## CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN ENDANGERED SPECIES OF WILD FAUNA AND FLORA



Nineteenth meeting of the Plants Committee Geneva (Switzerland), 18-21 April 2011

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### 16. Timber issues

The Chair of the working group presented document PC19 Doc. 16.1 (Rev. 1), noting the need for more participation in the group. Chile asked whether they might become a member but, as they were a transit country rather than an importer or exporter, the Plant Committee Chair suggested they be invited to join the working group in a special advisory capacity. Chile's request and the document were noted.

The Secretariat presented document PC19 Doc. 16.2, noting the good results of the collaboration. This was echoed by the observer from ITTO, who drew attention to progress made in the Plurinational State of Bolivia and the Congo. The Committee noted the report.

9. Capacity building programme for science-based establishment
and implementation of voluntary export quotas for Appendix-II
species (Decision 12.91) – Secretariat report
PC19 Doc. 9

Document PC19 Doc. 9 was introduced by the Secretariat, who highlighted the need for input of materials by the Committee. Following a proposal from the Chair, an intersessional working group was <u>established</u> with the mandate to:

Discuss input from the Committee to the Secretariat regarding materials that:

- May be used in its capacity-building work relating to voluntary national export quotas for Appendix-II species, that go beyond the guidance provided in the Guidelines annexed to Resolution Conf. 14.7 (Rev. CoP15).
- b) Relate to the making of non-detriment findings. The Secretariat is particularly interested in case studies, examples of application and any methodologies that have been found to be effective in assisting Parties with establishing these quotas.

<u>Membership</u>			
Co-Chairs:	Madelaine Groves (Un	ited Kingdom) and one to b	e proposed by the Animals Committee
Members:			ntatives of Central and South America a) and Oceania (Mr Leach)
Observer Parties	: Canada, Germany, Me	xico, Netherlands, Peru, Sp	pain, United States of America
IGOs and NGOs:	UNEP-WCMC, SSN, Association	TRAFFIC International,	WWF, American Herbal Products
The working group was requested to report at the 20th meeting of the Plants Committee.			
Non-detriment findings			
10.5 Addressing the challenges of making non-detriment findings for geophytes			
Document PC19 Doc. 10.5 was introduced by the United Kingdom, who noted that they were additionally preparing two scientific papers on the subject. The Committee was asked to assist Georgia by following paragraphs a) to e) in paragraph 26 of the document. This was <u>agreed</u> .			
Review of Significant Trade in specimens of Appendix-II species			
12.1 Evaluation of the Review of Significant Trade [Decision 13.67 (Rev. CoP14)] PC19 Doc. 12.1			
Document PC19 Doc. 12.1 was introduced by the Secretariat and the Chair called for the missing names of the focal points in the countries that had agreed to be members of the advisory working group. Madagascar put a name forward and the Plants Committee members were <u>asked</u> to contact their colleagues in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Guinea, Iceland and the Russian Federation.			
Regional reports			
6.1 <u>Africa</u>			No document
The representative of Africa (Mr Luke) presented an oral report which was noted.			
6.2 <u>Asia</u>			PC19 Doc. 6.2 (Rev. 1)
Document PC19 Doc. 6.2 (Rev. 1) was presented by the representative of Asia (Ms Al-Salem) and was <u>noted</u> .			
6.3 Central and	South America and the (	:aribbean	PC19 Doc 6.3 (Rev. 1)

10

12

6.

was noted.

Document PC19 Doc. 6.6 was presented by the representative of Oceania (Mr Leach) and was noted.

## 

The Secretariat reported that they had located funding through the European Commission and would soon be engaging a consultant to produce the report called for in Decision 15.52 for the 20th meeting of the Plants Committee. This was noted.

# 8. <u>Cooperation with advisory bodies of other biodiversity-related</u> multilateral environmental agreements

The Secretariat reported that it had participated in the partnership. The final report of its first phase could be found in document PC19 Inf. 1. This was noted.

Document PC19 Doc. 8.2 (Rev. 1) was presented by the PC Chair and further information was provided by the Secretary-General, who noted the importance of IPBES to all of the biodiversity-related conventions. He outlined that a small group had been set up within the Secretariat to monitor the issue. Given that further action on this issue would fall under the mandate of the Standing Committee, the Plants Committee noted the document.

## 8.3 Climate Change

The Secretariat presented document PC19 Doc. 8.3.1, noting that the responses it had received from the secretariats of other multinational environmental agreements regarding their activities in response to climate change could be found in Annex 1 to that document. It further indicated the need to report on the issue to the 62nd meeting of the Standing Committee. The document was <u>noted</u>.

Document PC19 Doc. 8.3.2 was introduced by the United States, who drew attention to paragraph 6 that contained six decision-making processes that could be used in the consideration of the impacts of climate change. Noting that priorities might be different in the Animals Committee, the PC Chair suggested that the points be ordered differently, namely:

- a) Species listings [Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP15) and 9.25 (Rev. CoP15)];
- b) Non-detriment findings (Articles III and IV);
- c) Periodic Review of the Appendices (Resolution Conf. 14.8);
- d) Review of Significant Trade [Resolution Conf. 12.8 (Rev. CoP13), to the extent that this pertains to Article IV (non-detriment findings)];
- e) Quotas [Resolution Conf. 14.7 (Rev. CoP15)]; and
- f) Trade in alien invasive species [Resolution Conf. 13.10 (Rev. CoP14)].

The Chair suggested the establishment of an intersessional working group, under the cochairmanship of the United States and a representative of the Animals Committee, to produce draft findings and recommendations for further action on point a) to f) above that could be finalized at the 20th meeting of the Committee. She called upon the following people to serve on the working group: the representatives of Asia (Ms Zhihua Zhou), Africa (Mr Luke), Central and South America and the Caribbean (Ms Rivera), North America (Mr Benítez) and Oceania (Mr Leach), and alternate representative of Europe (Mr Carmo). Australia, Canada, South Africa, the European Union, UNEP-WCMC, IUCN, TRAFFIC International, WWF also volunteered to join the group. The Chair suggested that the working group work by email.

### 11. Annotations

### 11.4 Orchids: Annotations for species included in Appendix II –

The Chair of the working group reported that, by consensus, they had developed the following recommendations:

- a) i) No further exemption of orchid hybrids should be taken into consideration.
  - ii) The Working Group had analysed trade trends and suggested no modification to the annotation.
- b) i) The Working Group recommended importing and exporting Parties to train inspection officers and to share their experience regarding the use and implementation of the annotation.
  - ii) Parties interested in using the annotation will share its benefits to the pertinent sectors (producers and consumers).
  - iii) Thailand has prepared an ID manual for the involved hybrids, which will be published on the CITES website.
- c) Parties that are still requiring CITES permits for taxa which could benefit from the annotation, are not doing so because of the lack of capacity to identify the hybrids. In this regard, the Working Group recommends importing Parties to exchange their experience in implementing the annotation.

Austria suggested that point a) i) be amended by the addition of the words for the time being and, with this amendment, the recommendations were adopted.

### Adoption of executive summary PC19 Sum. 1

The following corrections to executive summary PC19 Sum. 1 of 18 April were requested:

Change all references to the "European Commission" to the "European Union".

Under item 4.2, add a new subparagraph d) saying "that agenda item 11.6 be discussed together with the other documents on annotations".

Under item 10.3, add the alternate representative of Africa (Mr Akpagana) to the membership of Working Group 3.

Under item 11.2, add IWMC World Conservation Trust to the membership of Working Group 4.

Under item 11.4, add the United States to the membership of Working Group 5.

Under item 12, replace "Mr Akpagana" with "Mr Luke" in the membership of Working Group 8.

Under item 13.2, add a reference to document PC19 Inf. 15 on Agave victoriae-reginae submitted by Mexico.

Under item 14.3, add the alternate representative of Africa (Mr Luke) and IWMC World Conservation Trust to the membership of Working Group 10.

Under item 16.3, in the mandate of Working Group 11, add a new subparagraph e) saying "Discuss the issues around the timing and subject matter for the two proposed agarwood workshops in Indonesia and Kuwait."

Under item 16.5, in the membership of Working Group 12, move "Brazil" from co-chair to Party observer.

The United States also passed on minor editorial corrections directly to the Secretariat.

With these corrections, executive summary PC19 Sum. 1 was adopted.