Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2021-2030* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be monitored.

CITES vision statement

By 2030, all international trade in wild fauna and flora is legal and sustainable, consistent with the long-term conservation of species, and thereby contributing to halting biodiversity loss, to ensuring its sustainable use, and to achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

The questions of the implementation report follow the structure of the *Strategic Vision 2021-2030* and its indicators that are mapped against the Sustainable Development Goals and the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework to ensure synergies and consistent reporting.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP19)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat on 31 October of the year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form and to **answer at a minimum all questions in bold**. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat
Palais des Nations
Avenue de la Paix 8-14
CH-1211 Geneva
Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	The Republic of the Union of Myanmar					
Period covered in this report	January-December 2022					
Department or agency preparing this report	Forest Department, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environmental Conservation					
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Nature and Wildlife Conservation Division, Myanmar					

GOAL 1 TRADE IN CITES-LISTED SPECIES IS CONDUCTED IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONVENTION IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THEIR CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABLE USE

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through the adoption and implementation of appropriate legislation, policies, and procedures.

SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15

GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9 & 10

Indicator 1.1.1: Number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project. (Data source: National Legislation Project)

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
	if No, please provide details to the Secretariat with this report.
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No I If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

- Indicator 1.1.2: Number of Parties subject to CITES recommendations to suspend trade.

 (Data source: Notifications to the Parties and reference list of countries subject to a recommendation to suspend trade)
- Objective 1.2 Parties have established CITES Management and Scientific Authorities and enforcement focal points that effectively carry out the duties required of them under the Convention and relevant Resolutions.
- Indicator 1.2.1: Number of Parties that have designated at least one Management Authority, independent Scientific Authority and enforcement focal points in place.

 (Data source: CITES online directory)
- Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with Resolutions and Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.

 SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15

 GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9, 10 & 15
- Indicator 1.3.1: Number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements
	Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements $oximes$

		esponses pr o special rep				-	g requi	irements [
1.3.1b	impler of the If 'Yes difficu	any difficultiementing spec Parties? ', please pro Ities are being er	cific Resolut vide details	ions or De	cisions ado	pted by the	Confe	erence	Yes [ch, what	□ No ⊠ t
Objective ²	1.4	The Append SDG Goal 1 GBF Goal A	5	•	he conserva	ition status	and n	eeds of spe	ecies.	
Indicator 1	.4.1:	The number criteria for eas part of the	ach Append	dix contain	ed in Resol	ution Conf.	9.24 (Rev. CoP1		
Objective	1.5	Parties impropries impropries improved wildlist SDG Goals GBF Goals	n actions, s ife resource 2, 12, 14 &	support the es. 15	eir sustainal					ace national n managing
Indicator 1	.5.1:	The conserv (Data source						ices has sta	abilized (or improved.
1.5.1a (previously 3.4.1a)	conse your o stabili	your countrervation state country liste ized or impressed or impressed are such steen are such steen are (scients)	us of natured on the Coved?	rally occu ITES Appo ou are willi	rring specie endices ha App Appe Appe	es in s endix I endix II ndix III , please pr		No S S	Not .	Applicable
1.5.1b (previously 3.4.1b)	emer	ou have examging problem	s with any (CITES liste		ess stories	s or	Yes No No inform	ation	
Indicator 1	.5.2:	Number of sustainable		d species	for which	Parties ha	ve put	in place a	actions	that support
1.5.2 (previously 1.6.2a)		s your count ace for shar					ans, in	cluding re		plans, s
	to a p	s', please list published pla sies Name (so	n for each s	species.	these plans	•			link or r	eference

GOAL 2	•	PARTIES' DECISIONS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE BEST A' INFORMATION	VAILAE	BLE S	CIENCE AND
Objective 2	.1	Parties' non-detriment findings are based on best available sci determination of legal acquisition is based on the best available ted SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15 GBF Targets 4, 5, 9 & 20			
Indicator 2.	1.1:	Number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for ma (NDFs).	aking n	on-detr	iment findings
2.1.1a (previously 1.5.2a)			Yes	No	No information
	detr	s your country have standard procedures for making non- iment findings in line with <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev.</u> <u>17)</u> ?			
	or at	es', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detrime tach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the infor ne internet:			found
2.1.1b (previously		n establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following			
1.5.2b)	guid	ance been used?	Plea	ise tick	all that apply
		Virtual College			
		IUCN Checklist		[
		Resolution Conf. 16.7		[
		2008 NDF workshop		[
		Species specific guidance		[
		Other		[
	If 'Ot	ther' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:			
2.1.1c		often do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> review and/or change your Case by	v case		
(previously 1.5.2c)		detriment findings? Annuall	-		
1.3.20)		Every to	wo yeaı	rs	
		Less fre	equently	y	
		A mix o	f the ab	ove	
	Plea	se describe the circumstances under which non-detriment findings	s would	be cha	anged:
Indicator 2.	1.2:	Number of written NDFs submitted and number of Parties submit CITES online database. (Data source: NDF webpage on the CITES website)	ting NE	Fs for	posting in the
Indicator 2.	1.3:	Number of Parties that have included the legal acquisition findin regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7			
2.1.3			Yes	No	No information
	natio	e legal acquisition finding obligation included in your onal regulatory framework, as recommended by olution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19)?			
	infor	es', please briefly include the name of the regulatory instrument, or mation can be found on the internet:		e a link	to where the
		Conservation of Biodiversity and Protected Areas Law of Myanma s://www.myanmartradeportal.gov.mm/en/legal/195)	.1		

Objective 2.2 Parties cooperate in sharing information and tools relevant to the implementation of CITES. SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 2.2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17) on *Non-detriment findings* related to: - the population status of Appendix-II species; - the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of and trend in naturally occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

2.2.1a (previously 1.5.1a)	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?		\boxtimes		
	 the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species? 		\boxtimes		
	- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?		\boxtimes		
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?		\boxtimes		
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?			\boxtimes	
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, ple	ase pro	vide:		
	or other and	alysis (e rease, o	e.g. popu ff-take le	ults of the surve lation status, de evels etc), or pro e material.	ecline /
2.2.1b (previously 1.5.1b)	How are the results of such surveys, studies or other and findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply	•		aking non-detri	_
				•	export 🗌
				ter domestic me	
	D	_		gement of the	
	 			anagement Aut ith other stakeh	
	Other (please p				oldolo. 🗀
2.2.1c (previously 1.5.1c	Does your country have specific conservation measures or recovery plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed species?	Yes No Not		ole	
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including, if possimpact: Myanmar is implementing the 10 years Re-estab Programme from 2019-2029 in 19 Protected Areas which fauna population status by conducting regular surveys ar The impact of RNH is monitored and evaluated yearly.	lishing I n focuse	Natural H s on mo	labitats (RNH) nitoring the flor	

2.2.1d (previously 1.5.1d)	Ha <u>sve</u> you <u>r country</u> published any non-detriment findings that can be shared If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretariat within this report:	? Yes □ N	o 🛛
2.2.1e (previously 1.5.1e)	Which of the following [A to F of paragraph 1 a) x) of Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17)] does your country use in making non-detriment findings?	Yes	No
·	A. relevant scientific literature concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends.		
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.	\boxtimes	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locations and at sites protected from harvest and other impacts.		
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities.		\boxtimes
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts.	\boxtimes	
	F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example.		

Indicator 2.2.2: Number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys. (Data source: Quotas webpage on the CITES website)

2.2.2a (previously 1.5.3a)	Does your country set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', does your country set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set: Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	Other, please specify
2.2.2b (previously 1.5.3b)	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption? If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	Yes No finding process:	

Indicator 2.2.3: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

2.2.3a (previously 1.6.3a)	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacit building activities provided by external sources?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?	

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

	Staff of Management Authority						INGOs
	Staff of Scientific Authority						INGOS
	Staff of enforcement authorities						INGOs
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public					\boxtimes	Awareness raising
							campaign, INGOs
	Other (please specify):						
2.2.3b (previously 1.6.3b)	Have the CITES authorities been activities to other range States?	the pro	oviders	of any	of the	e follo	owing capacity-building
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority				· 		
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other Parties/International meetings						
	Other (please specify)						
2.2.3c (previously 1.6.3c)	In what ways does your country colla	borate	with othe	er CITES	S Part	ies?	1
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Further detail / examples
	Information exchange						
	Monitoring / survey						
	Habitat management						
	Species management						
	Law enforcement						Participating in ASEAN Working Groups
	Capacity building						Participating in ASEAN Working Groups
	Other (please provide details) Verifica	ation of (CITES F	Permits			
	ow many training and capacity building our country run during the period cover		is report	t? fro Se	ithout om the ecreta	riat	assisted by the Secretariat
			N	one		\boxtimes	\boxtimes

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

			1		1 2-5 6-10 1-20 an 20			
0.00		list the Resolutions or Decisions			0.1.1		. ,	COLTEC
2.2.3e (previously 2.3.1b)	legislat	orts of capacity building activities tions	s have ta	aken pia	ice? Intr	oduct	ion of	CITES and relevant
2.2.3f (previously 2.3.1c)	What o	capacity building needs does you	r country	y have?		I		1
		e tick all boxes which apply to te which target group and which y. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff c	of Management Authority		\boxtimes		\boxtimes		
	ł	of Scientific Authority						
								Organizing awareness
	1 ubiic		Ш					raising campaigns
	Other	(please specify)						
Indicator 2		Number of reports shared by the (Data source: CITES Secretarial Number of Parties sharing infordatabases, data visualization/so	nt) rmation	relevant	t to the	imple	menta	ation of CITES (e.g. shared
2.2.5	imp visu	your country shared informati lementation of CITES (e.g. sha alization/software, information s, etc.)?	red data	abases,	data	Ye: No		
Indicator 2	2.2.6:	Number of CoP side-events who implementation of CITES (Data source: CoP side-event s		·			on and	d tools relevant to the
Objective	Objective 2.3 Parties have sufficient information to enforce the Convention. SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal D & Targets 15 & 21							
Indicator 2	Indicator 2.3.1: Proportion of Parties that are making use of the available tools. For instance, one could look at Google Analytics for the number of site visits to the CITES website, CITES Checklist, or Species+ or the number of downloads from the CITES Trade Database as a proxy for usage of shared tools. (Data source: CITES Secretariat – Number of visits to the CITES website; number of visits to the CITES Checklist and Species+; number of downloads from the CITES Trade Database)							
Indicator 2	2.3.2:	Percentage of Parties reporting	having	sufficien	nt inform	ation	to en	force the Convention.

Objective 2.4 Parties have sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of sponservation needs. SDG Goal 12 GBF Goals A & D & Targets 5, 20 & 21 Indicator 2.4.1: Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient information to make listing decisions that reflective of species conservation needs. 2.4.1 Do you consider that your country has sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs. 2.5.1 Information gaps and needs for key species are identified and addressed. SDG Goal 12 GBF Target 21 Indicator 2.5.1: Number of Parties that have undertaken research (including for non-detriment finding: their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention. 2.5.1a Has research (including for non-detriment findings) on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country? Yes _ No No If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species: 2.5.1b (previously 1.4.1a) If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them.	2.3.2	Do you consider that your country has sufficient information to enforce the Convention?	Yes No	
2.4.1 Do you consider that your country has sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs? Objective 2.5 Information gaps and needs for key species are identified and addressed. SDG Goal 12 GBF Target 21 Indicator 2.5.1: Number of Parties that have undertaken research (including for non-detriment finding: their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention. 2.5.1a Has research (including for non-detriment findings) on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country? Yes \ \text{No} \ If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species: 1.5.1b Has your country undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? 1.5.1b Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them.	Objective 2	conservation needs. SDG Goal 12		reflective of species
information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs? Objective 2.5 Information gaps and needs for key species are identified and addressed. SDG Goal 12 GBF Target 21 Indicator 2.5.1: Number of Parties that have undertaken research (including for non-detriment finding: their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention. 2.5.1a Has research (including for non-detriment findings) on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country? Yes □ No If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species: 2.5.1b (previously 1.4.1a) Has your country undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes □ No If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them.	Indicator 2.		ormation to make lis	ting decisions that are
Indicator 2.5.1: Number of Parties that have undertaken research (including for non-detriment findings their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention. 2.5.1a Has research (including for non-detriment findings) on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country? Yes □ No If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species: 2.5.1b (previously 1.4.1a) If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them.	2.4.1	information to make listing decisions that are		
their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention. 2.5.1a Has research (including for non-detriment findings) on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country? Yes ☐ No If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species: 2.5.1b Has your country undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes ☐ No If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most release to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them.	Objective 2	SDG Goal 12	ntified and addresse	ed.
identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country? Yes □ No If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species: Has your country undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes □ No If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them. 2.5.2 Do you consider that your country currently lacks information on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and	Indicator 2.			
2.5.1b (previously 1.4.1a) Has your country undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most release to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them. 2.5.2 Do you consider that your country currently lacks information on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and	2.5.1a	identified key species most relevant to the implement		Yes ☐ No ⊠
on the CITES Appendices? If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most release to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them. 2.5.2 Do you consider that your country currently lacks information on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and		If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species:		
(or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most release to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them. 2.5.2 Do you consider that your country currently lacks information on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and	(previously		cies would benefit fi	rom listing Yes
2.5.2 Do you consider that your country currently lacks information on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and				
species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and	Indicator 2.			
	2.5.2	species most relevant to the implementation of the Cor		entified key Yes ⊠ No □
If 'Yes', please specify for which key species and the type of assistance needed: Review on the population status and trends of CITES listed species			-	needed:

- GOAL 3 PARTIES (INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY) HAVE THE TOOLS, RESOURCES AND CAPACITY TO EFFECTIVELY IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE THE CONVENTION, CONTRIBUTING TO CONSERVATION, SUSTAINABLE USE AND THE REDUCTION OF ILLEGAL TRADE IN CITES-LISTED WILDLIFE SPECIES
- Objective 3.1 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

 SDG Goal 16
 GBF Goal D

Indicator 3.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
3.1.1 (previously 1.2.1a)	Does your country have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes		

Indicator 3.1.2: Number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19).

3.1.2 (previously 1.2.2a)	ously 2a)						
		T	Tick all applicable				
		Yes	No	No information			
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 to Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19) are urgently required.		\boxtimes				
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 2.		\boxtimes				
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		\boxtimes				
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.		\boxtimes				
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:		\boxtimes				

Indicator 3.1.3: Number of Parties that have adopted an electronic system for the issuance of permits.

		Yes	No	No information
3.1.3 (previously 1.2.1b)	Does your country have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		\boxtimes	

Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		\boxtimes				
Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		\boxtimes				
Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		\boxtimes				
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on cl overcome:	nallenges	faced or	ssues			
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e- permitting ¹ ?	\boxtimes					
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: Capacity building for the design and management of e-permitting system						

Objective 3.2 Parties and the Secretariat develop, adopt and implement adequate capacity-building programmes.

SDG Goal 17

GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 3.2.1: Number of Parties with training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES, including the making of non-detriment and legal acquisition findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

3.2.1a (previously 1.8.1a)	Does your country have information resources or training in place. The making of non-detriment findings? □ ☒ Permit officers? □ ☒ Enforcement officers? □ ☒	ce to support: YesNo	
3.2.1b (previously 1.8.1b)	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	
3.2.1c (previously 1.8.1c)	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

Indicator 3.2.2: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

See questions for indicator 2.2.3

Objective 3.3 Sufficient resources are available at the national and international levels to support necessary capacity-building programmes and ensure compliance with and full implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

SDG Goals 15 & 17

GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 3.3.1: Number of Parties meeting their obligations with regard to their assessed contributions to the Trust Fund.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

_

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Indicator 3.3.2: Percentage of the total funds required to implement the work programme agreed by the Conference of the Parties that is fully funded.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Objective 3.4 Parties recognize illegal trade in wildlife as serious crime and have adequate systems in place to detect and deter it.

SDG Goal 15

Indicator 3.4.1: Number of Parties where criminal offences relating to illegal trade in wildlife (such as illegal hunting/harvest and wildlife trafficking) are recognized as a serious crime.

3.4.1a (previously 1.7.3b)	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country? If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: hunting without a licence, violating licen breeding/collecting/possessing protected wild fauna and flora without	ce's conditions,			
3.4.1b (previously 1.7.3a)	Does your country have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime? If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available The Conservation of Biodiversity and Protected Areas Law (2018), penalities ranges from 3-10 years of imprisonment and/or fine.	Yes 🖂 No 🖳 No information			
3.4.1c (previously 1.7.3c)	Does your country have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the investigation of CITES offences? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITE collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility another country) during the period covered in this report:				
3.4.1d (previously 1.7.3d)	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ³ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons lear other Parties:	Yes			
3.4.1e (previously 1.7.3e)	Does your country have a standard operating procedure among relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	Yes			

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

3.4.1g (previously 1.7.3g) o	illegal trade chains, including through strategie illegal products, in order for trade to be legal a SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Targets 5, 16, 20 & 21	ansit a es to re nd sus	nd des educe k tainabl	stination states ooth the supply e.	of and demand for	
3.4.1g (previously 1.7.3g) le	Parties work collaboratively across range, tra illegal trade chains, including through strategie illegal products, in order for trade to be legal a SDG Goals 15 & 17	ansit a	nd des	stination states		
3.4.1g (previously 1.7.3g) le	f 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major	capaci	ty-build	ling needs:		
3.4.1g (previously le			ı			
	Does your country have institutional capacity to imple egislative provisions listed in the question above aga offences?			Yes No No information		
b	f 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each orief summary, including any lessons learned which n Conservation of Biodiversity and Protected Areas Lav actions against CITES offences.	night b	e helpf	ul for other Paเ	rties: The	
S	Specialized investigation techniques ⁷	\boxtimes				
C	Organized crime ⁶	\boxtimes				
Ir	nternational cooperation in criminal matters ⁵					
C	Corruption ⁴					
ļ	Asset forfeiture ³					
	General crime ¹ Predicate offences ²					
3.4.1f (previously 1.7.3f)	Does your country have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the anyestigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?	

If 'Yes', please indicate the number of seizures made:

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

² Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

³ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

Objective	SDG Goal 16						
Indicator 3	3.6.1: 	Number of Parties reporting in impleme	entation reports of activ	vities taken to address c	orruption.		
3.6.1	respo mana	your country undertaken activities to a uption, in particular with regard to nati onsible for wildlife law enforcement ar agement? s', please elaborate on the types of ac	tional agencies and protected areas	Yes No No information			
Objective 3	3.7	Investments in building capacity of C monitored to ensure stepwise improver SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20	•	coordinated, and their	success		
Indicator 3	5.7.1:	Number of capacity-building activities of (Data source: See questions for indicate)					
Indicator 3	.7.2:	Number of Parties who report improcapacity-building efforts.	ovements in their im	iplementation following	targeted		
3.7.2		you report improvements in the imple our country following targeted capacity		Yes No			
		ar country tonowing angeles and a	y-bulluing ss.	No Capacity- building			
		o', please elaborate on the reasons whovements in your implementation:	ıy targeted capacity-l	building did not lead to	0		
Indicator 3	3.7.3:	Total investments into capacity-building (Data source: Reports from capacity-building)					
Objective :	3.8	Parties take full advantage of emerging technological developments to improve the effective implementation and enforcement of the Convention. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Targets 20 &21					
Indicator 3	3.8.1:	Number of CITES Parties using the Cl ⁻ (Data source: CITES Secretariat)	TES Checklist API.				
GOAL 4		CITES POLICY DEVELOPMENT A INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS TO ACH			S FROM		
Objective 4	4.1	Parties support sustainable wildlife trade policies, especially those that increase the capacity of Indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods. SDG Goals 8, 12, 14, 15 & 17 GBF Goals B & C & Targets 5 & 22					
Indicator 4	.1.1: 	Number of CITES-listed species for sustainable wildlife management polici		designed/implemented	relevant		
4.1.1	sust	your country designed or implement tainable wildlife management policies cies?		Yes No No information			
	If 'Y	es', please indicate the names of the	species:				
	Tax	con (scientific name)	Total number of CI7	TES-listed species cov	/ered		
			<u> </u>				

Indicator 4.	1.2:	Percentage of Parties that co-developed peoples and local communities to pursue		orted	the capacity of i	ndigenous
4.1.2	capa	your country co-developed or otherwis city of indigenous peoples and local coue livelihoods?		Yes No No in	nformation	
Objective 4	.2	The importance of achieving CITES' Sustainable Development Goals, as wel recognized. SDG Goals 12, 15 & 17 GBF Targets 4 & 5				
Indicator 4.	2.1:	Number of Parties incorporating CITES Plan (NBSAP), also included in the global CBD programme.				
4.2.1a (previously 3.4.2a)	Biod	CITES been incorporated into your cou iversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBS/ IBSAP?		n of	Yes No No information	
4.2.1b (previously 3.4.2b)	Envir	our country been able to obtain funds from onment Facility (GEF) or other sources to cts of NBSAP implementation?			Yes No No information	
Objective 4	.3	Awareness of the role, purpose and achie SDG Goals 12 & 17 GBF Targets 4, 5 & 21	evements of CITES	is incr	eased globally.	
Indicator 4.	3.1:	Number of new, unique visits to the CITE (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number		e CITE	ES website)	
Indicator 4.	3.2:	Number of Parties with information on Cl (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number				
Indicator 4.	3.3:	Number of followers on CITES social me (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number i.e., Instagram, LinkedIn, Facebook, Yout	er of followers of Cl		nd WWD on soci	ial media,
Indicator 4.	3.4:	Number of key identified hashtags (e.g. # social media. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)	cites, #citescop19	#world	wildlifeday, etc.)	on CITES
Indicator 4.	3.5:	Number of events submitted to the World (Data source: CITES Secretariat)	l Wildlife Day websi	te.		
Objective 4	.4	CITES Parties are informed of international a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES SDG Goal 17 GBF Target 21		nable d	development that	may have
Indicator 4.	4.1:	Number of meetings/CoP where represent relevant activities to CITES Parties. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)	ntatives of other inte	ernatio	nal bodies report	: on

Indicator 4.4.2:		Events, documents and presentations, etc. delivered by other intergovernmental bodies and fora in meetings convened by the CITES Secretariat. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)						
Indicator 4.4.3:		Number of Notifications to the Parties issued by the CITES Secretariat relating to international actions for sustainable development that may have a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)						
GOAL 5		DELIVERY OF THE CITES STRATEGIC VICOLLABORATION	ISION IS IMPRO	OVED THROUGH				
Objective 5.1		Parties and the Secretariat support and enhance exist achieve their identified objectives. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20	SDG Goal 17					
Indicator 5.	1.1:	Number of Parties which report that they have achieved CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and ot trade and development agreements.						
f.1.1 (previously 3.3.1a)	dupl and agre CBD party If 'Ye othe enivi	e measures been taken to achieve coordination and lication of activities between the national CITES aut national focal points for other multilateral environmements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related convention, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country? es', please give a brief description: National CITES Author MEA are members of national level committees for seronment and heritage etc. Number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with into organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES work building activities.	thorities Nomental No inficions: try is the control of the control	versity, d non-governmental				
5.1.2 (previously 3.3.3a)	CITE	funding been provided or received to facilitate ES workshops, training or other capacity building vities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?				
	Inter	r-governmental organizations?						
	Non	-governmental organizations?						
Indicator 5.	1	Number of cooperative actions taken under established prevent species from being unsustainably exploited the	rough international					
5.1.3 (previously 3.5.1a)	mul fron	s your country taken action under established bilate tilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent spondering unsustainably exploited through internation 'es', please provide details:	pecies No	formation				
Indicator 5.	1.4:	Number of times other relevant international organ natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to						

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

5.1.4 (previousl 3.5.2a)	Ave yea org	erage number of times per or that international nanizations or agreements or been consulted by CITES thorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Mar	nagement Authority(ies)						
	Scie	entific Authority(ies)						
	Enf	orcement Authority(ies)		\boxtimes				
Indicator 5.1.5: Number of implemented cooperation agreements between the Secretariat and Multilatera Environmental Agreements (MEAS), including the Biodiversity Liaison Group (BLG) and other biodiversity-related Conventions. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) Objective 5.2 Parties encourage the formation of new, innovative and mutually sustainable alliar between CITES and relevant international partners, where appropriate to advance CIT objective and mainstream conservation and of sustainable use of biodiversity. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20					son Group (BLG) and ually sustainable alliances opriate to advance CITES'			
Indicator	5.2.1:	Number of alliances between Cl objective and mainstream conse (Data source: CITES Secretaria	ervation					
Objective	5.3	Cooperation between CITES institutions is enhanced in order and enforcement.						

5.1.4

Indicator 5.3.1: Number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D

5.3.1a (previously 3.1.1a)	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements? If 'Yes', please provide brief details:	Yes No Not applicable No information	
5.3.1b (previously 3.1.1a)	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 5.3.2: Number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

5.3.2 (previously 3.1.2a)	Has your country provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?				Yes No No	□ ⊠ information □		
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Questions that are not directly linked to the CITES Strategic Vision indicators but provide useful information about the implementation of the Convention

	COOPERATION AND SYNERGIES					
C1 (previously 1.6.1a)	(previously agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes ☐ No ☒					
C2a (previously 3.3.2a)	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has contributed towards?	your co	ountry			
C2b (previously 3.3.2b)	In addition to C2a, how many national level projects has your coun implemented which integrate CITES issues?	try		1		
C2c (previously 3.3.2c)	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:		Yes	No		
	Agencies for development?					
	Agencies for trade?		\boxtimes			
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?		\boxtimes			
	Local authorities or communities?		\boxtimes			
	Indigenous or local peoples?		\boxtimes			
	Trade or other private sector associations?		\boxtimes			
	NGOs?		\boxtimes			
	Other (please specify)					
C2d (previously 3.3.2d)	Are CITES requirements integrated into?		Yes	No		
	National and local development strategies?		\boxtimes			
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		\boxtimes			
	Planning processes?		\boxtimes			
	National accounting?		\boxtimes			
F4	ENFORCEMENT					
E1 (previously 1.7.1a)	Do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> have, <u>is</u> are you <u>r country</u> engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information		
	- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?					
	- formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?					
	a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?					
	- formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?					
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement a details: Member of AWG CITES & WE, Combatting Ilegal Wildlife Tra National Wildlife Enforcement Taskforce of Myanmar	nd prov ide Acti	ide addition	onal f Myanmar,		
E2a (previously	Does your country have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to	Yes	ıt roviow			

	implement your strategy(ies)?	cons	sideration	
		No		\boxtimes
		No i	nformation	
	If 'Yes', what do you do?			
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools de	o you find	of value?	
E2b	Has your country used the International Consortium on	Yes		
(previously 1.7.2b)	Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime	No,	but toolkit u	se is under
1.7.20)	Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	cons	sideration	
		No		\boxtimes
		No i	nformation	
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that			toolkit or
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed tools useful to you:	to make tl	ne toolkit or	equivalent
	Providing guidance to identify the relevant tools for Myanmar			
E3a	Does your country use risk assessment to target CITES	Alway	/S	
(previously 1.7.4a)	enforcement effort?	Very		
1.7.4a)		Some	etimes	
		Rarel		
		Neve		
		No in		
E3b	Does your country have capacity to analyse information gathered	Yes		$\overline{\mathbb{N}}$
(previously	on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	No		
1.7.4b)			formation	H
E3c	Does your country use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform	Alway		
(previously	investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very		
1.7.4c)	involugations into mogal trade in on the initial operator.	•	etimes	H
		Rarel	•	
		Neve		片
			formation	
E3d (previously	Has your country implemented any supply-side activities to	Yes		
1.7.4d)	address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?		out activitie	
	covered in this report?		r developme	
		No		\boxtimes
		No in	formation	
E3e	Has your country implemented any demand-side activities to	Yes		\boxtimes
(previously 1.7.4e)	address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period	No, I	out activitie	s are
,	covered in this report?	unde	r developme	ent
		No		
		No in	formation	
During t	he period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No
Daining t	no ponos ouveros in tino report.			Information
E4a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans,		\boxtimes	
(previously 1.7.5a)	suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?			
	ı			1

Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

	details:				
E4b (previously 1.7.5b)	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?				
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, wild fauna in plastic boxes	please at	tach details	: 8, hide	: live
E4c (previously 1.7.5c)	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?			Γ	
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result such as illegal possessing and transport of live/body of wild flow point of crossing. Offences were take action by the Conservation Areas Law (2018) of Myanmar.	lora and f	fauna inspe	cted at	illegal
E4d (previously 1.7.5d)	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	call that	apply
	Return to country of export				
	Public zoos or botanical gardens				☑
	Designated rescue centres				
	Approved private facilities				
	– Euthanasia				
	Other (please specify): Release to natural habitats				\leq
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscate Challenges such as handling live species, transportation, identification.				
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with oth	ner Partie	s?		
	RESOURCES				
R1a (previously 2.2.1a)	Does your country have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for y Management Authority(ies)?	our/		Yes No	
Z.Z. 1a)	If 'No', please go to Question R1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are th standards?	iose			
	If 'Yes', does your country have performance targets for these standards ² ?			Yes	

If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach

Yes

No

No

Yes

If 'Yes', what are your country's performance targets?

standard targets?

shortfall a result of:

number of staff?a shortage of skills?

more of?

- availability of funding?

Does your country publish your performance against service

If possible, please provide your country's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:

If your country did not meet its performance targets then was this

If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your country need

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

R1b (previously	Does your con Scientific Auth	untry have an approved ser nority(ies)?	rvice standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your		Yes No			
2.2.1b)	If 'No', please	go to Question R1d.						
	If 'Yes', for wh standards?	ich services are there stand	dards, and what are those					
	If 'Yes', does y standards ⁴⁸ ?	your country have performa	ance targets for these		Yes No			
	If 'Yes', what a	are your country's performa	ince targets?					
		ease provide your country's ards during the period cove						
	If your country shortfall a res	/ did not meet its performar ult of:	nce targets then was this	Yes		No		
	availability	of funding?						
	number of	staff?						
	a shortage	of skills?						
	If 'Yes' to a sh more of?	ortage of skills, which skills	does your country need					
R1c	Does your co	untry have an approved ser	rvice standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your		Yes			
(previously 2.2.1c)	enforcement a	• • •			No	\boxtimes		
	The state of the s	go to Question R1d. ich services are there stand	dards, and what are these					
	standards?	iich services are there stand	ualus, aliu wilat ale tilose					
	If 'Yes', does y standards ⁴⁸ ?	your country have performa	ance targets for these		Yes No			
	If 'Yes', what a	are your country's performa	ince targets?					
		ease provide your country's ards during the period cove						
	If your country shortfall a res	/ did not meet its performar ult of:	nce targets then was this	Yes		No		
	 availability 	of funding?						
	number of	staff?						
	a shortage	of skills?						
	If 'Yes' to a sh more of?	ortage of skills, which skills	does your country need					
R1d (previously 2.2.1d)			ır answered 'No' to the first pa d service standards for your a		R1a, R	1b, or		
2.2.14)	Does your co	untry have sufficient of the t	following for your authorities t	o function effe	ectively?	?		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforc Author				
	Funding?	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
	Staff?	Yes ⊠ No □	Yes ⊠ No □	Yes 🛚	No 🗌			
	Skills?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
						-		
R2a			undertaken during the period					
(previously 2.2.2a)		report to enhance the effe n at the national level?	ctiveness of CITES	Tic	k if app	licable		
	Hiring of more			110	.π. ιι αμμ □	moable		
	Development of implementation tools							

	Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement					
	Other (please specify):					
R2b (previously 2.2.2b)	During the period covered in this report the budget for your:	ort, was	Increased	Stable	Decreased	
	Management Authority(ies)			\boxtimes		
	Scientific Authority(ies)			\boxtimes		
	Enforcement authorities			\boxtimes		
R2c (previously 2.2.2c)	Has your country been able to use interest development funding assistance to in the level of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applicable	
	Management Authority(ies)?				\boxtimes	
	Scientific Authority(ies)?				\boxtimes	
	Enforcement authorities?				\boxtimes	
R2d (previously 2.2.2d)	What is the respective level of priority the national level through the followin			iveness of CITES	3 implementation at	
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority	
	Hiring of more staff	\boxtimes				
	Development of implementation tools	\boxtimes				
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement					
	e-permitting					
	Other (please specify): Capacity buidling for permit officer and enforcement officers					
R2e (previously 2.2.2e)	Does your country have an operation (e.g. electronic database) for managin		Yes	Under development	No	
	Species information					
	Trade information					
	Non-detriment findings				\boxtimes	
			<u></u>			
R3a (previously 2.2.3a)	Does the Management Authority char	rge fees for:		Tick a	Il that are applicable	
	 Administrative procedures 					
	Issuance of CITES documents (e the sea)	.g. for impo	rt, exports, re	-export, or introd	uction from	
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the i of CITES-listed species) 	mport, expo	ort, re-export,	or introduction fr	om the sea	
	 Licensing or registration of operat 		oduce CITES	species		
	Harvesting of CITES-listed species	es				
	 Use of CITES-listed species 					
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES- 	listed specie	es			
	Other (please specify):					
R3b (previously 2.2.3b)	Is a fee schedule publicly available? If 'Yes', please provide an internet link	k, or a copy	of the schedu	ule to the Secreta	Yes ☐ No ☐ ariat:	

R3c (previously	Has your country used revenues from fees for the implementation of Cl conservation?	TES or wildlif	e	
2.2.3c)		N	Entirely Partly lot at all	
R3d		INULI	Yes	No
(previously 2.2.3d)				NO
	Does your country raise funds for CITES management through charging	•		\boxtimes
	Do your country's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits	s?		\boxtimes
	Does your country have case studies on charging or using fees?			\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:			
	Does your country use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds implementation?	for CITES		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:			
R4a (previously 2.2.4a)	Does your country use incentive measures¹ such as those described in described in described to implement the Convention? YesNo Due diligence	e provide a s orting System	ummary	or
	AWARENESS			
A1 (previously 3.2.1a)	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups? - Press conferences - Press releases - Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets - Television appearances - Radio appearances - Presentations	Wider public		er ups 3 3 3 3 3
	 Public consultations / meetings 		\boxtimes	1

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

		 Market surveys Displays Information at border crossing points Telephone hotline Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) Other (specify): Please attach copies of any items or describe examples: 	
1	A O -	Library and the decrease and the Authorities are suited to CITEC and the C	
	A2a (previously	How regularly do your country's Authorities consult the CITES website?	

A2a (previously 3.2.2a)	How regularly do your country's Authorities cons	ult the C	CITES webs	ite?		
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority			\boxtimes		
	Staff of Scientific Authority			\boxtimes		
	Staff of enforcement authorities			\boxtimes		
A2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES v	vebsite?	Exc	ellent	
(previously 3.2.2b)				Goo	d	\boxtimes
,				Ave	rage	
				Poo	r	
				Very	/ Poor	
				No i	nformation	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? which authorities find which functions/tools mos	` •	•	•		untered,

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Item		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed	
Web link(s)	Not available	
	Previously provided	\boxtimes
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules	, awareness raising mate	rials, etc:
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in	n Yes	\boxtimes
your country requiring attention or assistance?	No	
	No Information	
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or a like to enhance participation in CITES related events such as training the Convention.		
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with o	ther Yes	
Parties?	No	
	No Information	\boxtimes
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		
How could this report format be improved?		

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.