Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2021-2030* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be monitored.

CITES vision statement

By 2030, all international trade in wild fauna and flora is legal and sustainable, consistent with the long-term conservation of species, and thereby contributing to halting biodiversity loss, to ensuring its sustainable use, and to achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

The questions of the implementation report follow the structure of the *Strategic Vision 2021-2030* and its indicators that are mapped against the Sustainable Development Goals and the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework to ensure synergies and consistent reporting.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP19)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat on 31 October of the year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form and to **answer at a minimum all questions in bold**. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat
Palais des Nations
Avenue de la Paix 8-14
CH-1211 Geneva
Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

| Party | Bosnia and Herzegovina |
|--|--|
| Period covered in this report | 2021 - 2023 |
| Department or agency preparing this report | Ministry of foreign trade and economic relations of Bosnia and Herzegovina |
| Contributing departments, agencies and organizations | 1 |

GOAL 1 TRADE IN CITES-LISTED SPECIES IS CONDUCTED IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONVENTION IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THEIR CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABLE USE

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through the adoption and implementation of appropriate legislation, policies, and procedures.

SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15 GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9 & 10

Indicator 1.1.1: Number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project. (Data source: National Legislation Project)

| 1.1.1a | Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report: |
|--------|---|
| 1.1.1b | Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes \sum No \sum If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: |

- Indicator 1.1.2: Number of Parties subject to CITES recommendations to suspend trade.

 (Data source: Notifications to the Parties and reference list of countries subject to a recommendation to suspend trade)
- Objective 1.2 Parties have established CITES Management and Scientific Authorities and enforcement focal points that effectively carry out the duties required of them under the Convention and relevant Resolutions.
- Indicator 1.2.1: Number of Parties that have designated at least one Management Authority, independent Scientific Authority and enforcement focal points in place.

 (Data source: CITES online directory)
- Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with Resolutions and Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.

 SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15

 GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9, 10 & 15
- Indicator 1.3.1: Number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

| 1.3.1a | Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])? |
|--------|---|
| | Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements |
| | Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements $oximes$ |

| | В | 200000000 | rovided to | NONE of | the relevant | roporting | rogui | romonto | 1 | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|-------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | | | | | s applicable | . • | requi | rements _ | J | |
| 1.3.1b | | | | | the period o | | | | | |
| | • | Parties? | | | | | | | Yes 🗌 I | No 🛛 |
| | If 'Yes | | ovide details | of which F | Resolution(s) | or Decision | on(s), a | and, for eac | h, what | |
| | | | encountered | ? | | | | | | |
| Objective | 1.4 | SDG Goal | | | he conserva | ion status | and ne | eeds of spe | cies. | |
| Indicator 1 | .4.1: | criteria for e | each Append | dix contain | ecies listed in led in Resolu ocess or of al | tion Conf. | 9.24 (| Rev. CoP17 | | |
| Objective | 1.5 | conservation shared wild SDG Goals | on actions, s llife resource s 2, 12, 14 & | support the es. 15 | n status of (eir sustainab | | | | | |
| Indicator 1 | .5.1: | The conser | | s of species | s listed on the | | | ces has sta | bilized or i | mproved. |
| 1.5.1a (previously 3.4.1a) | conse your | ervation sta | tus of natured on the C | rally occu | hows that the rring specie endices has | s in | Yes | No | Not Ap | plicable |
| | | | | | | ndix I | | | Ĺ | _ |
| | | | | | Appe Apper | ndix III | | \boxtimes | L T | _ _ |
| | If there | e are such s | tudies that v | ou are will | ing to share, | | ovide: | | L | |
| | i i | | | | e data, or a k | | | | | |
| 1.5.1b (previously 3.4.1b) | emer | ging problen | ns with any (| CITES liste | iples of succeed species? | ess stories | or | Yes No No informa | ation | |
| | If 'Yes | s', please pro | ovide details | : | | | | | | |
| Indicator 1 | .5.2: | Number of sustainable | | ed species | for which F | arties hav | e put | in place a | ctions tha | t support |
| 1.5.2 (previously 1.6.2a) | | | | | ative manag ITES-listed s | | ans, in | cluding red | | ans,]No ⊠ |
| | | | st the specie an for each s | | n these plans | are in pla | ce and | l provide a l | ink or refe | erence |
| | Spec | cies Name (s | scientific) | Link or 1 | reference to | a publishe | d plan | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| GOAL 2 | PARTIES' DECISIONS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE INFORMATION | BEST A | VAILAI | BLE S | CIENCE AND |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| Objective 2 | Parties' non-detriment findings are based on best available determination of legal acquisition is based on the best available God God 12, 14 & 15 GBF Targets 4, 5, 9 & 20 | | | | |
| Indicator 2. | 1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard procedu (NDFs). | res for m | aking n | on-deti | iment findings |
| 2.1.1a (previously 1.5.2a) | | | Yes | No | No information |
| | Does your country have standard procedures for making detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev CoP17)? | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making no or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where on the internet: | | | | found |
| 2.1.1b (previously 1.5.2b) | When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the fol guidance been used? N/A | llowing | Plea | ıse tick | all that apply |
| | | l College | | l | |
| | | Checklist | | [| |
| | Resolution Co | | | ļ | |
| | 2008 NDF w | • | | | |
| | Species specific of | guidance Other | | l I | |
| | If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details | | | l | |
| 2.1.1c | How often does your country review and/or change your | Case b | v case | | |
| (previously 1.5.2c) | non-detriment findings? N/A | Annual | - | | |
| 1.0.20) | | Every t | wo yea | rs | |
| | | Less fro | | | |
| | | A mix o | | | |
| | Please describe the circumstances under which non-detrimen | nt finding: | s would | be cha | angea: |
| Indicator 2. | I.2: Number of written NDFs submitted and number of Partic CITES online database. (Data source: NDF webpage on the CITES website) | es submi | tting NE | Fs for | posting in the |
| Indicator 2. | 1.3: Number of Parties that have included the legal acquisit regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution 0 | | | | |
| 2.1.3 | | | Yes | No | No information |
| | Is the legal acquisition finding obligation included in you national regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19)? | r | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please briefly include the name of the regulatory instrinformation can be found on the internet: Decision on conditions and manner of implementation of CITI | | | | |

Rulebook on the conditions and manner of cross-border transport of endangered species of wild animals and plants in Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina

Objective 2.2 Parties cooperate in sharing information and tools relevant to the implementation of CITES. SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 2.2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17) on *Non-detriment findings* related to: - the population status of Appendix-II species; - the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of and trend in naturally occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

| 2.2.1a (previously 1.5.1a) | Have any surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken in your country in relation to: | s been | Yes | No | Not Applicable | If Yes, How many? | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | - the population status of Appendix II specie | es? | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | - the trends and impact of trade on Appendi species? | x II | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | the status of and trend in naturally-occurri Appendix I species? | ing | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | - the impact of any recovery plans on Apper species? | ndix I | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Have the surveys, studies or analyses integ relevant knowledge and expertise of local a indigenous communities? | | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | If there are such studies that you are willing to | share, plea | se provi | de: | | | | | |
| | A brief summary of the results of the survey, study or other analysis (e.g. population status, decline / stable / increase, off-take levels etc), or provide links to published reference material. | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.1b (previously | How are the results of such surveys, studies or findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply | other anal | yses us | ed in m | aking non-detri | ment | | | |
| 1.5.1b) | | | Rev | ised ha | arvest or export | quotas 🗌 | | | |
| | | | | | _ | export 🗌 | | | |
| | | , | Ch a a a a | | ter domestic me | = | | | |
| | | | _ | | igement of the s anagement Aut | | | | |
| | | Dio | | | th other stakeh | | | | |
| | Other | r (please pr | ovide a | short s | ummary): | | | | |
| 2.2.1c (previously | Does your country have specific conservation r | | Yes | | | | | | |
| 1.5.1c | or recovery plans for naturally occurring Appen species? | aix-i listea | No Not A | nnliaah | do. | | | | |
| | | | | pplicab formati | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, includi impact: | ng, if possi | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.1d (previously 1.5.1d) | Ha <u>sve</u> you <u>r country</u> published any non-detrime If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the | _ | | | | o 🛚 | | | |

| 2.2.1e (previously | Which of the following [A to F of paragraphs of the following [A to F of paragraphs of the following is to be constructed by the following is the following in the following is | | | | | | | No |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1.5.1e) | A. relevant scientific literature concert distribution and population trends. | ning spe | ecies bic | ology, life | e histo | ory, | | |
| | B. details of any ecological risk asses | sments | conduc | ted. | | | | П |
| | C. scientific surveys conducted at ha | | | | es pr | otecte | ed 🗆 | |
| | from harvest and other impacts. | | | | · | | _ | |
| | D. relevant knowledge and expertise | | | • | | | es. | |
| | E. consultations with relevant local, re | • | | | • | | | |
| | F. national and international trade info the CITES trade database maintained | | | | | | | |
| | Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), p | ublicatio | ns on tr | ade, loc | al kno | owled | | |
| | on trade and investigations of sales a example. | t marke | ts or thr | ough the | e Inter | net to | or | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2. | 2.2: Number and proportion of (Data source: Quotas webpage | | | | as ba | ased | on population | surveys. |
| | (Data Source: Quotas webpage | On the t | JIIESV | vebsile) | | | | |
| 2.2.2a | Does your country set annual expo | ort quot | as? | | Yes | 3 | | |
| (previously 1.5.3a) | | | | | No | | | \boxtimes |
| | If 'Yes', does your country set quotas | | | lation | | | | |
| | survey, or by other means? Please species, how quotas are set: | becily, ic | or each | | | | | Other, |
| | | | | | Pop | oulatio | on | please |
| | Species Name (scientific) | | | | Sur | vey? | | specify |
| | | | | | 片 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.2b | Have annual export quotas been set | | | will | Yes | 3 | | |
| (previously 1.5.3b) | ensure sustainable production and co | onsumpt | tion? | | No | | | \boxtimes |
| | If 'Yes', please describe how this fits i | nto you | r non-de | etriment | findin | g pro | cess: | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2. | 2.3: Number of workshops and other | capacit | tv-buildii | ng activi | ties th | nat bri | ng range States | together to |
| | address the conservation and m | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.3a | Have the CITES authorities rece | ivod or | honof | itad fra | m an | v of | the following | canacity |
| (previously | building activities provided by ext | | | | III all | y Oi | the following t | сараспу- |
| 1.6.3a) | | | | | | | | |
| | Please tick boxes to indicate | nce nce | | | | Z, | | |
| | which target group and which activity. | ritte Jida | _ 8 | ø | | ecit | | |
| | activity. | or w e/gu | nica tand | icial tand | ing | s) . | | |
| | | Oral or written advice/guidance | Technical assistance | Financial assistance | Training | Other (specify) | What were | |
| | Target group | ă O | ğ — | ğ II | F | 0 | external sou | ırces ¹ ? |
| | Staff of Management Authority | | | | | | | |
| | Staff of Scientific Authority | | | | | | | |

Staff of enforcement authorities

Traders

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

| | NGOs Public | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| | Other (please | snecify): | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.3b (previously 1.6.3b) | Have the CITE | ES authorities been her range States? No | | oviders | of any | of the | e folic | wing | capacity-building |
| | | boxes to indicate group and which | Oral or written advice/guidance | Technical assistance | Financial assistance | Training | Other (specify) | | |
| | Target group | | Ora adv | Tec | Fina | Trai | g | | Details |
| | Staff of Manag | ement Authority | | | | | | | |
| | Staff of Scient | ific Authority | | | | | | | |
| | Staff of enforce | ement authorities | | | | | | | |
| | Traders | | | | | | | | |
| | NGOs | | | | | | | | |
| | Public | | | | | | | | |
| | Other meetings | Parties/International | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please | specify) | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.3c (previously 1.6.3c) | in what ways o | loes your country colla | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Very Often | Always | | Further detail / examples |
| | Information ex | change | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Monitoring / su | • | | | | | | | |
| | Habitat manag | | | | | | | | |
| | Species mana | _ | | | | | | | |
| | Law enforcem | | | | | | | | |
| | Capacity build Other (please p | | Ш | | | | | | |
| 2.2.3d F | | g and capacity building | n activiti | es¹ has | VOLIT \M | ithout | assist | ance | Conducted or |
| | | the period covered in | | | fro | om the ecreta | : | arioc | assisted by the Secretariat |
| | | | | 6 11 | one 1 2-5 3-10 1-20 | | | | |
| | | | N | /lore tha | n 20 | | | | |

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

| | Please | list the Resolutions or Decisions | involve | d: | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 2.2.3e (previously 2.3.1b) | What s | orts of capacity building activities | have ta | aken pla | ice? N/A | ١. | | | | |
| 2.2.3f (previously 2.3.1c) | What c | t capacity building needs does your country have? | | | | | | | | |
| | | e tick all boxes which apply to e which target group and which /. Target group | Oral or written advice/guidance | Technical assistance | Financial assistance | Training | Other (specify) | Details | | |
| | Staff o | f Management Authority | | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Staff o | f Scientific Authority | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Staff o | f enforcement authorities | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | Trader | s / other user groups | | | | | | | | |
| | NGOs | | | | | | | | | |
| | Public | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other | (please specify) | | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | Has impl visu | Number of Parties sharing infor databases, data visualization/so your country shared informatiementation of CITES (e.g. shall alization/software, informations, etc.)? | oftware, on rele | vant to | tion-sha the data | | ocuse s | | | |
| Indicator 2 | 2.2.6: | Number of CoP side-events who implementation of CITES (Data source: CoP side-event set) | | | | | on and | I tools relevant to the | | |
| Objective | 2.3 | Parties have sufficient information SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal D & Targets 15 & 21 | on to en | force th | e Conve | ention | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | Proportion of Parties that are making use of the available tools. For instance, one could look at Google Analytics for the number of site visits to the CITES website, CITES Checklist, or Species+ or the number of downloads from the CITES Trade Database as a proxy for usage of shared tools. (Data source: CITES Secretariat – Number of visits to the CITES website; number of visits to the CITES Checklist and Species+; number of downloads from the CITES Trade Database) | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | 2.3.2: | Percentage of Parties reporting | having | sufficier | nt inform | ation | to enf | orce the Convention. | | |
| 2.3.2 | | ou consider that your country rmation to enforce the Conven | | fficient | | Ye: No | _ | | | |

| Objective 2.4 | | Parties have sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs. SDG Goal 12 GBF Goals A & D & Targets 5, 20 & 21 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Indicator 2 | .4.1: | Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient information reflective of species conservation needs. | Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs. | | | | | | |
| 2.4.1 | info | you consider that your country has sufficient rmation to make listing decisions that are ective of species conservation needs? | Yes No | | | | | | |
| Objective 2 | 2.5 | Information gaps and needs for key species are idea SDG Goal 12 GBF Target 21 | ntified and addressed | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | (including for non-de lementation of the Cor | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5.1a | identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country? | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5.1b (previously 1.4.1a) | Has | your country undertaken any reviews of whether spene CITES Appendices? | | n listing Yes | | | | | |
| | | es', please provide a summary here, or a link to the re a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is no | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2 | .5.2: | Number of Parties that currently lack information for to the implementation of the Convention and need a | | | | | | | |
| 2.5.2 | speci | ou consider that your country currently lacks inforces most relevant to the implementation of the Cors assistance to address them? | | ntified key Yes | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes | s', please specify for which key species and the ty | pe of assistance ne | eded: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

- GOAL 3 PARTIES (INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY) HAVE THE TOOLS, RESOURCES AND CAPACITY TO EFFECTIVELY IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE THE CONVENTION, CONTRIBUTING TO CONSERVATION, SUSTAINABLE USE AND THE REDUCTION OF ILLEGAL TRADE IN CITES-LISTED WILDLIFE SPECIES
- Objective 3.1 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

 SDG Goal 16

SDG Goal 10 GBF Goal D

Indicator 3.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

| | | Yes | No | No information |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------|----|-------------------|
| 3.1.1 (previously 1.2.1a) | Does your country have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits? | \boxtimes | | |
| Í | Are the procedures publicly available? | \boxtimes | | |

Indicator 3.1.2: Number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19).

| | T | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 3.1.2 (previously 1.2.2a) | Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of | f the follo | wing? | |
| | | Т | ick all ap _l | plicable |
| | | Yes | No | No information |
| | Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 to Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19) are urgently required. | | \boxtimes | |
| | For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 2. | \boxtimes | | |
| | For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5. | \boxtimes | | |
| | For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4. | \boxtimes | | |
| | Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details: Personal or household effects, travelling exhibitions, exchange of scientific materials. | \boxtimes | | |

Indicator 3.1.3: Number of Parties that have adopted an electronic system for the issuance of permits.

| | | Yes | No | No information |
|----------------------------------|---|-----|-------------|----------------|
| 3.1.3 (previously 1.2.1b) | Does your country have: | | | |
| | Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system? | | | |
| | Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries | | \boxtimes | |

| If 'Yes', please list countries | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries? | | | |
| Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs? | | \boxtimes | |
| Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs? | | \boxtimes | |
| If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on ch overcome: Lack of human and other capacities, public awarenes with CITES in general and permitting system, lack of training and enforcement authorities. | ss is low, p | ublic is m | ostly unfami |
| If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e- permitting¹? | | | \boxtimes |
| | Ł | | lp you to d |

Objective 3.2 Parties and the Secretariat develop, adopt and implement adequate capacity-building programmes.

SDG Goal 17

GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 3.2.1: Number of Parties with training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES, including the making of non-detriment and legal acquisition findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

| 3.2.1a (previously 1.8.1a) | Does your country have information resources or training in place. The making of non-detriment findings? □ ☒ Permit officers? □ ☒ Enforcement officers? □ ☒ | e to support: YesNo | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| 3.2.1b (previously 1.8.1b) | Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building? | Yes No No information | |
| 3.2.1c (previously 1.8.1c) | Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building? | Yes No No information | |

Indicator 3.2.2: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

See questions for indicator 2.2.3

Objective 3.3 Sufficient resources are available at the national and international levels to support necessary capacity-building programmes and ensure compliance with and full implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

SDG Goals 15 & 17

GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Indicator 3.3.1: Number of Parties meeting their obligations with regard to their assessed contributions to the Trust Fund.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Indicator 3.3.2: Percentage of the total funds required to implement the work programme agreed by the Conference of the Parties that is fully funded.
(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Objective 3.4 Parties recognize illegal trade in wildlife as serious crime and have adequate systems in place to detect and deter it.

Indicator 3.4.1: Number of Parties where criminal offences relating to illegal trade in wildlife (such as illegal hunting/harvest and wildlife trafficking) are recognized as a serious crime.

SDG Goal 15

| 3.4.1a | Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife | Yes | |
|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|
| (previously 1.7.3b) | trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country? | No | \boxtimes |
| | | No information | |
| | If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: | ildlife trafficking offences | s to be |
| 3.4.1b (previously 1.7.3a) | Does your country have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a | | |
| 1.7.00) | crime? | Yes | \boxtimes |
| | | No | |
| | If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available Yes, but only in Republika Srpska entity. Criminal code of Republika Srpska, Chapter XXIX, Criminal offences against environment. | No information | |
| 3.4.1c | Does your country have capacity to use forensic technology ² to | Yes | |
| (previously 1.7.3c) | support the investigation of CITES offences? | No | |
| , | | No information | \boxtimes |
| | If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITE collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility another country) during the period covered in this report: | | |
| | If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: | ity for CITES-listed spec | cies, |
| 3.4.1d | Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ³ | Yes | |
| (previously 1.7.3d) | law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species | No | \boxtimes |
| | during the period covered in this report? | No information | |
| | If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons lear other Parties: | ned which might be help | oful for |
| 3.4.1e | Does your country have a standard operating procedure among | Yes | |
| (previously 1.7.3e) | relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES | No | |
| | offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization? | No information | \boxtimes |

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

| 3.5.1 | Partie | authorities in your country made seizures t s collaboration across range, transit and de s, to address entire illegal trade chains? | | | Yes No No informat | ion \square | |
|---|----------|---|----------|----------|--------------------------|---|--|
| 3.5.1 | | | | | Yes | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 3 | .5.1: | Number of seizures made through Parties co States, to address entire illegal trade chains. | llaborat | ion acı | ross range, tra | nsit and destination | |
| Objective 3.5 Parties work collaboratively across range, transit and destination states, to address en illegal trade chains, including through strategies to reduce both the supply of and demand illegal products, in order for trade to be legal and sustainable. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Targets 5, 16, 20 & 21 | | | | | | | |
| | If 'No', | please provide a brief summary of your major | capaci | ty-build | ling needs: | | |
| 3.4.1g (previously 1.7.3g) | | your country have institutional capacity to imple tive provisions listed in the question above aga es? | | | Yes | | |
| | | 'to any of the above, please explain how each ummary, including any lessons learned which เ | | | | | |
| | Specia | alized investigation techniques ⁷ | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Organ | ized crime ⁶ | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | | ational cooperation in criminal matters ⁵ | | | | | |
| | Corrup | | | | | | |
| | | forfeiture ³ | | | | | |
| | | rate offences ² | | | | | |
| (previously 1.7.3f) | CITES | gation, prosecution and/or sentencing of soffences as appropriate? | Yes | No | No information | period covered by this report? | |
| 3.4.1f | any of | your country have legislative provisions for the following that can be applied to the | | | | If yes, how many times was this used during the | |

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

² Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

³ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

| SDG Goal 16 | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Indicator 3 | 3.6.1: | Number of Parties reporting in impleme | entation reports of activ | vities taken to address | s corruption. | | |
| 3.6.1 | respo mana | your country undertaken activities to a uption, in particular with regard to nationsible for wildlife law enforcement ara gement? s', please elaborate on the types of ac | ional agencies nd protected areas | Yes No No information | | | |
| Objective 3 | 3.7 | Investments in building capacity of C monitored to ensure stepwise improver SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20 | | coordinated, and th | eir success | | |
| Indicator 3 | 3.7.1: | Number of capacity-building activities of (Data source: See questions for indicate) | | | | | |
| Indicator 3 | 3.7.2: | Number of Parties who report improcapacity-building efforts. | ovements in their im | nplementation followin | ng targeted | | |
| 3.7.2 | | you report improvements in the imple ur country following targeted capacity | | Yes No No capacity- building | | | |
| | | ', please elaborate on the reasons whovements in your implementation: | y targeted capacity- | building did not lead | d to | | |
| Indicator 3 | 3.7.3: | Total investments into capacity-building (Data source: Reports from capacity-building | | | | | |
| Objective | 3.8 | Parties take full advantage of emerging technological developments to improve the effective implementation and enforcement of the Convention. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Targets 20 &21 | | | | | |
| Indicator 3 | 3.8.1: | Number of CITES Parties using the CI (Data source: CITES Secretariat) | TES Checklist API. | | | | |
| GOAL 4 | | CITES POLICY DEVELOPMENT A INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS TO ACH | | | NS FROM | | |
| Objective 4 | 4.1 | Parties support sustainable wildlife trade policies, especially those that increase the capacity of Indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods. SDG Goals 8, 12, 14, 15 & 17 GBF Goals B & C & Targets 5 & 22 | | | | | |
| Indicator 4 | l.1.1: | Number of CITES-listed species for sustainable wildlife management policies | | designed/implement | ed relevant | | |
| 4.1.1 | sust | your country designed or implemente tainable wildlife management policies cies? | | Yes No No information | | | |
| | If 'Y | es', please indicate the names of the | species: | | | | |
| | Taxe | on (scientific name) | Total number of CI | TES-listed species o | overed | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Indicator 4 | 1.2: | Percentage of Parties that co-developed or peoples and local communities to pursue live | | oorted the capac | city of indigenous | | |
|---|-------|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 4.1.2 | capa | your country co-developed or otherwise s city of indigenous peoples and local comi ue livelihoods? | | Yes No No informatio | n 🛚 | | |
| Objective 4.2 The importance of achieving CITES' aim as a contribution Sustainable Development Goals, as well as the post-2020 glo recognized. SDG Goals 12, 15 & 17 GBF Targets 4 & 5 | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 4. | 2.1: | Number of Parties incorporating CITES into Plan (NBSAP), also included in the global and CBD programme. | | | | | |
| 4.2.1a (previously 3.4.2a) | Biod | CITES been incorporated into your countr iversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP) | | Yes n of No No infor | mation | | |
| 4.2.1b | Has | your country been able to obtain funds from tl | ne Global | Yes | | | |
| (previously 3.4.2b) | Envir | onment Facility (GEF) or other sources to su | No | | | | |
| 0.4.20) | aspe | cts of NBSAP implementation? | | No inforn | nation 🔲 | | |
| Objective 4 | .3 | Awareness of the role, purpose and achieve SDG Goals 12 & 17 GBF Targets 4, 5 & 21 | ments of CITES | is increased glo | obally. | | |
| Indicator 4. | 3.1: | Number of new, unique visits to the CITES v (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of | | e CITES website | e) | | |
| Indicator 4. | 3.2: | Number of Parties with information on CITES and its requirements on their official websites. (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of Management Authorities with a website) | | | | | |
| Indicator 4. | 3.3: | Number of followers on CITES social media platforms. (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of followers of CITES and WWD on social media, i.e., Instagram, LinkedIn, Facebook, Youtube, Wechat and Weibo) | | | | | |
| Indicator 4.3.4: | | Number of key identified hashtags (e.g. #cites, #citescop19 #worldwildlifeday, etc.) on CITES social media. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) | | | | | |
| Indicator 4 | 3.5: | Number of events submitted to the World W (Data source: CITES Secretariat) | ildlife Day websi | te. | | | |
| Objective 4 | .4 | CITES Parties are informed of international a a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES. SDG Goal 17 GBF Target 21 | ctions for sustair | nable developme | ent that may have | | |
| Indicator 4 | 4.1: | Number of meetings/CoP where representate relevant activities to CITES Parties. | ives of other inte | ernational bodie | s report on | | |

(Data source: CITES Secretariat)

| Indicator 4.4.2: Events, documents and presentations, etc. delivered by other intergovernmental bodies for a in meetings convened by the CITES Secretariat. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------|--|--|
| Indicator 4.4.3: | Number of Notifications to the Parties issued by the Cl international actions for sustainable development that goal of CITES. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) | | | | |
| GOAL 5 | DELIVERY OF THE CITES STRATEGIC VICOLLABORATION | ISION IS | IMPROVE | D THROUGH | |
| Objective 5.1 | Parties and the Secretariat support and enhance exist achieve their identified objectives. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20 | ting cooperati | ive partner | ships in order to | |
| Indicator 5.1.1: | Number of Parties which report that they have achieved CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other trade and development agreements. | | | | |
| (previously 3.3.1a) dup and agr CB par | ve measures been taken to achieve coordination and olication of activities between the national CITES autiliary and points for other multilateral environmements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related convention, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country? Yes', please give a brief description: NFPs for mentioned of cooperation on different issues. There are procedures element provisions of different conventions efficiently and the Number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with integranizations to participate in and/or fund CITES work building activities. | chorities nental ions: ry is international which are fol effectively. | llowed in o | s have very ther to n-governmental | |
| (previously CIT | s funding been provided or received to facilitate ES workshops, training or other capacity building ivities to / from: NO | Tick if applicab | | Which ganizations? | |
| Inte | er-governmental organizations? | | | | |
| No | n-governmental organizations? | | | | |
| Indicator 5.1.3: | Number of cooperative actions taken under establishe prevent species from being unsustainably exploited the | | | | |
| (previously 3.5.1a) mu | s your country taken action under established bilate ultilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent sporm being unsustainably exploited through internation Yes', please provide details: | ecies | Yes No No inform | nation 🗵 | |
| Indicator 5.1.4: | Number of times other relevant international organi natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to | | | | |

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

| | (previously 3.5.2a) | year orga hav | rage number of times per r that international anizations or agreements e been consulted by CITES horities | Once | 2-5 times | 6-20 times | More than 20 times | No consultatior | Optional comm about which organizations issues consulte | า and |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|---|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|----------|
| | | Man | agement Authority(ies) | | | | | | | |
| | | Scie | ntific Authority(ies) | | | | | | | |
| Į | | Enfo | rcement Authority(ies) | | | | | | | |
| lı | ndicator 5. | 1.5: | Number of implemented coopera Environmental Agreements (ME other biodiversity-related Conver (Data source: CITES Secretarian | AS), inc ntions. | | | | | | |
| C | Objective 5 | .2 | Parties encourage the formation between CITES and relevant in objective and mainstream consessing Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20 | nternatio | onal par | tners, | where | appro | opriate to advance | |
| Indicator 5.2.1: Number of alliances between CITES and relevant international partners to advance objective and mainstream conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. (Data source: CITES Secretariat) | | | | | TES | | | | | |
| Objective 5.3 Cooperation between CITES and interrinstitutions is enhanced in order to suppor and enforcement. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D | | | | | | | | | | |
| lı | ndicator 5.0 | 3.1: | Number of Parties funded by into to develop activities that include elements. | | | | | | | |
| | 5.3.1a (previously 3.1.1a) | relat CITE elen | funding from international final ted institutions been used to de ES-related conservation and sus- nents? | velop a | activities | s that | includ | | Yes No Not applicable No information | |
| If 'Yes', please provide brief details: 5.3.1b (previously 3.1.1a) During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions: N/A | | | | | Increased Remained stable Decreased | | | | | |

5.1.4

Indicator 5.3.2: Number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

| 5.3.2 (previously 3.1.2a) | another country or countries in relation to CITES? | | | | | | | □ ⊠ information □ |
|----------------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| | If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies) | Species Management¹ | Habitat Management² | Sustainable use | Law Enforcement | Livelihoods | Other (specify) | Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

٠

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Questions that are not directly linked to the CITES Strategic Vision indicators but provide useful information about the implementation of the Convention

| | COOPERATION AND SYNERGIES | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------|-------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| C1 (previously 1.6.1a) | Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes No If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements are involved: | | s, and wh | ich other | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| C2a (previously 3.3.2a) | How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards? | | | | | | | |
| C2b (previously 3.3.2b) | In addition to C2a, how many national level projects has your coulimplemented which integrate CITES issues? | ıntry | | 0 | | | | |
| C2c (previously 3.3.2c) | Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with: | | Yes | No | | | | |
| | Agencies for development? | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Agencies for trade? | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Provincial, state or territorial authorities? | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Local authorities or communities? | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Indigenous or local peoples? | | | | | | | |
| | Trade or other private sector associations? | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | NGOs? | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Other (please specify) | | | | | | | |
| C2d (previously 3.3.2d) | Are CITES requirements integrated into? | | Yes | No | | | | |
| | National and local development strategies? | | | | | | | |
| | National and local poverty reduction strategies? | | | | | | | |
| | Planning processes? | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | National accounting? | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | ENFORCEMENT | | | | | | | |
| E1 (previously 1.7.1a) | Do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> have, <u>is</u> are you <u>r country</u> engaged in, or covered by: | Yes | No | No Information | | | | |
| | – an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan? | | \square | | | | | |
| | formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network? | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | – a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan? | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee? | | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement details: The EUrope Trade in Wildlife Information eXchange (EU-TV information exchange on illegal wildlife trade in Europe. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| E2a (previously 1.7.2a) | Does your country have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to | Yes No, bu | ut review | is under | | | | |

| | implement your strategy(ies)? | cons | sideration | |
|------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | | No | | |
| | | No i | nformation | \boxtimes |
| | If 'Yes', what do you do? | | | |
| | If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools de | o you find | of value? | |
| E2b | Has your country used the International Consortium on | Yes | | |
| (previously | Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime | No, | but toolkit u | se is under |
| 1.7.2b) | Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools? | | sideration | |
| | | No | | \boxtimes |
| | | No i | nformation | |
| | If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that | | | toolkit or |
| | If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed tools useful to you: | to make t | ne toolkit or | equivalent |
| | Lack of human capacities, since only one person covers all CI | ΓES issue | s in MA. | |
| | | | | |
| E3a | Does your country use risk assessment to target CITES | Alwa | ./S | |
| (previously | enforcement effort? | Very | | |
| 1.7.4a) | | • | etimes | H |
| | | Rarel | | |
| | | Neve | • | |
| | | | formation | |
| | | - | IOITIAUOII | |
| E3b (previously | Does your country have capacity to analyse information gathered | | | |
| 1.7.4b) | on illegal trade in CITES-listed species? | No | | \boxtimes |
| | | | formation | |
| E3c | Does your country use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform | Alwa | | |
| (previously 1.7.4c) | investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species? | Very | | |
| | | Some | etimes | |
| | | Rarel | У | |
| | | Neve | r | \boxtimes |
| | | No in | formation | |
| E3d | Has your country implemented any supply-side activities to | Yes | | |
| (previously 1.7.4d) | address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period | No, I | out activitie | s are |
| 1.7.4u) | covered in this report? | unde | r developme | ent |
| | | No | | |
| | | No in | formation | |
| E3e | Has your country implemented any demand-side activities to | Yes | | П |
| (previously | address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period | | out activitie | s are □ |
| 1.7.4e) | covered in this report? | | r developme | |
| | | No | ' | |
| | | | formation | |
| | | | | |
| | | V | NI_ | NI - |
| During t | he period covered in this report: | Yes | No | No Information |
| | | | F 7 | |
| E4a (previously | Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences? | | | |

Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

| | If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details: | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|-----|--|--|
| E4b (previously 1.7.5b) | Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences? | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, | please at | tach details | : | | |
| E4c (previously 1.7.5c) | Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences? | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result | s? Please | attach detai | ls: | | |
| E4d (previously 1.7.5d) | previously | | | | | |
| | Return to country of export | | | | | |
| | Public zoos or botanical gardensDesignated rescue centres | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Approved private facilities | | | | | |
| | – Euthanasia | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| | Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca | ited specii | mens? | | | |
| | Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot | her Partie | s? | | | |

RESOURCES

| R1a | Does your country have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your | Yes | \boxtimes |
|------------------------|--|--|-------------|
| (previously 2.2.1a) | Management Authority(ies)? | No | |
| | If 'No', please go to Question R1d. | | |
| | If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards? | 10 working days starting from the day of the receipt of the documentation from entity management authorities (for all cites permits and certificates). | |
| | If 'Yes', does your country have performance targets for these standards ² ? | Yes No | |
| | If 'Yes', what are your country's performance targets? | | |
| | Does your country publish your performance against service standard targets? | Yes No | |
| | If possible, please provide your country's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report: | N/A | |
| | If your country did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of: | Yes | No |
| | – availability of funding? | | |
| | – number of staff? | | |

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

| | a shortage | of skills? | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| | If 'Yes' to a sh more of? | ortage of skills, which skills | s does your country need | | | | |
| R1b (previously | • | | rvice standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your | | Yes | | |
| 2.2.1b) | Scientific Auth | go to Question R1d. | | | No | \boxtimes | |
| | | _ | dards, and what are those | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', does yestandards ⁴⁸ ? | your country have performa | ance targets for these | | Yes No | | |
| | If 'Yes', what a | are your country's performa | ance targets? | | | _ | |
| | | ease provide your country's ards during the period cove | | | | | |
| | If your country shortfall a res | y did not meet its performa ult of: | nce targets then was this | Yes | | No | |
| | availability | of funding? | | | | | |
| | number of | staff? | | | | | |
| | a shortage | e of skills? | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes' to a sh more of? | ortage of skills, which skills | s does your country need | | | | |
| R1c (previously 2.2.1c) | enforcement a | authority(ies)? | rvice standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your | | Yes No | | |
| | | se go to Question R1d. which services are there standards, and what are those | | | | | |
| | standards? | lich services are there stan | luarus, anu what are those | | | | |
| | If 'Yes', does y standards ⁴⁸ ? | your country have performa | ance targets for these | | Yes No | | |
| | If 'Yes', what a | are your country's performa | ance targets? | | | _ | |
| | | ease provide your country's ards during the period cove | | | | | |
| | If your country shortfall a res | y did not meet its performa ult of: | nce targets then was this | Yes | | No | |
| | availability | of funding? | | | | | |
| | number of | staff? | | | | | |
| | a shortage | e of skills? | | | | | |
| | If 'Yes' to a sh more of? | ortage of skills, which skills | s does your country need | | | | |
| R1d (previously 2.2.1d) | Please only complete this question if your answered 'No' to the first part of question R1a, R1b, or R1c, relating to the existence of approved service standards for your authorities: | | | | | | |
| , | Does your country have sufficient of the following for your authorities to function effectively? | | | | | | |
| | | Management Authority(ies) | Scientific Authority(ies) | Enforc Author | ement ity(ies) | | |
| | Funding? | Yes ☐ No ⊠ | Yes ☐ No 🛚 | Yes 🗌 | No 🖂 | | |
| | Staff? | Yes ☐ No ⊠ | Yes ☐ No ⊠ | Yes 🗌 | No 🗵 | | |
| | Skills? | Yes ⊠ No □ | Yes ⊠ No □ | Yes 🗌 | No 🛚 | | |
| | | <u>.</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES | |
|--|---|--------------------|
| | implementation at the national level? | Tick if applicable |

| | Hiring of more staff | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| | Development of implementation tools | | | | | |
| | Purchase of technical equipment for i enforcement | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| R2b (previously 2.2.2b) | During the period covered in this report the budget for your: | Decreased | | | | |
| | Management Authority(ies) | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Scientific Authority(ies) | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Enforcement authorities | | | \boxtimes | | |
| R2c (previously 2.2.2c) | Has your country been able to use international development funding assistance to increase Yes No the level of implementation of your | | | Not applicable | | |
| | Management Authority(ies)? | | | | | |
| | Scientific Authority(ies)? | | | | | |
| | Enforcement authorities? | | | | | |
| R2d (previously 2.2.2d) | What is the respective level of priority the national level through the followin | | | iveness of CITES | implementation at | |
| | Activity | High | Medium | Low | Not a Priority | |
| | Hiring of more staff | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | Development of implementation tools | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement | \boxtimes | | | | |
| | e-permitting | | | \square | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| R2e (previously 2.2.2e) | Does your country have an operation (e.g. electronic database) for managin | | Yes | Under development | No | |
| | Species information | | | | \boxtimes | |
| | Trade information | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Non-detriment findings | | | | \boxtimes | |
| | | | | | | |
| R3a (previously 2.2.3a) | Does the Management Authority char | ge fees for: | | Tick al | ll that are applicable | |
| | Administrative procedures | | | | \boxtimes | |
| | Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea) | | | | | |
| | Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species) | | | | | |
| | Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species | | | | | |
| | Harvesting of CITES-listed specie | es | | | | |
| | Use of CITES-listed species | | | | | |
| | Assignment of quotas for CITES-I | listed specie | es | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| R3b (previously 2.2.3b) | Is a fee schedule publicly available? | | | | Yes ⊠ No □ | |

If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat: When submitting a request for the issuance of CITES permits, certificates and other CITES related documents, it is necessary to enclose proof of payment of the administrative fee in the amount of 5.00 KM (for application) and 15.00 KM (for permit), i.e. in the total amount of 20.00 KM, based on the Law on Administrative Fees ("Official Gazette of Bosnia and Herzegovina", No. 16/02, 19/02, 43/04, 8/06, 76/06, 76/07 and 98/12), and in accordance with headings 1 and 3. Tariffs of administrative fees.

| R3c (previously | Has your country used revenues from fees for the implementation of CITES or will conservation? | dlife | |
|---------------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| 2.2.3c) | | Entirely | |
| | | Partly | |
| | | Not at all | |
| | N | ot relevant | |
| R3d | | Yes | No |
| (previously 2.2.3d) | | | |
| | Does your country raise funds for CITES management through charging user fee | es? | \boxtimes |
| | Do your country's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits? | | \boxtimes |
| | Does your country have case studies on charging or using fees? | | \boxtimes |
| | If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: | | |
| | Does your country use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? | П | \boxtimes |
| | If 'Yes', please provide brief details: | | |
| | | | |
| R4a | Does your country use incentive measures¹ such as those described in document C | PoP14 Doc | 1/1 32 |
| (previously | to implement the Convention? YesNo | 70F 14 DOC | 14.02 |
| 2.2.4a) | Due diligence □ ⊠ | | |
| | Compensatory mechanisms | | |
| | Certification | | |
| | Communal property rights □ ⊠ Auctioning of quotas □ ⊠ | | |
| | Cost recovery or environmental charges \[\subseteq \subseteq \] | | |
| | Enforcement incentives | | |
| | If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your country uses other measures, please provide link to further information: | a summary | or |
| R4b | Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? | | |
| (previously 2.2.4b) | Not at all | | |
| | Very little | | |
| | Somewhat | | |
| | Completely | | |
| | AWARENESS | | |
| A1 | Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following | Relev | ——— vant |
| (previously 3.2.1a) | activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's | Us | |
| Ç. <u></u> , | requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups? Wider pub | olic Grou | ups |
| | - Press conferences | | _ |
| | - Press releases | L | _ |
| | Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets | L | _ |
| | - Television appearances | L |] |
| | - Radio appearances | L |] |
| | - Presentations | | _ |
| | - Public consultations / meetings | | |
| | − Market surveys □ | \boxtimes | 3 |

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

| | Displays Information at border crossing points | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | Telephone hotline | | | | | |
| | Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) http://mvteo.gov.ba/Content/Read/CITES | | | | | |
| | Other (specify): | | | | | |
| | Please attach copies of any items or describe e | xamples | s: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| A2a (previously 3.2.2a) | How regularly do your country's Authorities cons | sult the C | CITES webs | site? | | |
| | Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group | Daily | Weekly | Monthly | Less frequently | Not known |
| | Staff of Management Authority | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Staff of Scientific Authority | | | | | |
| | Staff of enforcement authorities | | | | | |
| A2b (previously 3.2.2b) | What has been your experience with using the | CITES w | vebsite? | Exce Goo Ave Poo | rage | |
| | | | | Verv | / Poor | |

Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):

No information

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

| Item | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed | Enclosed | | | |
| Web link(s) | Not available | | | |
| | Previously provided | \boxtimes | | |
| Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules, awareness raising materials, etc: | | | | |
| Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in | n Yes | | | |
| your country requiring attention or assistance? | No | \boxtimes | | |
| | No Information | | | |
| If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or a | assistance that is required. | | | |
| Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with o | ther Yes | | | |
| Parties? | No | | | |
| | No Information | \boxtimes | | |
| If 'Yes' please provide details / links: | | | | |
| How could this report format be improved? | | | | |

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.