

CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN ENDANGERED SPECIES
OF WILD FAUNA AND FLORA



Nineteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties
Panama City (Panama), 14 - 25 November 2022

Summary record of the fifteenth session for Committee II

23 November 2022: 09h10 - 12h10

Chairs: R. Ollerenshaw (Australia)

Secretariat: I. Higuero
T. Carroll
S. Flensburg
B. Janse van Rensburg

Rapporteurs: A. Caromel
J. Mark
J. Robinson
C. Stafford

Strategic matters

23. Role of CITES in reducing risk of future zoonotic disease emergence associated with international wildlife trade

Canada introduced document CoP19 Com. II. 6 containing draft decisions which were the outcome of the working group. The draft decisions contained elements from the draft resolution in Annex 1 to document CoP19 Doc. 23.2, but there had been little support for a resolution. Canada indicated that the decisions in document CoP19 Doc. 23.1 had been streamlined and that the draft decisions in document CoP19 Com. II. 6 were generally acceptable to members of the working group. Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Senegal, and the United States of America supported the draft decisions in document CoP19 Com. II. 6.

The United Republic of Tanzania proposed an amendment to paragraph c) of draft decision 19.AA as follows:

“collaborate with the Convention on Migratory Species to assess the potential risk of pathogen spillover and to identify practical solutions for reducing pathogen spillover risk from wildlife;”.

In response to a suggestion from Chile to replace ‘mitigate and reduce’ with ‘prevent’, Canada proposed amending paragraph a) of draft decision 19.AA by replacing “mitigate” with prevent and mitigate and paragraph b) of draft decision 19.EE by including ‘preventing’ to read “.....contributing to managing, preventing and mitigating the risk of pathogen spillover...”

The Committee agreed to the draft decisions in document CoP19 Com. II 6 as amended by Canada and the United Republic of Tanzania.

66. Elephants (Elephantidae spp.)

66.7 Review of the National Ivory Action Plan process

Belgium introduced document CoP19 Com. II. 7 containing revised draft decisions on the *Review of the National Ivory Action Plans Process*.

The European Union and its Member States, Japan and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland supported the document.

The United States, supported by the United Kingdom and Senegal, proposed an additional paragraph to draft decision 19.AA to consider whether the consultation with individual external experts contemplated in the Guidelines in Annex 3 of Resolution Conf. 10.10 (Rev. CoP18) can be strengthened. China, the European Union and its Member States, Japan and Singapore responded that the issue had already been discussed and should therefore not be included.

The European Union and its Member States indicated that the timeframe should be more flexible to ensure adequate time is allocated to the review and therefore proposed deletion of “for consideration at SC77” in paragraph b) of draft decision 19.AA and amending draft decision 19.CC to read as follows:

The Standing Committee shall:

- a) ~~at its 77th meeting, review the report called for in Decision 19.AA, determine if further evaluation of the NIAP Process is needed and if so, outline any elements requiring further evaluation and direct the Secretariat to undertake the additional tasks as necessary and provide a report to the Committee at its 78th meeting; and~~
- b) prepare a report, along with its recommendations for updating the NIAP Process, for consideration for the Conference of the Parties at its 20th meeting.

The Committee accepted document CoP19 Com. II.7 as amended by the European Union and its Member States.

71. Pangolins (*Manis* spp.)

The United Kingdom introduced CoP19 Com. II. 8, containing proposed revisions to Resolution Conf. 17.10 on *Conservation of and trade in pangolins*. The United Kingdom noted that the document reflected the majority view of Parties, but that in the spirit of finding consensus and after further discussion with China, it proposed the following package of amendments to the document:

- In the fourth preambular paragraph inserting primarily before “commercial international trade”.

- amending operative paragraph 1) d) to read:

- d) Parties in whose jurisdiction there is a legal domestic market for specimens of pangolins that is contributing to poaching or illegal trade, take all necessary legislative, regulatory and enforcement measures ~~to close their domestic markets for commercial trade in pangolin specimens to prevent such markets from contributing to poaching or illegal trade~~ and report such closures actions in this regard to the Secretariat;

- In operative paragraph 4, replacing “URGES” with FURTHER ENCOURAGES

- amending operative paragraph 11 to read:

11. RECOMMENDS that consumer States of pangolin specimens, ~~where necessary and appropriate, remove references to parts and derivatives of pangolins from the official pharmacopoeia and include~~ promote the use of acceptable substitute products that do not endanger other wild species, and introduce programmes to educate the industry and user groups in order to eliminate the use of substances derived from pangolins and promote the adoption of appropriate alternatives;

Chile, China, Eswatini, Nepal, Singapore and Zambia supported these amendments; Bangladesh, Benin, Burkina Faso, the European Union and its Member States, Gabon, Kenya, Liberia, Malaysia, Nigeria, Togo and the United States supported the original amendments set out in document CoP19 Com. II. 8.

China called for a vote on the proposed amendments to document CoP19 Com. II. 8 with the package of amendments as proposed by the United Kingdom.

With 36 Parties in favour, 64 against, and 9 abstentions, the proposed amendments to CoP19 Com. II. 8 as outlined by the United Kingdom were not accepted. The United Kingdom explained that it had voted against its package, which it would only have agreed to if consensus had been reached.

The Committee then voted on accepting the amendments to Resolution Conf. 17.10 as presented in document CoP19 Com. II. 8. With 78 Parties in favour, 17 against, and 14 abstentions, the proposed amendments to Resolution Conf. 17.10 were accepted. China stated that any decision that interferes with national sovereignty was not acceptable to China. Japan reiterated its concern that the CITES mandate is to regulate international rather than domestic trade in endangered species. Senegal urged countries to respect the outcome of the Committee's decision.

41. Electronic systems and information technologies and authentication and control of permits

In response to a suggestion from the Plurinational State of Bolivia to delete "such as veterinarians" in the paragraph XX. f) of the proposed amendments to Resolution Conf. 11.3 (Rev. CoP18) and to provide for representatives of indigenous peoples and local communities to receive training as provided for in that paragraph, the United States proposed amending paragraph f) to read as follows:

- f) ensure to the extent possible that representatives of indigenous peoples and local communities and professionals involved in wildlife trade and management, such as veterinarians receive training on CITES and their role in implementing the Convention and compliance with relevant national laws as part of their professional practice and ongoing accreditation.

Argentina, India and Indonesia supported the amendments proposed by the Secretariat in paragraph B of its comments. India proposed that the new preambular paragraph should follow the sixth preambular paragraph in Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP18) *Permits and certificates* be amended as follows:

RECOGNIZING that electronic permitting systems can support Parties in regulating trade, in incentivizing compliance with legal trade frameworks, in exchanging electronic permit data, in monitoring the legality and sustainability of trade, including with regard to producing CITES annual trade reports, and in combatting **wildlife crime and illegal trade of wild fauna and flora**;

Brazil and Peru supported for these proposed amendments. Responding to a concern raised earlier by the United Arab Emirates and Kenya, the Chair proposed that paragraph t) ii) of the amendments to Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP18) be amended by inserting where possible at the beginning of the paragraph.

The proposed amendments to Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP18) contained in Annex 1 to the document as amended by the Secretariat in paragraph B of its comments, India, Kenya and the Chair were accepted. The amendments to Resolution Conf. 11.3 (Rev. CoP18) as amended by the United States were accepted. The draft decisions in Annex 3 were agreed as well as the draft decisions in Annex 4 as amended by the United States. It was agreed to delete Decisions 18.125 to 18.128 and 18.130 to 18.131.

Interpretation and implementation matters

Regulation of trade

50. Disposal of confiscated specimens

The Chair of the Standing Committee introduced Document CoP19 Doc. 50 and its annexes, proposing deletion of Decisions 18.159 to 18.164 and the adoption of new draft decisions in Annex 1.

Benin, the United States and the Association of Zoos and Aquariums, speaking also on behalf of the San Diego Zoo Wildlife Alliance and the Wildlife Conservation Society, supported the proposals in the document. The United States, supported by Israel, proposed the following additional draft decision:

Directed to the Standing Committee

- 19.FF The Standing Committee shall review Question 7 of the "Decision Tree Analysis – Captivity" in Annex 1 of Resolution Conf. 17.8 with attention to ensuring there are no grounds for concern that any transfer of Appendix I species will stimulate further illegal or irregular trade or benefit those involved in the illegal or irregular transaction that gave rise to confiscation, and recommend revisions to the 20th meeting of the Conference of the Parties as appropriate.

Benin commented that the destination of live confiscated animals should be clearly defined where possible. Niger asked for guidance from the Secretariat on dealing with confiscated specimens.

The draft decisions in Annex 1 to document CoP19 Doc. 50 with the additional draft decision proposed by the United States were accepted and it was agreed to delete Decisions 18.159 to 18.164.

Exemptions and special trade provisions

53. Review of CITES provisions related to trade in specimens of animals and plants not of wild source

The Chair of the Standing Committee introduced document CoP19 Doc. 53, reporting on the implementation of Decisions 18.172 and 18.173 and the work of an intersessional working group of the Standing Committee on captive-bred and ranched specimens. Annex 1 contained proposed changes to Resolution Conf. 10.16 (Rev.) *Specimens of animal species bred in captivity* and Annex 2 draft decisions to continue the work in the next intersessional period. She noted the work mandated by Decision 18.172 could not be fulfilled due to the postponement of the Animals and Plants Committee meetings.

Canada, China, the European Union and its Member States, the United Kingdom, the United States of America and Zimbabwe supported the continuation of the work intersessionally and some Parties expressed concerns about the adoption of the proposed amendments to Resolution Conf. 10.16 (Rev.) while further work should be undertaken. A deliberate and careful review is important to ensure a common understanding relating to amendments that may be required.

The United States supported the original amendments as proposed in Annex 1, with the exception of the replacement of “produced” with conceived in paragraphs 1 a) and b). It did not support the Secretariat’s comments regarding inserting “specimens” in paragraph 2 b) subparagraph ii) and supported retaining the amendments to paragraph 2 as proposed by the Standing Committee.

The European Union and its Member States supported by Canada proposed replacing “specimens for other sources” with “specimens of other sources” in paragraph 2 subparagraph b) ii) 3. and inserting “of the respective species” in paragraph 2 subparagraphs b) iii) A and B.

Canada and the United States supported the deletion of Decisions 18.172 and 18.173. The United States supported the adoption of the draft decisions contained in Annex 2 to document CoP19 Doc. 53, with the addition of the following new paragraph a) to draft decisions 19.AA to clarify the instructions to the Standing Committee for the intersessional work:

Directed to the Standing Committee

19.AA The Standing Committee shall:

- a) in consultation with the Animals Committee and Plants Committee, develop specific Terms of Reference including modus operandi and a roadmap as appropriate, to guide the continuation of the review of trade in specimens of CITES-listed animals and plants not of wild source;”

The draft decisions contained in Annex 2, with the addition by the United States, were supported by Canada, the European Union and its Member States and the United Kingdom. The United Kingdom proposed that both be inserted into paragraphs a) and b) of draft decision 19.AA between “specimens of” and “CITES-listed” to ensure animals and plants receive equal attention, and proposed the following text to be added to the chapeau of draft decision 19.BB:

Directed to the Animals and Plants Committees

19.BB In support of the Standing Committee’s implementation of Decision 19.AA, the Animals and Plants Committees shall, separately and together, in their joint session:

Regarding the amendments to Resolution Conf. 10.16 (Rev.) presented in Annex 1 to document CoP19 Doc. 53, considering the concerns raised, the Committee agreed the amendments to the sixth preambular paragraph and to paragraph 1 subparagraph c), while the other proposed amendments were deferred to discussions of the Standing Committee in the intersessional period. It was agreed to delete Decisions 18.172 and 18.173. The draft decisions contained in Annex 2 to document CoP19 Doc. 53 with the amendments by

the United Kingdom and the United States were accepted as follows, with the further addition of “both” to the proposed text by the United States to reflect the intent of the amendment by the United Kingdom:

Directed to the Standing Committee

19.AA The Standing Committee shall:

- a) in consultation with the Animals Committee and Plants Committee, develop specific Terms of Reference including modus operandi and a roadmap as appropriate, to guide the continuation of the review of trade in specimens of both CITES-listed animals and plants not of wild source;
- b) continue to consider amendments to Resolution Conf. 10.16 (Rev.) and Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP18), as well as any amendment to other Resolutions concerning provisions on trade in specimens of both CITES-listed animals and plants not of wild source, (...);
- c) review issues and challenges in the application of the Convention for trade in non-wild specimens of both CITES-listed animal and plant species, (...); and
- d) make recommendations (...).

Directed to the Animals and Plants Committees

19.BB In support of the Standing Committee’s implementation of Decision 19.AA, the Animals and Plants Committees shall, separately and together, in their joint session:

(...)

The Committee also agreed to delete Decision 18.172 and 18.173.

56. Guidance on the term ‘artificially propagated’

Australia, on behalf of the Chair of the Plants Committee, introduced document CoP19 Doc. 56, reporting on the implementation of Decision 18.178. Annex 1 to the document contained proposed draft decisions, amendments to which had been suggested under Comments of the Secretariat.

Australia, Georgia, the Republic of Korea and the United States supported the Secretariat’s proposed amendments to the draft decisions contained in Annex 1. Australia, supported by China, Georgia, Switzerland and the United Kingdom, also proposed adding two new paragraphs to draft decisions 19.AA and 19.BB and reinstating some text at the end of draft decision 19.BB to read:

Directed to the Secretariat

19.AA The Secretariat shall, subject to external funding:

.....

- b) review the existing guidance materials, specifically *A guide to the application of CITES source codes* to ensure alignment with a finalised version of the *Preliminary guidance on terms related to the artificial propagation of CITES regulated plants* and report its findings to the Plants Committee for consideration;

.....

Directed to the Plants Committee

19.BB The Plants Committee shall:

- a) consider and, if appropriate, approve, the revised *Preliminary guidance on terms related to the artificial propagation of CITES regulated plants* submitted by the Secretariat as per Decision 19.AA and make recommendations to the Standing Committee and the Conference of the Parties, as appropriate.

- b) consider the report from the Secretariat on the revision of the existing guidance materials to ensure alignment with the updated *Preliminary guidance on terms related to the artificial propagation of CITES regulated plants*, and if appropriate, approve the update to the *Guide to the application of CITES source codes*.”

In response to a query from the United States the Secretariat explained that the amendment to draft decision 19.AA was to ensure consistency with other decisions, and did not imply how the revision might be produced.

The draft decisions amended by the Secretariat with further amendments by Australia in document CoP19 Doc. 56, were accepted. It was agreed to delete Decision 18.178.

57. Specimens grown from wild-collected seeds or spores that are deemed to be artificially propagated

Australia introduced document CoP19 Doc. 57 on behalf of the Plants Committee and Standing Committee.

The Committee agreed to delete Decisions 18.179, 18.180 and 18.181.

Maintenance of the Appendices

86. Products containing specimens of Appendix-II orchids

Australia introduced document CoP Doc. 86 on behalf of the Standing Committee drawing attention to draft decisions contained in Annex 1 and the recommendation to delete Decisions 18.327 to 18.330.

Supported by China, the Republic of Korea proposed to retain draft decision 19.BB and furthermore proposed the following changes to the Secretariat's proposed amendments:

In draft decision 19.AA, replace “Standing Committee” with Plants Committee .

In draft decision 19.BB, replace “study” with report.

In draft decision 19.CC replace “Secretariat” with Plants Committee.

The European Union and its Member States, supported by Peru and the United States of America, proposed inserting or to the Conference of the Parties as appropriate at the end of decision 19.BB, and as appropriate at the end of decision 19.CC.

The Secretariat clarified its rationale behind its proposed amendments, noting that at the time of the Plants Committee, the results of the study undertaken on orchid species in food products had not yet been available. The amendments reflect the availability of this study which is considered to be highly relevant as it demonstrates considerable trade in food products which are not currently regulated under CITES.

The United States expressed support for the consideration of the study on orchid species in food products, but preferred the broader scope contained in Annex 1. The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland confirmed that it supported the decisions as amended by the Secretariat as well as amendments provided by the European Union and its Member States.

As a way forward the Chair proposed that text from the Secretariat's amended draft decision 19.AA be inserted as a new paragraph a) under draft decision 19.AA, with consequential re-numbering of the paragraphs in that draft decision. Draft decision 19.BB to be edited for the Plants Committee to consider the studies as indicated in draft decision 19.AA, with the insertion of or to the Conference of the Parties as appropriate at the end of that draft decision. Draft decision 19.CC to have as appropriate added at the end. Following a remark from the United States that the chapeau of draft decision 19.AA would need editing as the submission of the outcomes of the study on international trade in edible orchids stipulated in the new paragraph a) was not be subject to the availability of external resources, the Chair agreed that this chapeau would be edited and the way forward as proposed by the Chair was otherwise agreed.

The Committee accepted the following draft decisions based on the amendments outlined above:

Directed to the Secretariat

19.AA The Secretariat shall

- a) submit the outcomes of the study on international trade in edible orchids (information document CoP19 Inf. 9) for the consideration of the Standing Committee together with recommendations on how the Convention can be better implemented for the species concerned.
- b) subject to availability of external resources, the Secretariat shall:
 - i) consult with Parties and stakeholders and undertake a study to compile an overview of Appendix-II orchid taxa that are particularly affected by wild harvest for international trade to inform the following assessments:
 - a. an assessment of the conservation impacts of exempting artificially propagated Appendix-II listed orchid taxa from CITES regulations, including as articulated in footnote annotation 10 of the CITES Appendices, including identification challenges and look-alike issues; and
 - b. an assessment of the conservation impacts of exempting derivatives and/or finished products of certain Appendix-II listed orchid taxa from CITES regulations through amendments to annotation #4; and
 - c) report to the Plants Committee.

Directed to the Plants Committee

19.BB The Plants Committee shall consider the studies as per Decision 19.AA, and make recommendations on how to improve CITES implementation for Appendix-II listed orchids to the Standing Committee or to the Conference of Parties, as appropriate.

Directed to the Standing Committee

19.CC The Standing Committee shall review any recommendations of the Plants Committee, and make recommendations to the Conference of the Parties, as appropriate.

It was also agreed to delete Decisions 18.327 to 18.330.

Species specific matters

78. Tortoises and freshwater turtles (Testudines spp.)

The Secretariat introduced document CoP19 Doc. 78 on *Tortoises and freshwater turtles* which elaborated on the implementation of Decision 18.286 by Madagascar and Decision 18.287 by the Standing Committee. Annex 1 to the document contained new draft decisions.

Burkina Faso, the European Union and its Member States, India, Peru, Senegal, Thailand and the United States voiced concerns regarding the exploitation and deteriorating status of tortoises and freshwater turtles.

The European Union and its Member States agreed to the deletion of Decisions 18.286 to 18.291. While it noted the continued efforts of Madagascar to respond to illegal wildlife crime, it expressed its concern regarding the high levels of illegal trade in Madagascar's tortoise species, compounded by habitat loss, and consequently proposed the addition of the following new decisions:

Directed to Madagascar

19.CC Madagascar is encouraged to:

- a) prepare a comprehensive conservation strategy for its four critically endangered species *Astrochelys radiata*, *A. yniphora*, *Pyxis arachnoides* and *P. planicauda*, taking

into consideration the multifaceted threats of collection for local consumption and international trade compounded by habitat loss; and

- b) present the work prepared under Decision 19.CC paragraph a) to the Animals Committee for assessment at its 33rd meeting.

Directed to the Animals Committee

19.EE The Animals Committee shall, at its 33rd meeting, review the conservation strategy reported by Madagascar under Decision 19.CC and submit recommendations to the Standing Committee and Secretariat, as appropriate.

Directed to the Standing Committee and the Secretariat

19.FF The Standing Committee and the Secretariat shall:

- a) consider the recommendations provided by the Animals Committee under Decision 19.EE and prepare new decisions directed to Madagascar outlining future work to combat the ongoing threats of illegal collection and trade of the four *Astrochelys* and *Pyxis* species; and
- b) report at the 20th meeting of the Conference of the Parties on the implementation of Decision 19.CC and provide recommendations as per decisions 19.FF paragraph a), as appropriate.

The United States supported adoption of the draft decisions with those suggested by the European Union and its Member States. It also noted that at its 73rd meeting, the Standing Committee adopted a number of additional recommendations directed at Madagascar, and therefore requested the Secretariat to continue monitoring illegal trade in tortoise and freshwater turtle species. It further recommended the following additional draft decision 19.CC, which was supported by Burkina Faso:

Directed to Madagascar

19.CC Madagascar is encouraged to undertake the activities in document CoP19 Doc. 78 paragraph 4 b) i) - iv).

Senegal expressed support to those who had made recommendations to protect species of tortoises and freshwater turtles.

The meeting was adjourned at 12h10.



Motion Com II Doc 8 amd [23.11.2022 9:50:39 AM]

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%
EU-Vote: individual
YES: 36.00%

1	AFGHANISTAN	Not Allowed
2	ALBANIA	Not Allowed
3	ALGERIA	Not Present
4	ANDORRA	Not Allowed
5	ANGOLA	Present
6	ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA	Not Present
7	ARGENTINA	YES
8	ARMENIA	Not Allowed
9	AUSTRALIA	NO
10	AZERBAIJAN	Not Allowed
11	BAHAMAS	YES
12	BAHRAIN	ABST
13	BANGLADESH	NO
14	BARBADOS	Not Allowed
15	BELARUS	Not Allowed
16	BELGIUM	NO
17	BELIZE	Not Present
18	BENIN	NO
19	BHUTAN	YES
20	BOLIVIA (PLURINATIONAL STATE OF)	NO
21	BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA	Not Allowed
22	BOTSWANA	YES
23	BRAZIL	ABST
24	BRUNEI DARUSSALAM	Not Allowed
25	BULGARIA	NO
26	BURKINA FASO	NO
27	BURUNDI	NO
28	CABO VERDE	Not Allowed
29	CAMBODIA	YES
30	CAMEROON	ABST
31	CANADA	YES
32	CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC	NO
33	CHAD	Not Allowed
34	CHILE	YES
35	CHINA	YES
36	COLOMBIA	ABST
37	COMOROS	Present
38	CONGO	Not Present
39	COSTA RICA	Not Present
40	CÔTE D'IVOIRE	NO
41	CROATIA	NO
42	CUBA	YES
43	CYPRUS	NO
44	CZECH REPUBLIC	NO
45	DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO	YES
46	DENMARK	NO
47	DJIBOUTI	Not Allowed
48	DOMINICA	Not Allowed
49	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	YES



Motion Com II Doc 8 amd [23.11.2022 9:50:39 AM]

50	ECUADOR	YES
51	EGYPT	NO
52	EL SALVADOR	Not Present
53	EQUATORIAL GUINEA	Not Allowed
54	ERITREA	NO
55	ESTONIA	NO
56	ESWATINI	YES
57	ETHIOPIA	YES
58	EUROPEAN UNION	Present
59	FIJI	Not Present
60	FINLAND	NO
61	FRANCE	NO
62	GABON	NO
63	GAMBIA	NO
64	GEORGIA	YES
65	GERMANY	NO
66	GHANA	YES
67	GREECE	Present
68	GRENADA	Not Allowed
69	GUATEMALA	NO
70	GUINEA	NO
71	GUINEA-BISSAU	NO
72	GUYANA	Not Present
73	HONDURAS	Not Present
74	HUNGARY	NO
75	ICELAND	NO
76	INDIA	NO
77	INDONESIA	YES
78	IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)	Not Allowed
79	IRAQ	Not Allowed
80	IRELAND	NO
81	ISRAEL	NO
82	ITALY	NO
83	JAMAICA	NO
84	JAPAN	YES
85	JORDAN	NO
86	KAZAKHSTAN	Not Allowed
87	KENYA	NO
88	KUWAIT	ABST
89	KYRGYZSTAN	Not Allowed
90	LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	NO
91	LATVIA	NO
92	LEBANON	Not Allowed
93	LESOTHO	ABST
94	LIBERIA	NO
95	LIBYA	Not Allowed
96	LIECHTENSTEIN	Not Present
97	LITHUANIA	NO
98	LUXEMBOURG	NO
99	MADAGASCAR	YES
100	MALAWI	YES
101	MALAYSIA	NO
102	MALDIVES	NO



Motion Com II Doc 8 amd [23.11.2022 9:50:39 AM]

103	MALI	NO
104	MALTA	NO
105	MAURITANIA	NO
106	MAURITIUS	Not Allowed
107	MEXICO	NO
108	MONACO	Not Present
109	MONGOLIA	Not Allowed
110	MONTENEGRO	Not Present
111	MOROCCO	Not Present
112	MOZAMBIQUE	Present
113	MYANMAR	Not Allowed
114	NAMIBIA	YES
115	NEPAL	YES
116	NETHERLANDS	NO
117	NEW ZEALAND	NO
118	NICARAGUA	Not Present
119	NIGER	NO
120	NIGERIA	YES
121	NORTH MACEDONIA	Not Allowed
122	NORWAY	NO
123	OMAN	Not Allowed
124	PAKISTAN	Not Present
125	PALAU	Not Allowed
126	PANAMA	Not Allowed
127	PANAMA	NO
128	PAPUA NEW GUINEA	NO
129	PARAGUAY	Not Present
130	PERU	ABST
131	PHILIPPINES	NO
132	POLAND	Present
133	PORTUGAL	NO
134	QATAR	Not Allowed
135	REPUBLIC OF AUSTRIA	NO
136	REPUBLIC OF KOREA	ABST
137	REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA	Not Allowed
138	ROMANIA	NO
139	RUSSIAN FEDERATION	Not Present
140	RWANDA	Not Allowed
141	SAINT KITTS AND NEVIS	Not Allowed
142	SAINT LUCIA	Not Allowed
143	SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	Not Allowed
144	SAMOA	Not Present
145	SAN MARINO	Not Allowed
146	SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE	Not Allowed
147	SAUDI ARABIA	Not Allowed
148	SENEGAL	NO
149	SERBIA	Not Allowed
150	SEYCHELLES	Not Present
151	SIERRA LEONE	YES
152	SINGAPORE	YES
153	SLOVAKIA	NO
154	SLOVENIA	NO
155	SOLOMON ISLANDS	Not Present



Motion Com II Doc 8 amd [23.11.2022 9:50:39 AM]

156	SOMALIA	Not Allowed
157	SOUTH AFRICA	YES
158	SPAIN	NO
159	SRI LANKA	Not Present
160	SUDAN	Not Present
161	SURINAME	YES
162	SWEDEN	NO
163	SWITZERLAND	YES
164	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	Not Present
165	TAJIKISTAN	Not Present
166	THAILAND	YES
167	TOGO	NO
168	TONGA	YES
169	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	Not Present
170	TUNISIA	Not Present
171	TÜRKIYE	NO
172	UGANDA	NO
173	UKRAINE	Not Present
174	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	YES
175	UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IR	NO
176	UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	YES
177	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	YES
178	URUGUAY	Not Present
179	UZBEKISTAN	Not Allowed
180	VANUATU	YES
181	VENEZUELA (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF)	Not Present
182	VIET NAM	ABST
183	YEMEN	Not Present
184	ZAMBIA	YES
185	ZIMBABWE	YES



Motion Com II Doc 8 [23.11.2022 9:57:10 AM]

Quorum: Yes > 66.66%

EU-Vote: individual

YES: 82.11%

1	AFGHANISTAN	Not Allowed
2	ALBANIA	Not Allowed
3	ALGERIA	Not Present
4	ANDORRA	Not Allowed
5	ANGOLA	NO
6	ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA	Not Present
7	ARGENTINA	YES
8	ARMENIA	Not Allowed
9	AUSTRALIA	YES
10	AZERBAIJAN	Not Allowed
11	BAHAMAS	YES
12	BAHRAIN	ABST
13	BANGLADESH	YES
14	BARBADOS	Not Allowed
15	BELARUS	Not Allowed
16	BELGIUM	YES
17	BELIZE	Not Present
18	BENIN	YES
19	BHUTAN	ABST
20	BOLIVIA (PLURINATIONAL STATE OF)	YES
21	BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA	Not Allowed
22	BOTSWANA	NO
23	BRAZIL	ABST
24	BRUNEI DARUSSALAM	Not Allowed
25	BULGARIA	YES
26	BURKINA FASO	YES
27	BURUNDI	YES
28	CABO VERDE	Not Allowed
29	CAMBODIA	ABST
30	CAMEROON	NO
31	CANADA	YES
32	CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC	YES
33	CHAD	Not Allowed
34	CHILE	YES
35	CHINA	NO
36	COLOMBIA	ABST
37	COMOROS	Present
38	CONGO	Not Present
39	COSTA RICA	Not Present
40	CÔTE D'IVOIRE	YES
41	CROATIA	YES
42	CUBA	NO
43	CYPRUS	YES
44	CZECH REPUBLIC	YES
45	DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO	NO
46	DENMARK	YES
47	DJIBOUTI	Not Allowed
48	DOMINICA	Not Allowed
49	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	ABST



Motion Com II Doc 8 [23.11.2022 9:57:10 AM]

50	ECUADOR	YES
51	EGYPT	NO
52	EL SALVADOR	Not Present
53	EQUATORIAL GUINEA	Not Allowed
54	ERITREA	YES
55	ESTONIA	YES
56	ESWATINI	NO
57	ETHIOPIA	YES
58	EUROPEAN UNION	Present
59	FIJI	Not Present
60	FINLAND	YES
61	FRANCE	YES
62	GABON	YES
63	GAMBIA	YES
64	GEORGIA	YES
65	GERMANY	YES
66	GHANA	YES
67	GREECE	YES
68	GRENADA	Not Allowed
69	GUATEMALA	YES
70	GUINEA	YES
71	GUINEA-BISSAU	YES
72	GUYANA	Not Present
73	HONDURAS	Not Present
74	HUNGARY	YES
75	ICELAND	YES
76	INDIA	YES
77	INDONESIA	NO
78	IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)	Not Allowed
79	IRAQ	Not Allowed
80	IRELAND	YES
81	ISRAEL	YES
82	ITALY	YES
83	JAMAICA	Present
84	JAPAN	NO
85	JORDAN	Present
86	KAZAKHSTAN	Not Allowed
87	KENYA	YES
88	KUWAIT	Not Present
89	KYRGYZSTAN	Not Allowed
90	LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	YES
91	LATVIA	YES
92	LEBANON	Not Allowed
93	LESOTHO	ABST
94	LIBERIA	YES
95	LIBYA	Not Allowed
96	LIECHTENSTEIN	Not Present
97	LITHUANIA	YES
98	LUXEMBOURG	YES
99	MADAGASCAR	NO
100	MALAWI	YES
101	MALAYSIA	YES
102	MALDIVES	YES



Motion Com II Doc 8 [23.11.2022 9:57:10 AM]

103	MALI	YES
104	MALTA	YES
105	MAURITANIA	YES
106	MAURITIUS	Not Allowed
107	MEXICO	YES
108	MONACO	Not Present
109	MONGOLIA	Not Allowed
110	MONTENEGRO	Not Present
111	MOROCCO	Not Present
112	MOZAMBIQUE	Present
113	MYANMAR	Not Allowed
114	NAMIBIA	NO
115	NEPAL	ABST
116	NETHERLANDS	YES
117	NEW ZEALAND	YES
118	NICARAGUA	Not Present
119	NIGER	YES
120	NIGERIA	YES
121	NORTH MACEDONIA	Not Allowed
122	NORWAY	YES
123	OMAN	Not Allowed
124	PAKISTAN	Not Present
125	PALAU	Not Allowed
126	PANAMA	Not Allowed
127	PANAMA	YES
128	PAPUA NEW GUINEA	YES
129	PARAGUAY	Not Present
130	PERU	ABST
131	PHILIPPINES	YES
132	POLAND	Present
133	PORTUGAL	YES
134	QATAR	Not Allowed
135	REPUBLIC OF AUSTRIA	YES
136	REPUBLIC OF KOREA	YES
137	REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA	Not Allowed
138	ROMANIA	YES
139	RUSSIAN FEDERATION	Present
140	RWANDA	Not Allowed
141	SAINT KITTS AND NEVIS	Not Allowed
142	SAINT LUCIA	Not Allowed
143	SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	Not Allowed
144	SAMOA	Not Present
145	SAN MARINO	Not Allowed
146	SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE	Not Allowed
147	SAUDI ARABIA	Not Allowed
148	SENEGAL	YES
149	SERBIA	Not Allowed
150	SEYCHELLES	Not Present
151	SIERRA LEONE	YES
152	SINGAPORE	NO
153	SLOVAKIA	YES
154	SLOVENIA	YES
155	SOLOMON ISLANDS	Not Present



Motion Com II Doc 8 [23.11.2022 9:57:10 AM]

156	SOMALIA	Not Allowed
157	SOUTH AFRICA	NO
158	SPAIN	YES
159	SRI LANKA	YES
160	SUDAN	Not Present
161	SURINAME	ABST
162	SWEDEN	YES
163	SWITZERLAND	YES
164	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	Not Present
165	TAJIKISTAN	Not Present
166	THAILAND	YES
167	TOGO	YES
168	TONGA	ABST
169	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	Not Present
170	TUNISIA	Not Present
171	TÜRKIYE	YES
172	UGANDA	YES
173	UKRAINE	Not Present
174	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	ABST
175	UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IR	YES
176	UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA	NO
177	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	YES
178	URUGUAY	Not Present
179	UZBEKISTAN	Not Allowed
180	VANUATU	ABST
181	VENEZUELA (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF)	Not Present
182	VIET NAM	ABST
183	YEMEN	Not Present
184	ZAMBIA	NO
185	ZIMBABWE	NO