The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2021-2030* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be monitored.

CITES vision statement

By 2030, all international trade in wild fauna and flora is legal and sustainable, consistent with the long-term conservation of species, and thereby contributing to halting biodiversity loss, to ensuring its sustainable use, and to achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

The questions of the implementation report follow the structure of the *Strategic Vision 2021-2030* and its indicators that are mapped against the Sustainable Development Goals and the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework to ensure synergies and consistent reporting.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP19)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat on 31 October of the year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form and to **answer at a minimum all questions in bold**. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat Palais des Nations Avenue de la Paix 8-14 CH-1211 Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Malaysia
Period covered in this report	2021 - 2023
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of Natural Resources and Environmental Sustainability
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	 i. Department of Wildlife and National Parks (Peninsular Malaysia) ii. Department of Fisheries Malaysia iii. Department of Agriculture iv. Malaysian Timber Industry Board v. Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia vi. Sabah Wildlife Department vii. Department of Fisheries Sabah viii. Sabah Forestry Department ix. Sarawak Forestry Corporation x. Forest Research Institute Malaysia

GOAL 1 TRADE IN CITES-LISTED SPECIES IS CONDUCTED IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONVENTION IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THEIR CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABLE USE

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through the adoption and implementation of appropriate legislation, policies, and procedures. SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15 GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9 & 10

Indicator 1.1.1: Number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project. (Data source: National Legislation Project)

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes 🛛 No 🗌 If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

Indicator 1.1.2: Number of Parties subject to CITES recommendations to suspend trade. (Data source: Notifications to the Parties and reference list of countries subject to a recommendation to suspend trade)

- Objective 1.2 Parties have established CITES Management and Scientific Authorities and enforcement focal points that effectively carry out the duties required of them under the Convention and relevant Resolutions.
- Indicator 1.2.1: Number of Parties that have designated at least one Management Authority, independent Scientific Authority and enforcement focal points in place. (Data source: CITES online directory)
- Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with Resolutions and Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties. SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15 GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9, 10 & 15
- Indicator 1.3.1: Number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])? Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements No special reporting requirements applicable
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation status and needs of species. SDG Goal 15 GBF Goal A & Targets 4 & 5

- Indicator 1.4.1: The number and proportion of species listed in Appendices that have been found to meet the criteria for each Appendix contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP17) or its successors as part of the Periodic Review process or of amendment proposals
- Objective 1.5 Parties improve the conservation status of CITES-listed specimens, put in place national conservation actions, support their sustainable use and promote cooperation in managing shared wildlife resources. SDG Goals 2, 12, 14 & 15 GBF Goals A & B & Targets 4, 5, 9 & 10
- Indicator 1.5.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved. (Data source: IUCN Red List conservations status categories)

1.5.1a (previously 3.4.1a)	Does your country have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has			
	stabilized or improved?	Yes	No	Not Applicable
	Appendix I		\boxtimes	
	Appendix II		\bowtie	
	Appendix III		\boxtimes	
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provid	de:		
	Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary	/		
1.5.1b (previously 3.4.1b)	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species? If 'Yes', please provide details:	No	nformatio	on 🛛

Indicator 1.5.2: Number of CITES-listed species for which Parties have put in place actions that support sustainable use.

1.5.2 (previously		ave any cooperative management plans, inclu-					
(previously 1.6.2a)	In place for shared p	opulations of CITES-listed species?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌				
	If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species.						
	Species Name Link or reference to a published plan (scientific)						
	Sharks	National Plan of Action for the Conservation	on and Management Shark				
	Panthera tigris jacksoni	https://www.wildlife.gov.my/images/stories	s/penerbitan/pelan/NTCAP.pdf				
	Elephas maximus	https://www.wildlife.gov.my/images/document/	/Pelan/NECAP_20_BM_eBOOK.pdf				
GOAL 2	PARTIES' DEC	ISIONS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE BEST AV	AILABLE SCIENCE AND				

INFORMATION

Objective 2.1 Parties' non-detriment findings are based on best available scientific information and their determination of legal acquisition is based on the best available technical and legal information. SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15 GBF Targets 4, 5, 9 & 20

2.1.1a (previously 1.5.2a)			Yes	No	No information		
	Does your country have standard procedures for making detriment findings in line with <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev CoP17)</u> ?			\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:						
	1. https://www.wildlife.gov.my/images/document/penerbitan/lainlain/Khadiejah_et_al_(2020)_ MANAGEMENT_AND_TRADE_IN_ASIAN_WATER_MONITORS.pdf						
	2.						
0.4.41	https://www.wildlife.gov.my/images/document/penerbitan		ythor	is.pdf			
2.1.1b (previously	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the fo guidance been used?	llowing	Plea	se tick	all that apply		
1.5.2b)			1 lou	non 00			
		l College		L			
		IUCN Checklist					
	Resolution C	-		_	\boxtimes		
	2008 NDF v	•		-	\boxtimes		
	Species specific	guidance			\boxtimes		
		Other		[
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details	s:					
2.1.1c	How often do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> review and/or change your	Case by					
(previously 1.5.2c)	non-detriment findings?	Annually					
		Every tw	•				
		Less fre					
		A mix of					
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detrime	nt findings	would	be cha	inged:		

Indicator 2.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings (NDFs).

- Indicator 2.1.2: Number of written NDFs submitted and number of Parties submitting NDFs for posting in the CITES online database. (Data source: NDF webpage on the CITES website)
- Indicator 2.1.3: Number of Parties that have included the legal acquisition finding obligation in their national regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19).

2.1.3		Yes	No	No information
	Is the legal acquisition finding obligation included in your national regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19)?	\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes', please briefly include the name of the regulatory instrument, or information can be found on the internet: International Trade in Endangered Species Act 2008	or provid	e a link	to where the

Objective 2.2 Parties cooperate in sharing information and tools relevant to the implementation of CITES. SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 2.2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17) on *Non-detriment findings* related to: - the population status of Appendix-II species; - the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of and trend in naturally occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

2.2.1a (previously 1.5.1a)	Have any surveys, studies or other analy undertaken in your country in relation to:		Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II spe	cies?	\boxtimes			4
	 the trends and impact of trade on Apper species? 	ndix II	\boxtimes			2
	 the status of and trend in naturally-occu Appendix I species? 	ırring	\boxtimes			1
	 the impact of any recovery plans on App species? 	pendix I		\boxtimes		
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses int relevant knowledge and expertise of loca indigenous communities?		\boxtimes			2
	If there are such studies that you are willing	to share, ple	ease prov	/ide:		
		or other an stable / inc	alysis (e rease, o	.g. popu ff-take le	Its of the surve lation status, de vels etc), or pro	ecline /
	Species name (scientific)	links to put	olished re	eference	material.	
	Sharks and rays	-				
	Panthera tigris jacksoni	-				
	Elephas maximus	-		_		
	Malayopython reticulatus	https://ww enerbitan/			ny/images/doc s.pdf	ument/p
	Varanus salvator	enerbitan/	lainlain/ Γ_AND_	Khadiej	ny/images/doc ah_et_al_(202 _IN_ASIAN_W/	0)_MAN
2.2.1b (previously 1.5.1b)	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app		alyses us	sed in m	aking non-detri	ment
1.5.10)			Re	vised ha	arvest or export	·
				04-1-1	-	export
			Change		er domestic me gement of the s	
		D			anagement Aut	
					th other stakeh	
	Ot	her (please	provide a	a short s	ummary):	
2.2.1c (previously 1.5.1c	Do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> have specific conservation or recovery plans for naturally occurring App species?		d No Nota	Applicat		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl impact: National Tiger Conservation Actio Plan, Malaysia National Plan of Action for	n Plan, Nat	ional Ele	ephant (Conservation /	
2.2.1d (previously	Ha <u>s</u> ve you <u>r country</u> published any non-detri	ment finding	s that ca	n be sha	ared? Yes 🖂 N	o 🗌

1.5.1d)	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretariat within this report:
	، https://www.wildlife.gov.my/images/document/penerbitan/lainlain/Khadiejah_et_al_(2020)_ MANAGEMENT_AND_TRADE_IN_ASIAN_WATER_MONITORS.pdf
	2.
	https://www.wildlife.gov.my/images/document/penerbitan/lainlain/Pythons.pdf

2.2.1e (previously 1.5.1e)	Which of the following [A to F of paragraph 1 a) x) of <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7</u> (<u>Rev. CoP17</u>)] do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> use in making non-detriment findings?	Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends.	\boxtimes	
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.	\boxtimes	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locations and at sites protected from harvest and other impacts.	\boxtimes	
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities.	\boxtimes	
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts.	\boxtimes	
	F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example.		

Indicator 2.2.2: Number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys. (Data source: Quotas webpage on the CITES website)

2.2.2a (previously 1.5.3a)	Does your country set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', does your country set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set: Annual export quota of each species (as notified to CITES Secretariat) is set based on population survey. Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	Other, please specify
2.2.2b (previously 1.5.3b)	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption? If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment Scientific authorities shall advise Management Authoriti annual export based on the NDF data. Cautious Harvest export quota for each species is set much less than the environment.	es on the suitable qu Quota Determination	whereby

Indicator 2.2.3: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

2.2.3a (previously 1.6.3a)	iously building activities provided by external sources?						the following capacity-
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	ıl or written rice/guidance	thnical	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	
	Target group	Oral advi	Tecl assi	Fin ass	Tra	Ğ	What were the external sources ¹ ?

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

I	Ctaff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Management Authority			\square			CITES, INTERPOL, UNODC
	Staff of Scientific Authority	\boxtimes		\square	\boxtimes		CITES
	Staff of enforcement authorities						CITES, INTERPOL, UNODC
	Traders						
	NGOs			\boxtimes	\boxtimes		Government of USA
	Public						
	Other (please specify):						
2.2.3b (previously 1.6.3b)	Have the CITES authorities been activities to other range States?	the pro	oviders	of any	of the	follo	owing capacity-building
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	ing	Other (specify)	
	Target group	Oral oral oral	Technical assistanc	Financial assistanc	Training	Othe	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other Parties/International meetings						
	Other (please specify)						
2.2.3c (previously 1.6.3c)	In what ways does your country colla	aborate	with oth	er CITE	S Parti	es?	
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Further detail / examples
	Information exchange			\square			
	Monitoring / survey		\square				
	Habitat management		\square				
	Species management			\square			
	Law enforcement			\square			
	Capacity building				\square		
	Other (please provide details)						
	How many training and capacity building country run during the period covered in			fro	/ithout a om the ecretar		tance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			N	lone			
				1			
				2-5			\boxtimes

1

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

		٦		6-10 1-20 an 20			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions Conf. 10.10 (Rev. CoP19) Trade in ele CITES and ITTO regarding trade in tra- findings; Conf. 17.11 Conservation of Implementation of the Convention fo Compliance and enforcement; Conf. Convention; Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19)	phant s opical t of and tu r agarw 8.4 (Rev	pecime imber; (rade in ood-pro v. CoP1	Conf. 16 helmete oducing 5) Natio	6.7 (R d ho taxa nal la	ev. Co rnbill ; Cor	oP17) Non-detriment ; Conf. 16.10 if. 11.3 (Rev. CoP19)
2.2.3e (previously 2.3.1b)	What sorts of capacity building activities Enforcement, species identification, sharing, engagement with local com	law amo	endmer	nt, speci			
2.2.3f (previously 2.3.1c)	What capacity building needs does you	r country	y have?				
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority		\square				
	Staff of Scientific Authority		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\square		
	Staff of enforcement authorities		\square	\square	\square		
	Traders / other user groups				\boxtimes		
	NGOs			\square	\square		
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

- Indicator 2.2.4: Number of reports shared by the Parties in compliance with the Resolutions of the Convention. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Indicator 2.2.5: Number of Parties sharing information relevant to the implementation of CITES (e.g. shared databases, data visualization/software, information-sharing focused tools, etc.).

2.2.5	Has your country shared information relevant to the implementation of CITES (e.g. shared databases, data visualization/software, information-sharing focused tools, etc.)?	Yes No	

Indicator 2.2.6: Number of CoP side-events where Parties present information and tools relevant to the implementation of CITES (*Data source: CoP side-event schedule and descriptions*)

Objective 2.3 Parties have sufficient information to enforce the Convention. SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal D & Targets 15 & 21

Indicator 2.3.1: Proportion of Parties that are making use of the available tools. For instance, one could look at Google Analytics for the number of site visits to the CITES website, CITES Checklist, or Species+ or the number of downloads from the CITES Trade Database as a proxy for usage of shared tools.
 (Data source: CITES Secretariat – Number of visits to the CITES website; number of visits to the CITES Trade Database)

Indicator 2.3.2: Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient information to enforce the Convention.

2.3.2	Do you consider that your country has sufficient	Yes	\boxtimes
	information to enforce the Convention?	Νο	

- Objective 2.4 Parties have sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs. SDG Goal 12 GBF Goals A & D & Targets 5, 20 & 21
- Indicator 2.4.1: Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs.

2.4.1	Do you consider that your country has sufficient	Yes	\boxtimes
	information to make listing decisions that are	Νο	
	reflective of species conservation needs?		

Objective 2.5 Information gaps and needs for key species are identified and addressed. SDG Goal 12 GBF Target 21

Indicator 2.5.1: Number of Parties that have undertaken research (including for non-detriment findings) on their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention.

2.5.1a	Has research (including for non-detriment findings) on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country?Yes ⊠ No □
	If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species: Key species are identified based on the annual trade report, the National CITES Committee Meeting and advice from the Scientific Authorities.
2.5.1b (previously 1.4.1a)	Has your country undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes 🖾 No 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):
	Based on information from all stakeholders (Management Authority, Scientific Authority, other Party, NGO), a status review of the species <i>Copsychus malabaricus</i> (White-rumped Shama) was conducted which resulted in the listing of the species in Appendix II during CoP19.

Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them.

2.5.2	Do you consider that your country currently lacks information on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and needs assistance to address them? Yes ⊠ No □	
	If 'Yes', please specify for which key species and the type of assistance needed: Funding to implement NDF for <i>Varanus salvator, Python reticulatus, Crocodylus porosus,</i> <i>Copsychus malabaricus, Copsychus saularis, sharks, sea cucmber</i> and corals.	

GOAL 3 PARTIES (INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY) HAVE THE TOOLS, RESOURCES AND CAPACITY TO EFFECTIVELY IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE THE CONVENTION, CONTRIBUTING TO CONSERVATION, SUSTAINABLE USE AND THE REDUCTION OF ILLEGAL TRADE IN CITES-LISTED WILDLIFE SPECIES

Objective 3.1 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens. SDG Goal 16 GBF Goal D

Indicator 3.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
3.1.1 (previously 1.2.1a)	Does your country have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\square		

Indicator 3.1.2: Number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19).

3.1.2 (previously 1.2.2a)							
		Т	ick all ap	plicable			
		Yes	No	No information			
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 to Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19) are urgently required.						
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 2.		\boxtimes				
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.	\boxtimes					
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.	\boxtimes					
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:						

Indicator 3.1.3: Number of Parties that have adopted an electronic system for the issuance of permits.

		Yes	No	No information
3.1.3 (previously 1.2.1b)	Does your country have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries			

Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		\square	
Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?			
Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		\boxtimes	
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on overcome:	challenges	faced or	issues
overcome.			
Challenges in producing the CITES annual reports where crosscheck the database with printed permits. Insufficient resources to integrate CITES permitting syste	m with othe	er systems	
Challenges in producing the CITES annual reports where crosscheck the database with printed permits. Insufficient resources to integrate CITES permitting syste customs, quarantine inspection) to ensure effectiveness	m with othe	er systems	
Challenges in producing the CITES annual reports where crosscheck the database with printed permits. Insufficient resources to integrate CITES permitting syste	m with othe	er systems	
Challenges in producing the CITES annual reports where crosscheck the database with printed permits. Insufficient resources to integrate CITES permitting syste customs, quarantine inspection) to ensure effectiveness If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-	m with othe of trade con ⊠	er systems trol.	s (e.g.

- Objective 3.2 Parties and the Secretariat develop, adopt and implement adequate capacity-building programmes. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21
- Indicator 3.2.1: Number of Parties with training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES, including the making of non-detriment and legal acquisition findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

3.2.1a (previously 1.8.1a)	Does your country have information resources or training in plac The making of non-detriment findings? Permit officers? Enforcement officers?	e to support: YesNo	
3.2.1b (previously 1.8.1b)	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building? Produce audio visual learning module	Yes No No information	
3.2.1c (previously 1.8.1c)	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

Indicator 3.2.2: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

See questions for indicator 2.2.3

Objective 3.3 Sufficient resources are available at the national and international levels to support necessary capacity-building programmes and ensure compliance with and full implementation and enforcement of the Convention. SDG Goals 15 & 17

¹ e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 3.3.1: Number of Parties meeting their obligations with regard to their assessed contributions to the Trust Fund.

(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

- Indicator 3.3.2: Percentage of the total funds required to implement the work programme agreed by the Conference of the Parties that is fully funded. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Objective 3.4 Parties recognize illegal trade in wildlife as serious crime and have adequate systems in place to detect and deter it. SDG Goal 15
- Indicator 3.4.1: Number of Parties where criminal offences relating to illegal trade in wildlife (such as illegal hunting/harvest and wildlife trafficking) are recognized as a serious crime.

3.4.1a (previously 1.7.3b)	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	Yes [2] No [2] No information [2]				
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: Offence punishable by imprisonment more serious penalty.					
3.4.1b (previously 1.7.3a)	Does your country have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes D				
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available The International Trade in Endangered Species Act 2008. Penalty : - for individual offender, fine can be up to RM1 Million and 7 years maximum imprisonment or both	No information				
3.4.1c (previously 1.7.3c)	Does your country have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the investigation of CITES offences?	Yes 2 No 2 No information 2				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITE collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility another country) during the period covered in this report: Ivory, Rt Sanders wood, <i>Aquilaria malaccensis, Gonystylus bancanus</i> .	(located in your country and ino horn, Pangolin scales				
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: Terrestrial animal and					
3.4.1d (previously 1.7.3d)	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ³ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes [No [No information [
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:					
	Malaysia had established the Malaysia Wildlife Enforcement Network (MY-WEN) to strengthen cooperation between management authorities and enforcement authorities. Malaysia also established a national integrated enforcement framework (<i>Operasi Bersepadu</i> <i>Khazanah</i>) whereby management authorities and enforcement authorities collaborated and					

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

³ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

	jointly conduct enforcement operations at targete	ed area	IS.		
3.4.1e (previously 1.7.3e)	Does your country have a standard operating proced relevant agencies for submitting information related to offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Or	o CITE	S	Yes No No informatio	n 🗌
3.4.1f (previously 1.7.3f)	Does your country have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?
	General crime ¹	\square			More than 1
	Predicate offences ²	\square			1
	Asset forfeiture ³	\square			
	Corruption ⁴				1
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁵				
	Organized crime ⁶			\boxtimes	
	Specialized investigation techniques ⁷			\square	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which r				
3.4.1g (previously 1.7.3g)	Does your country have institutional capacity to imple legislative provisions listed in the question above aga offences?			Yes No No informatio	on 🗌
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major	capaci	ty-build	ding needs:	

Objective 3.5 Parties work collaboratively across range, transit and destination states, to address entire illegal trade chains, including through strategies to reduce both the supply of and demand for illegal products, in order for trade to be legal and sustainable. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Targets 5, 16, 20 & 21

Indicator 3.5.1: Number of seizures made through Parties collaboration across range, transit and destination States, to address entire illegal trade chains.

3.5.1	Have authorities in your country made seizures through Parties collaboration across range, transit and destination States, to address entire illegal trade chains?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please indicate the number of seizures made: More than 1		

- ⁴ Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.
- ⁵ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.
- ⁶ Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.
- ⁷ Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

¹ General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

² Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

³ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Objective 3.6 Parties take measures to prohibit, prevent, detect and sanction corruption. SDG Goal 16

Indicator 3.6.1: Number of Parties reporting in implementation reports of activities taken to address corruption.

3.6.1	Has your country undertaken activities to address corruption, in particular with regard to national agencies responsible for wildlife law enforcement and protected areas management?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please elaborate on the types of activities taken: Enforcement operation was conducted and reported in mass r	nedia to increase aw	vareness.

- Objective 3.7 Investments in building capacity of CITES are prioritized, coordinated, and their success monitored to ensure stepwise improvement through time. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20
- Indicator 3.7.1: Number of capacity-building activities delivered to Parties. (*Data source: See questions for indicator 2.2.3*)
- Indicator 3.7.2: Number of Parties who report improvements in their implementation following targeted capacity-building efforts.

3.7.2	Can you report improvements in the implementation of CITES in your country following targeted capacity-building efforts?	Yes No	\square
		No capacity- building	
	If 'No', please elaborate on the reasons why targeted capacity- improvements in your implementation:	building did not lead to	0

- Indicator 3.7.3: Total investments into capacity-building efforts. (Data source: Reports from capacity-building activities)
- Objective 3.8 Parties take full advantage of emerging technological developments to improve the effective implementation and enforcement of the Convention. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21
- Indicator 3.8.1: Number of CITES Parties using the CITES Checklist API. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)

GOAL 4 CITES POLICY DEVELOPMENT ALSO CONTRIBUTES TO AND LEARNS FROM INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS TO ACHIEVE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

- Objective 4.1 Parties support sustainable wildlife trade policies, especially those that increase the capacity of Indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods. SDG Goals 8, 12, 14, 15 & 17 GBF Goals B & C & Targets 5 & 22
- Indicator 4.1.1: Number of CITES-listed species for which Parties have designed/implemented relevant sustainable wildlife management policies.

4.1.1	Has your country designed or implement sustainable wildlife management policies species?		Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please indicate the names of the species:			
	Taxon (scientific name) Total number of CITES-listed s			covered
	Crocodylus porosus			

Elephas maximus	
Panthera tigris	
CITES-listed Sharks and Rays	

Indicator 4.1.2: Percentage of Parties that co-developed or otherwise supported the capacity of indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods.

4.1.2	Has your country co-developed or otherwise supported the	Yes	\boxtimes
	capacity of indigenous peoples and local communities to	No	
	pursue livelihoods?	No information	

Objective 4.2 The importance of achieving CITES' aim as a contribution to achieving the relevant Sustainable Development Goals, as well as the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, is recognized. SDG Goals 12, 15 & 17 GBF Targets 4 & 5

Indicator 4.2.1: Number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP), also included in the global and national Strategies for Plant Conservation under CBD programme.

4.2.1a (previously 3.4.2a)	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP) or any revision of the NBSAP?	Yes No No information	$\square \square$
4.2.1b (previously 3.4.2b)	Has your country been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

- Objective 4.3 Awareness of the role, purpose and achievements of CITES is increased globally. SDG Goals 12 & 17 GBF Targets 4, 5 & 21
- Indicator 4.3.1: Number of new, unique visits to the CITES website. (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of site visits to the CITES website)
- Indicator 4.3.2: Number of Parties with information on CITES and its requirements on their official websites. (Data source: CITES Secretariat number of Management Authorities with a website)
- Indicator 4.3.3: Number of followers on CITES social media platforms. (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of followers of CITES and WWD on social media, i.e., Instagram, LinkedIn, Facebook, Youtube, Wechat and Weibo)
- Indicator 4.3.4: Number of key identified hashtags (e.g. #cites, #citescop19 #worldwildlifeday, etc.) on CITES social media. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Indicator 4.3.5: Number of events submitted to the World Wildlife Day website. (*Data source: CITES Secretariat*)
- Objective 4.4 CITES Parties are informed of international actions for sustainable development that may have a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES. SDG Goal 17 GBF Target 21
- Indicator 4.4.1: Number of meetings/CoP where representatives of other international bodies report on relevant activities to CITES Parties. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)

- Indicator 4.4.2: Events, documents and presentations, etc. delivered by other intergovernmental bodies and fora in meetings convened by the CITES Secretariat. (*Data source: CITES Secretariat*)
- Indicator 4.4.3: Number of Notifications to the Parties issued by the CITES Secretariat relating to international actions for sustainable development that may have a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)

GOAL 5 DELIVERY OF THE CITES STRATEGIC VISION IS IMPROVED THROUGH COLLABORATION

- Objective 5.1 Parties and the Secretariat support and enhance existing cooperative partnerships in order to achieve their identified objectives. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20
- Indicator 5.1.1: Number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

5.1.1 (previously 3.3.1a)	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description:		
	National focal points for CITES and other multilateral environmen established under the same administration (Ministry of Natural Re Environmental Sustainability) and work plan / implementation are	esources and	nly.

Indicator 5.1.2: Number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

5.1.2 (previously 3.3.3a)	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		CITES, UNDP Malaysia, Singapore & Brunei Darussalam, SEAFDEC
	Non-governmental organizations?	\square	TRAFFIC

Indicator 5.1.3: Number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

5.1.3 (previously 3.5.1a)	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:	Yes No No information	$\boxtimes \Box \Box$
--	---	-----------------------------	-----------------------

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 5.1.4: Number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

5.1.4 (previously 3.5.2a)	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)					\square	
	Scientific Authority(ies)					\boxtimes	
	Enforcement Authority(ies)						World Customs Organization, INTERPOL

- Indicator 5.1.5: Number of implemented cooperation agreements between the Secretariat and Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAS), including the Biodiversity Liaison Group (BLG) and other biodiversity-related Conventions. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Objective 5.2 Parties encourage the formation of new, innovative and mutually sustainable alliances between CITES and relevant international partners, where appropriate to advance CITES' objective and mainstream conservation and of sustainable use of biodiversity. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20
- Indicator 5.2.1: Number of alliances between CITES and relevant international partners to advance CITES objective and mainstream conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. (*Data source: CITES Secretariat*)
- Objective 5.3 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support activities that contribute to CITES implementation and enforcement. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D
- Indicator 5.3.1: Number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

5.3.1a (previously 3.1.1a)	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	$\square \square$				
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: Global Wildlife Program (GWP) established by the World Bank, Global Environment Facility (GEF) and United Nations Development Program (UNDP). For Malaysia, the foc is on 'Building institutional and local capacities to reduce wildlife crime and to enhance protection of iconic wildlife in Malaysia'.						
	Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center (SEAFDEC) on Sharks & Rays data collection.						
5.3.1b (previously 3.1.1a)	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased					

Indicator 5.3.2: Number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

5.3.2 (previously 3.1.2a)	Has your country provided technic another country or countries in rela				ance to	D	Yes No No	information
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management ¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)
	Lao PDR, Vietnam						\square	DNA analysis
	Brunei Darussalam							Course on Species Identification and Agarwood Trade

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

² Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Questions that are not directly linked to the CITES Strategic Vision indicators but provide useful information about the implementation of the Convention

COOPERATION AND SYNERGIES

C1 (previously	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes \Box No $igtimes$		
1.6.1a)	If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved:		

C2a (previously 3.3.2a)	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has yo contributed towards?	our country	-
C2b (previously 3.3.2b)	In addition to C2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?	3	
C2c (previously 3.3.2c)	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:		No
	Agencies for development?	\square	
	Agencies for trade?		\square
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	\boxtimes	
	Local authorities or communities?	\square	
	Indigenous or local peoples?	\square	
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\boxtimes	
	NGOs?	\boxtimes	
	Other (please specify)		
C2d (previously 3.3.2d)	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?	\boxtimes	
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		\square
	Planning processes?		\boxtimes
	National accounting?		\square

ENFORCEMENT

E1 (previously 1.7.1a)	Do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> have, <u>is</u> are you <u>r country</u> engaged in, or covered by:		No	No Information			
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?			\square			
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?						
	– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	\boxtimes					
	 formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee? 						
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details:						
	 International Cooperation: ASEAN Working Group on CITES and Wildlife Enforcement (AWG CITES & WE), ASEAN Senior Officials Meeting on Transnational Crime (SOMTC), CITES, INTERPOL, UNODC, WCO National Enforcement Strategy: Operasi Bersepadu Khazanah (National Integrated Operation) 						

• National Interagency Committee: Malaysia Wildlife Enforcement Network (MY-WEN)

E2a (previously 1.7.2a)	Does your country have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information			
	If 'Yes', what do you do? Regular consultation among enforcen	nent authorities			
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do ye	ou find of value?			
E2b (previously 1.7.2b)	Has your country used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	YesImage: ConsiderationNoImage: ConsiderationNoImage: ConsiderationNoImage: ConsiderationNoImage: Consideration	er		
	equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that con Toolkit is used to formulate enforcement strategy.				

E3a (previously 1.7.4a)	Does your country use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
E3b (previously 1.7.4b)	Does your country have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Yes No No information	$\Box \Box \boxtimes$
E3c (previously 1.7.4c)	Does your country use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
E3d (previously 1.7.4d)	Has your country implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	
E3e (previously 1.7.4e)	Has your country implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

During the	During the period covered in this report:			No Information				
E4a (previously 1.7.5a)	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?							
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offence details:	se indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach						
E4b (previously 1.7.5b)	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?							
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, please attach details							
E4c (previously 1.7.5c)	Have there been any other court actions against CITES- related offences?			\square				
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detai	ls:				
E4d (previously 1.7.5d)	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Ticł	c all that apply				
	 Return to country of export 			\boxtimes				
	 Public zoos or botanical gardens 			\boxtimes				
	 Designated rescue centres 			\boxtimes				
	 Approved private facilities 							
	– Euthanasia			\boxtimes				
	 Other (please specify): Incineration for wildlife part or de 	erivative (Destroy)	\boxtimes				
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca	•						
	 Lack of resources to dispose confiscated specimens fi Limited storage facilities to store big and high number 							
Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties? Stockpile of elephant ivory, rhino horns and pangolin scales are audited by the respective management authority and ministry's internal auditor and incinerated by facility certified by Department of Environment, as well as being publicized in media for public awareness.								

RESOURCES

R1a (previously 2.2.1a)	Does your country have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your Management Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question R1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		Yes No	
	Standard Operating Procedure and Client Charter for Licence and Permit Issuance			
	If 'Yes', does your country have performance targets for these standards ² ?		Yes No	\square
	If 'Yes', what are your country's performance targets?	Duration processing CITES perm not more tha days		

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	Does your country publish your performance against service standard targets? If possible, please provide your country's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:	Year 2021: https://www.w e.gov.my/ima document/per itan/laporanta an/LT2021.pc	ges/ nerb ahun	
	 If your country did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of: availability of funding? number of staff? a shortage of skills? If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your country need more of? 	Yes		№
R1b (previously 2.2.1b)	Does your country have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question R1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those		Yes No	
	standards?	ISO/IEC 1702 accreditation competency a compliance for wildlife DNA forensic servi Guidelines ar prescribe conditions for research perr application	of and or ces. nd	
	If 'Yes', does your country have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ? If 'Yes', what are your country's performance targets? If possible, please provide your country's performance against	SOPs followed necessary reviewed according needs Year 2021:	Yes No are and to	
	service standards during the period covered in this report:	https://www.w e.gov.my/ima document/pei itan/laporanta an/LT2021.pc	ges/ nerb ahun	
	 If your country did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of: availability of funding? number of staff? a shortage of skills? If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your country need more of? 	Yes ⊠ □		№
R1c (previously 2.2.1c)	Does your country have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your enforcement authority(ies)?		Yes No	

	If 'No', please	go to Question R1d.			
	If 'Yes', for wh standards?	ich services are there stand	dards, and what are those	Standard Operating Procedure for Raiding, Investigation a Prosecution o Wildlife Crime	and f
	If 'Yes', does y standards ⁴⁸ ?	your country have performa	nce targets for these		Yes ⊠ No □
	If 'Yes', what a	are your country's performa	nce targets?	SOPs followed necessary reviewed according needs	are and to
	If possible, please provide your country's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			Year 2 https://www.w e.gov.my/imag document/per itan/laporanta an/LT2021.pd	ges/ herb hun
	If your country shortfall a res	y did not meet its performar ult of:	nce targets then was this	Yes	No
	 availability 	of funding?		\boxtimes	
	– number of	-		\boxtimes	
	– a shortage	e of skills?			\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to a sh more of?	ortage of skills, which skills	does your country need		
R1d (previously 2.2.1d)			r answered 'No' to the first p d service standards for your		R1a, R1b, or
	Does your co	untry have sufficient of the f	following for your authorities	to function effect	ctively?
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforce Authorit	
	Funding?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 I	No 🗌
	Staff?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 I	No 🗌
	Skills?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 I	No 🗌
		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>		

R2a (previously 2.2.2a)	Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES	
2.2.2d)	implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable
	Hiring of more staff	\boxtimes
	Development of implementation tools	\boxtimes
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	
	Other (please specify):	

R2b (previously 2.2.2b)	During the period covered in this reported the budget for your:	ort, was	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)			\boxtimes	
	Scientific Authority(ies)			\boxtimes	
	Enforcement authorities		\square		
R2c (previously 2.2.2c)	Has your country been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority(ies)?		\square		
	Scientific Authority(ies)?		\square		
	Enforcement authorities?	\square			
R2d (previously 2.2.2d)	viously the national level through the following activities?				
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff		\square		
	Development of implementation tools		\boxtimes		
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement				
	e-permitting	\square			
	Other (please specify):				
R2e (previously 2.2.2e)	Does your country have an operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No
	Species information				
	Trade information			\boxtimes	
	Non-detriment findings				

, R3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:			
(previously 2.2.3a)				
	 Administrative procedures 			
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea) 	\square		
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species) 			
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 	\bowtie		
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 	\bowtie		
	 Use of CITES-listed species 	\boxtimes		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 			
	 Other (please specify): 			
R3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available? Yes 🖂	No 🗌		
(previously 2.2.3b)	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:			
	https://www.doa.gov.my/doa/resources/info_doa/peraturan_perdagangan_antarabang pesis_terancam_permit_2009.pdf	gsa_s		

R3c (previously 2.2.3c)	Has your country used revenues from fees for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?		
	E	Intirely	
		Partly	\boxtimes
	No	ot at all	
	Not re	elevant	
R3d (previously 2.2.3d)		Yes	No
	Does your country raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		\boxtimes
	Do your country's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Does your country have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	Does your country use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		\boxtimes

R4a	Does your country use incentive measur	es ¹ such as those described in document <u>CoP14 Doc 14.32</u>				
(previously 2.2.4a)	to implement the Convention?	YesNo				
2.2.40)	Due diligence					
	Compensatory mechanisms	\square				
	Certification					
	Communal property rights					
	Auctioning of quotas					
	Cost recovery or environmenta	I charges 🗌 🗌				
	Enforcement incentives					
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your country uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:					
		nitiative - one-off financial assistance to local perience loss/damage to property and crops due to				
R4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity b	een eliminated?				
(previously 2.2.4b)	Not at all					
,	Very little					
	Somewhat 🗌					
	Completely 🖂					

AWARENESS

A1 (previously 3.2.1a)	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Relevant User Groups
	 Press conferences 	\boxtimes	
	 Press releases 	\boxtimes	
	 Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets 	\boxtimes	
	 Television appearances 	\boxtimes	
	 Radio appearances 	\boxtimes	

¹ Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

– Presentations	\boxtimes	
 Public consultations / meetings 	\boxtimes	
 Market surveys 		\boxtimes
– Displays	\boxtimes	
 Information at border crossing points 	\boxtimes	
 Telephone hotline 	\boxtimes	
 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) 		
 Other (specify): 		
Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

A2a (previously 3.2.2a)	How regularly do your country's Authorities consult the CITES website?					
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority	\boxtimes				
	Staff of Scientific Authority		\square			
	Staff of enforcement authorities				\boxtimes	
A2b	What has been your experience with using the CITES website? Excellent					
(previously 3.2.2b)				Good		\boxtimes
,				Ave	rage	
				Poo	r	
				Very	Poor	
				No i	nformation	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (which authorities find which functions/tools mos					intered,

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

ltem		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed	\boxtimes
Web link(s) https://www.mybis.gov.my/pd/109	Not available	
	Previously provided	
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules	, awareness raising materia	ls, etc:
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in	n Yes	\boxtimes
your country requiring attention or assistance?	No	
	No Information	
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or a	assistance that is required.	
Funding assistance needed to implement capacity building an NDF.	nd awareness programme	s as well as
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with c	other Yes	
Parties?	No	\boxtimes
	No Information	
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		
How could this report format be improved?		

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.