealand	Voted
118	Nicaragua	Voted
119	Niger	Voted
120	Nigeria	Voted
121	North Macedonia	Not Allowed
122	Norway	Voted
123	Oman	Voted
124	Pakistan	Not Allowed
125	Palau	Voted
126	Panama	Not Allowed
127	Papua New Guinea	Voted
128	Paraguay	Not Allowed
129	Peru	Voted
130	Philippines	Voted
131	Poland	Voted (eu)
132	Portugal	Voted (eu)
133	Qatar	Voted
134	Republic of Korea	Voted
135	Republic of Moldova	Voted
136	Romania	Voted (eu)
137	Russian Federation	Voted
138	Rwanda	Voted
139	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Voted
140	Saint Lucia	Voted
141	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Voted
142	Samoa	Voted
143	San Marino	Not Allowed
144	Sao Tome and Principe	Not Allowed
145	Saudi Arabia	Voted
146	Senegal	Voted
147	Serbia	Not Allowed
148	Seychelles	Voted
149	Sierra Leone	Voted
150	Singapore	Voted
151	Slovakia	Voted (eu)
152	Slovenia	Voted (eu)
153	Solomon Islands	Voted
154	Somalia	Voted
155	South Africa	Voted



Motion Proposal 42 [25.08.2019 10:33:53 AM]

Proposal 42

156	Spain	Voted (eu)
157	Sri Lanka	Voted
158	Sudan	Voted
159	Suriname	Voted
160	Sweden	Voted (eu)
161	Switzerland	Voted
162	Syrian Arab Republic	Not Allowed
163	Tajikistan	Voted
164	Thailand	Voted
165	Togo	Voted
166	Tonga	Voted
167	Trinidad and Tobago	Voted
168	Tunisia	Voted
169	Turkey	Voted
170	Uganda	Voted
171	Ukraine	Voted
172	United Arab Emirates	Voted
173	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Voted (eu)
174	United Republic of Tanzania	Voted
175	United States of America	Voted
176	Uruguay	Voted
177	Uzbekistan	Not Allowed
178	Vanuatu	Voted
179	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Voted
180	Viet Nam	Voted
181	Yemen	Not Allowed
182	Zambia	Not Present
183	Zimbabwe	Voted





## Motion Proposal 43 [25.08.2019 11:13:50 AM]

### Proposal 43

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 78.42%

1	Afghanistan	Not Present
2	Albania	Not Allowed
3	Algeria	Not Present
4	Angola	Voted
5	Antigua and Barbuda	Voted
6	Argentina	Voted
7	Armenia	Not Present
8	Australia	Voted
9	Austria	Voted (eu)
10	Azerbaijan	Not Allowed
11	Bahamas	Voted
12	Bahrain	Voted
13	Bangladesh	Voted
14	Barbados	Voted
15	Belarus	Not Allowed
16	Belgium	Voted (eu)
17	Belize	Voted
18	Benin	Voted
19	Bhutan	Voted
20	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Not Present
21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Not Allowed
22	Botswana	Voted
23	Brazil	Voted
24	Brunei Darussalam	Not Allowed
25	Bulgaria	Voted (eu)
26	Burkina Faso	Voted
27	Burundi	Voted
28	Cabo Verde	Voted
29	Cambodia	Voted
30	Cameroon	Voted
31	Canada	Voted
32	Central African Republic	Voted
33	Chad	Voted
34	Chile	Voted
35	China	Voted
36	Colombia	Voted
37	Comoros	Voted
38	Congo	Not Allowed
39	Costa Rica	Voted
40	Côte d'Ivoire	Voted
41	Croatia	Voted (eu)
42	Cuba	Voted
43	Cyprus	Voted (eu)
44	Czech Republic	Voted (eu)
45	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Voted
46	Denmark	Voted (eu)
47	Djibouti	Not Allowed
48	Dominica	Not Allowed
49	Dominican Republic	Voted



Motion Proposal 43 [25.08.2019 11:13:50 AM]

Proposal 43

50	Ecuador	Voted
51	Egypt	Voted
52	El Salvador	Not Allowed
53	Equatorial Guinea	Not Allowed
54	Eritrea	Voted
55	Estonia	Voted (eu)
56	Eswatini	Voted
57	Ethiopia	Voted
58	European Union	Voted
59	Fiji	Voted
60	Finland	Voted (eu)
61	France	Voted (eu)
62	Gabon	Voted
63	Gambia	Not Allowed
64	Georgia	Voted
65	Germany	Voted (eu)
66	Ghana	Voted
67	Greece	Voted (eu)
68	Grenada	Not Allowed
69	Guatemala	Voted
70	Guinea	Voted
71	Guinea-Bissau	Not Allowed
72	Guyana	Voted
73	Honduras	Voted
74	Hungary	Voted (eu)
75	Iceland	Voted
76	India	Voted
77	Indonesia	Voted
78	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Not Allowed
79	Iraq	Not Allowed
80	Ireland	Voted (eu)
81	Israel	Voted
82	Italy	Voted (eu)
83	Jamaica	Voted
84	Japan	Voted
85	Jordan	Voted
86	Kazakhstan	Not Allowed
87	Kenya	Voted
88	Kuwait	Not Present
89	Kyrgyzstan	Present
90	Lao People's Democratic Republic	Voted
91	Latvia	Voted (eu)
92	Lebanon	Voted
93	Lesotho	Voted
94	Liberia	Not Allowed
95	Libya	Not Allowed
96	Liechtenstein	Voted
97	Lithuania	Voted (eu)
98	Luxembourg	Voted (eu)
99	Madagascar	Voted
100	Malawi	Voted
101	Malaysia	Voted
102	Maldives	Voted



Motion Proposal 43 [25.08.2019 11:13:50 AM]

Proposal 43

103	Mali	Voted
104	Malta	Voted (eu)
105	Mauritania	Voted
106	Mauritius	Not Allowed
107	Mexico	Voted
108	Monaco	Voted
109	Mongolia	Voted
110	Montenegro	Voted
111	Morocco	Voted
112	Mozambique	Voted
113	Myanmar	Voted
114	Namibia	Voted
115	Nepal	Voted
116	Netherlands	Voted (eu)
117	New Zealand	Voted
118	Nicaragua	Voted
119	Niger	Voted
120	Nigeria	Voted
121	North Macedonia	Not Allowed
122	Norway	Voted
123	Oman	Voted
124	Pakistan	Not Allowed
125	Palau	Voted
126	Panama	Not Allowed
127	Papua New Guinea	Voted
128	Paraguay	Not Allowed
129	Peru	Voted
130	Philippines	Voted
131	Poland	Voted (eu)
132	Portugal	Voted (eu)
133	Qatar	Voted
134	Republic of Korea	Voted
135	Republic of Moldova	Voted
136	Romania	Voted (eu)
137	Russian Federation	Voted
138	Rwanda	Voted
139	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Voted
140	Saint Lucia	Voted
141	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Voted
142	Samoa	Voted
143	San Marino	Not Allowed
144	Sao Tome and Principe	Not Allowed
145	Saudi Arabia	Voted
146	Senegal	Voted
147	Serbia	Not Allowed
148	Seychelles	Voted
149	Sierra Leone	Voted
150	Singapore	Voted
151	Slovakia	Voted (eu)
152	Slovenia	Voted (eu)
153	Solomon Islands	Voted
154	Somalia	Present
155	South Africa	Voted



Motion Proposal 43 [25.08.2019 11:13:50 AM]

Proposal 43

156	Spain	Voted (eu)
157	Sri Lanka	Voted
158	Sudan	Voted
159	Suriname	Voted
160	Sweden	Voted (eu)
161	Switzerland	Voted
162	Syrian Arab Republic	Not Allowed
163	Tajikistan	Present
164	Thailand	Voted
165	Togo	Voted
166	Tonga	Voted
167	Trinidad and Tobago	Voted
168	Tunisia	Voted
169	Turkey	Voted
170	Uganda	Voted
171	Ukraine	Voted
172	United Arab Emirates	Present
173	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Voted (eu)
174	United Republic of Tanzania	Voted
175	United States of America	Voted
176	Uruguay	Voted
177	Uzbekistan	Not Allowed
178	Vanuatu	Voted
179	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Voted
180	Viet Nam	Voted
181	Yemen	Not Allowed
182	Zambia	Not Present
183	Zimbabwe	Voted



Motion Proposal 44 [25.08.2019 11:40:20 AM]

Proposal 44

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 78.87%

1	Afghanistan	Voted
2	Albania	Not Allowed
3	Algeria	Not Present
4	Angola	Voted
5	Antigua and Barbuda	Voted
6	Argentina	Voted
7	Armenia	Not Present
8	Australia	Voted
9	Austria	Voted (eu)
10	Azerbaijan	Not Allowed
11	Bahamas	Voted
12	Bahrain	Voted
13	Bangladesh	Voted
14	Barbados	Voted
15	Belarus	Not Allowed
16	Belgium	Voted (eu)
17	Belize	Voted
18	Benin	Voted
19	Bhutan	Voted
20	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Not Present
21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Not Allowed
22	Botswana	Voted
23	Brazil	Voted
24	Brunei Darussalam	Not Allowed
25	Bulgaria	Voted (eu)
26	Burkina Faso	Voted
27	Burundi	Voted
28	Cabo Verde	Voted
29	Cambodia	Voted
30	Cameroon	Voted
31	Canada	Voted
32	Central African Republic	Voted
33	Chad	Voted
34	Chile	Voted
35	China	Voted
36	Colombia	Voted
37	Comoros	Voted
38	Congo	Not Allowed
39	Costa Rica	Voted
40	Côte d'Ivoire	Voted
41	Croatia	Voted (eu)
42	Cuba	Voted
43	Cyprus	Voted (eu)
44	Czech Republic	Voted (eu)
45	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Voted
46	Denmark	Voted (eu)
47	Djibouti	Not Allowed
48	Dominica	Not Allowed
49	Dominican Republic	Voted



Motion Proposal 44 [25.08.2019 11:40:20 AM]

Proposal 44

50	Ecuador	Voted
51	Egypt	Voted
52	El Salvador	Not Allowed
53	Equatorial Guinea	Not Allowed
54	Eritrea	Voted
55	Estonia	Voted (eu)
56	Eswatini	Voted
57	Ethiopia	Voted
58	European Union	Voted
59	Fiji	Voted
60	Finland	Voted (eu)
61	France	Voted (eu)
62	Gabon	Voted
63	Gambia	Not Allowed
64	Georgia	Voted
65	Germany	Voted (eu)
66	Ghana	Voted
67	Greece	Voted (eu)
68	Grenada	Not Allowed
69	Guatemala	Voted
70	Guinea	Voted
71	Guinea-Bissau	Not Allowed
72	Guyana	Voted
73	Honduras	Voted
74	Hungary	Voted (eu)
75	Iceland	Voted
76	India	Voted
77	Indonesia	Voted
78	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Not Allowed
79	Iraq	Not Allowed
80	Ireland	Voted (eu)
81	Israel	Voted
82	Italy	Voted (eu)
83	Jamaica	Voted
84	Japan	Voted
85	Jordan	Voted
86	Kazakhstan	Not Allowed
87	Kenya	Voted
88	Kuwait	Not Present
89	Kyrgyzstan	Voted
90	Lao People's Democratic Republic	Voted
91	Latvia	Voted (eu)
92	Lebanon	Voted
93	Lesotho	Voted
94	Liberia	Not Allowed
95	Libya	Not Allowed
96	Liechtenstein	Voted
97	Lithuania	Voted (eu)
98	Luxembourg	Voted (eu)
99	Madagascar	Voted
100	Malawi	Voted
101	Malaysia	Voted
102	Maldives	Voted



Motion Proposal 44 [25.08.2019 11:40:20 AM]

Proposal 44

103	Mali	Voted
104	Malta	Voted (eu)
105	Mauritania	Voted
106	Mauritius	Not Allowed
107	Mexico	Voted
108	Monaco	Voted
109	Mongolia	Voted
110	Montenegro	Voted
111	Morocco	Voted
112	Mozambique	Voted
113	Myanmar	Voted
114	Namibia	Voted
115	Nepal	Voted
116	Netherlands	Voted (eu)
117	New Zealand	Voted
118	Nicaragua	Voted
119	Niger	Voted
120	Nigeria	Voted
121	North Macedonia	Not Allowed
122	Norway	Voted
123	Oman	Voted
124	Pakistan	Not Allowed
125	Palau	Voted
126	Panama	Not Allowed
127	Papua New Guinea	Voted
128	Paraguay	Not Allowed
129	Peru	Voted
130	Philippines	Voted
131	Poland	Voted (eu)
132	Portugal	Voted (eu)
133	Qatar	Voted
134	Republic of Korea	Voted
135	Republic of Moldova	Voted
136	Romania	Voted (eu)
137	Russian Federation	Voted
138	Rwanda	Voted
139	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Voted
140	Saint Lucia	Voted
141	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Voted
142	Samoa	Voted
143	San Marino	Not Allowed
144	Sao Tome and Principe	Not Allowed
145	Saudi Arabia	Voted
146	Senegal	Voted
147	Serbia	Not Allowed
148	Seychelles	Voted
149	Sierra Leone	Voted
150	Singapore	Voted
151	Slovakia	Voted (eu)
152	Slovenia	Voted (eu)
153	Solomon Islands	Voted
154	Somalia	Voted
155	South Africa	Voted



Motion Proposal 44 [25.08.2019 11:40:20 AM]

Proposal 44

156	Spain	Voted (eu)
157	Sri Lanka	Voted
158	Sudan	Voted
159	Suriname	Voted
160	Sweden	Voted (eu)
161	Switzerland	Voted
162	Syrian Arab Republic	Not Allowed
163	Tajikistan	Present
164	Thailand	Voted
165	Togo	Voted
166	Tonga	Voted
167	Trinidad and Tobago	Voted
168	Tunisia	Voted
169	Turkey	Voted
170	Uganda	Voted
171	Ukraine	Voted
172	United Arab Emirates	Present
173	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Voted (eu)
174	United Republic of Tanzania	Voted
175	United States of America	Voted
176	Uruguay	Voted
177	Uzbekistan	Not Allowed
178	Vanuatu	Voted
179	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Voted
180	Viet Nam	Voted
181	Yemen	Not Allowed
182	Zambia	Not Present
183	Zimbabwe	Voted





Motion Proposal 45 [25.08.2019 12:10:18 PM]

Proposal 45

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: block

YES: 78.26%

1	Afghanistan	Voted
2	Albania	Not Allowed
3	Algeria	Voted
4	Angola	Voted
5	Antigua and Barbuda	Voted
6	Argentina	Voted
7	Armenia	Not Present
8	Australia	Voted
9	Austria	Voted (eu)
10	Azerbaijan	Not Allowed
11	Bahamas	Voted
12	Bahrain	Voted
13	Bangladesh	Voted
14	Barbados	Voted
15	Belarus	Not Allowed
16	Belgium	Voted (eu)
17	Belize	Voted
18	Benin	Voted
19	Bhutan	Voted
20	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Not Present
21	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Not Allowed
22	Botswana	Voted
23	Brazil	Voted
24	Brunei Darussalam	Not Allowed
25	Bulgaria	Voted (eu)
26	Burkina Faso	Voted
27	Burundi	Voted
28	Cabo Verde	Voted
29	Cambodia	Voted
30	Cameroon	Voted
31	Canada	Voted
32	Central African Republic	Voted
33	Chad	Voted
34	Chile	Voted
35	China	Voted
36	Colombia	Voted
37	Comoros	Voted
38	Congo	Not Allowed
39	Costa Rica	Voted
40	Côte d'Ivoire	Voted
41	Croatia	Voted (eu)
42	Cuba	Voted
43	Cyprus	Voted (eu)
44	Czech Republic	Voted (eu)
45	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Voted
46	Denmark	Voted (eu)
47	Djibouti	Not Allowed
48	Dominica	Not Allowed
49	Dominican Republic	Voted



Motion Proposal 45 [25.08.2019 12:10:18 PM]

Proposal 45

50	Ecuador	Present
51	Egypt	Voted
52	El Salvador	Not Allowed
53	Equatorial Guinea	Not Allowed
54	Eritrea	Voted
55	Estonia	Voted (eu)
56	Eswatini	Voted
57	Ethiopia	Voted
58	European Union	Voted
59	Fiji	Voted
60	Finland	Voted (eu)
61	France	Voted (eu)
62	Gabon	Voted
63	Gambia	Not Allowed
64	Georgia	Voted
65	Germany	Voted (eu)
66	Ghana	Voted
67	Greece	Voted (eu)
68	Grenada	Not Allowed
69	Guatemala	Voted
70	Guinea	Voted
71	Guinea-Bissau	Not Allowed
72	Guyana	Voted
73	Honduras	Voted
74	Hungary	Voted (eu)
75	Iceland	Voted
76	India	Voted
77	Indonesia	Voted
78	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Not Allowed
79	Iraq	Not Allowed
80	Ireland	Voted (eu)
81	Israel	Voted
82	Italy	Voted (eu)
83	Jamaica	Voted
84	Japan	Voted
85	Jordan	Voted
86	Kazakhstan	Not Allowed
87	Kenya	Voted
88	Kuwait	Not Present
89	Kyrgyzstan	Voted
90	Lao People's Democratic Republic	Voted
91	Latvia	Voted (eu)
92	Lebanon	Voted
93	Lesotho	Not Present
94	Liberia	Not Allowed
95	Libya	Not Allowed
96	Liechtenstein	Voted
97	Lithuania	Voted (eu)
98	Luxembourg	Voted (eu)
99	Madagascar	Voted
100	Malawi	Voted
101	Malaysia	Voted
102	Maldives	Voted



Motion Proposal 45 [25.08.2019 12:10:18 PM]

Proposal 45

103	Mali	Voted
104	Malta	Voted (eu)
105	Mauritania	Voted
106	Mauritius	Not Allowed
107	Mexico	Voted
108	Monaco	Voted
109	Mongolia	Voted
110	Montenegro	Voted
111	Morocco	Voted
112	Mozambique	Voted
113	Myanmar	Voted
114	Namibia	Voted
115	Nepal	Voted
116	Netherlands	Voted (eu)
117	New Zealand	Voted
118	Nicaragua	Voted
119	Niger	Voted
120	Nigeria	Voted
121	North Macedonia	Not Allowed
122	Norway	Voted
123	Oman	Voted
124	Pakistan	Not Allowed
125	Palau	Voted
126	Panama	Not Allowed
127	Papua New Guinea	Voted
128	Paraguay	Not Allowed
129	Peru	Voted
130	Philippines	Voted
131	Poland	Voted (eu)
132	Portugal	Voted (eu)
133	Qatar	Voted
134	Republic of Korea	Voted
135	Republic of Moldova	Voted
136	Romania	Voted (eu)
137	Russian Federation	Voted
138	Rwanda	Voted
139	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Voted
140	Saint Lucia	Voted
141	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Voted
142	Samoa	Voted
143	San Marino	Not Allowed
144	Sao Tome and Principe	Not Allowed
145	Saudi Arabia	Voted
146	Senegal	Voted
147	Serbia	Not Allowed
148	Seychelles	Voted
149	Sierra Leone	Voted
150	Singapore	Voted
151	Slovakia	Voted (eu)
152	Slovenia	Voted (eu)
153	Solomon Islands	Voted
154	Somalia	Voted
155	South Africa	Voted



Motion Proposal 45 [25.08.2019 12:10:18 PM]

Proposal 45

156	Spain	Voted (eu)
157	Sri Lanka	Voted
158	Sudan	Voted
159	Suriname	Voted
160	Sweden	Voted (eu)
161	Switzerland	Voted
162	Syrian Arab Republic	Not Allowed
163	Tajikistan	Not Present
164	Thailand	Voted
165	Togo	Voted
166	Tonga	Voted
167	Trinidad and Tobago	Voted
168	Tunisia	Voted
169	Turkey	Voted
170	Uganda	Voted
171	Ukraine	Voted
172	United Arab Emirates	Present
173	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Voted (eu)
174	United Republic of Tanzania	Voted
175	United States of America	Voted
176	Uruguay	Voted
177	Uzbekistan	Not Allowed
178	Vanuatu	Voted
179	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Voted
180	Viet Nam	Voted
181	Yemen	Not Allowed
182	Zambia	Not Present
183	Zimbabwe	Voted