The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision:* 2021-2030 and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be monitored.

CITES vision statement

By 2030, all international trade in wild fauna and flora is legal and sustainable, consistent with the long-term conservation of species, and thereby contributing to halting biodiversity loss, to ensuring its sustainable use, and to achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

The questions of the implementation report follow the structure of the *Strategic Vision 2021-2030* and its indicators that are mapped against the Sustainable Development Goals and the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework to ensure synergies and consistent reporting.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP19)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat on 31 October of the year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form and to **answer at a minimum all questions in bold**. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat Palais des Nations Avenue de la Paix 8-14 CH-1211 Geneva Switzerland

Email: <u>info@cites.org</u> Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Belgium		
Period covered in this report	1 January 2021 to 31 December 2023		
Department or agency preparing this report	Federal Public Service (FPS) Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment Directorate General Environment Service Multilateral and Strategic Affairs CITES Unit Avenue Galilée, 5 boîte 2 1210 Brussels		
	*CITES Management Authority		
Contributing departments, agencies and organiz	*CITES Scientific Authority		
	*CITES Enforcement Authorities		
	ECIES IS CONDUCTED IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE ACHIEVE THEIR CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABLE USE		
	bligations under the Convention through the adoption and legislation, policies, and procedures.		
Indicator 1.1.1: Number of Parties that are in c (Data source: National Legisla)	ategory 1 under the national legislation project.		
report? Yes 🛛 No 🗌	egislation been developed during the period covered in this with the Secretariat? Yes ☐ No ⊠Not Applicable ☐ ecretariat with this report:		
<u>2021</u>			
Following CITES CoP18 (Geneva, 2019), the European Commission adopted on 16/12/2021 Commission Regulation (EU) 2021/2280 ¹ to incorporate the changes flowing from the decisions taken in the relevant legislation at the EU level. The Regulation entails changes to the Annexes to Council Regulation (EC) 338/97 as well as Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006.			
To implement Resolution Conf. 10.10 (Rev. CoP18), the EU introduced a more restrictive ivory traderegime. In this context, the exemption for internal trade with antiques containing ivory without a certificate was repealed. Accordingly, a certificate is now required for trade of ivory in any case. To allow stricter control of internal trade in objects consisting of ivory, an expiry date for certificates issued for all ivory objects was introduced. In addition, the European Commission revised the Guidance document on the EU regime governing trade in ivory ² .			
As a result, import and export of raw ivory to and from the EU are prohibited entirely, whereas intern trade is only allowed for specific purposes (repairing pre-1975 musical instruments and pre-194 antiques of high cultural, artistic or historical importance held by a museum). Regarding worked ivor export and import are only allowed for pre-1975 musical instruments and pre-1947 antiques sold museums, internal trade only with objects acquired before 1947 and pre-1975 musical instruments			
2022			

 ¹ Regulation - 2021/2280 - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)

 2
 EUR-Lex - 52021XC1230(03) - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)

On 11/08/2022, the Commission adopted a Guidance document on live animals bred in captivity under the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations.	у 1
On 09/11/2022, the European Commission adopted a revised EU Action Plan against wildling trafficking ² to strengthen the EU action against the illegal trade in wildlife, both in the EU are internationally. The revised action plan is built around four priorities including 17 objectives and 6 actions: (1) Preventing wildlife trafficking and addressing its root causes; (2) strengthening the leg and policy framework against wildlife trafficking; (3) enforcing regulations and policies to fight wildling trafficking the global partnership of source, consumer and transcountries against wildlife trafficking.	nd 59 jal ife
2023	
Following CITES CoP19 (Panama, 2022), the Commission adopted on 15/05/2023 the revise Annexes to Council Regulation (EC) No 337/97 ³ .	эd
On 12/12/2023, the Commission adopted Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) N 2023/2770 (Suspension Regulation) ⁴ , amending the list of species of which import to the EU prohibited.	
Moreover, the European Commission adopted on 18/04/2023 a Guidance document on the export re-export and intra-EU trade of captive-born and bred live tigers and their parts and derivatives ⁵ (2023/C 135/01). The objective of the Guidance is to increase controls on the commercial trade of live captive-bred tigers and their parts and derivatives. The EU Member States are encouraged no to grant export, re-export or intra-EU trade certificates. Exemptions are only possible under specific circumstances if the purposes are not detrimental to the conservation of the species.	f
1.1.1b Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No	ct
After every CITES CoP, the EU incorporates the changes in the CITES Appendices in the releval legislation at EU level (Council Regulation (EC) 338/97). Albeit a simplified procedure, it challenging to meet the 90 days deadline given lengthy and punctual internal procedures at E level.	is
During the interim period and prior to the entry into force of the amended Regulation, EU Member States issue export and import permits directly based on the relevant provisions of the Convention	
National legislation which has an effect on new CITES listed species exists already since 1984: The Belgian Law of 28/07/1981 provides for an article which specifies that for the newly Appendix listed species, it is mandatory to submit an inventory for the holding of live specimens, allowing therefore newly listed App. I specimens to be monitored.	1
As it may be difficult to prove the legal acquisition of specimens of Appendix II species prior to the listing of the species in this Appendix, the Belgian CITES Management Authority has provided for voluntary online registration of such specimens.	
This voluntary registration can assist in the obligation to provide a proof of legal acquisition of the specimen(s) before the CITES Appendix II listing has come into force.	l.
Supporting documents that can be submitted: - Details of the breeder/store/former owner of the animal	
- Date of acquisition	
- Identification of the animal (chip or closed ring) if applicable. If the animal is not identified or canr be identified, clear colour pictures (full profile of the animal and detailed head shot) or distinguishe features (specific stains, etc.) are required.	
If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:	

EUR-Lex - 52022XC0811(01) - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)
 EUR-Lex - 52022DC0581 - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)
 Regulation - 2023/966 - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)
 Implementing regulation - EU - 2023/2770 - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)
 EUR-Lex - 52023XC0418(01) - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)

- Indicator 1.1.2: Number of Parties subject to CITES recommendations to suspend trade. (Data source: Notifications to the Parties and reference list of countries subject to a recommendation to suspend trade)
- Objective 1.2 Parties have established CITES Management and Scientific Authorities and enforcement focal points that effectively carry out the duties required of them under the Convention and relevant Resolutions.
- Indicator 1.2.1: Number of Parties that have designated at least one Management Authority, independent Scientific Authority and enforcement focal points in place. (*Data source: CITES online directory*)
- Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with Resolutions and Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties. SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15 GBF Goal A & Targets 4, 5, 9, 10 & 15

Indicator 1.3.1: Number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?				
Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements					
	Belgium replied to all reporting requirements (Annual Legal Trade Report, Annual Illegal Trade Report) but has not replied to all Notifications send out by the CITES Secretariat. This was in part because we did not necessarily felt addressed by the Notification, or sometimes because of lack of resources.				
	Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements $oxtimes$				
	Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements				
	No special reporting requirements applicable				
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes 🗌 No 🖂				
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?				

- Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation status and needs of species. SDG Goal 15 GBF Goal A & Targets 4 & 5
- Indicator 1.4.1: The number and proportion of species listed in Appendices that have been found to meet the criteria for each Appendix contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP17) or its successors as part of the Periodic Review process or of amendment proposals
- Objective 1.5 Parties improve the conservation status of CITES-listed specimens, put in place national conservation actions, support their sustainable use and promote cooperation in managing shared wildlife resources. SDG Goals 2, 12, 14 & 15 GBF Goals A & B & Targets 4, 5, 9 & 10
- Indicator 1.5.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved. (Data source: IUCN Red List conservations status categories)

1.5.1a (previously 3.4.1a)	Does your country have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? Yes Appendix I Appendix I Appendix II If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provides There are no CITES-listed species that occur only in Belgium. We are studies, although they no doubt will exist (in Belgium and other EU M European eel for example, information will exist at EU level, as there (the EU Eel Regulation) that will contain information on the population For this particular species, it is clear that the conservation status has States are called upon to take additional actions to improve conserva Action Plan "Protecting and restoring marine ecosystems for sustaina adopted by the European Commission on 21/02/2023. Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary 2	Image: Image in the second	For the Regulation uropean eel. s Member ned in the
1.5.1b (previously 3.4.1b)	f Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', please provide details: Success stories The Belgian Zoo Planckendael has successfully contributed to the reintroduction of <i>Aegypius monachus</i> , the Eurasian black vulture. The Belgian Zoo Pairi Daiza has successfully contributed to the breeding program of the giant panda (<i>Ailuropoda melanoleuca</i>) in close collaboration with China, and collaborated in the breeding and reintroduction program of the Spix ara (<i>Cyanopsitta spixii</i>) with Brazil. Emerging problems Belgium had a first seizure of glass eels (<i>Anguilla Anguilla</i>) in 2023, confirming the problem of illegal trade in this commodity, which is illegally harvested in the EU and then illegally traded, mostly to Asia (China). In March 2023 these 420,000 glass eels were released in several rivers in the Flemish Region. Furthermore the illegal trade in postal parcels containing food supplements (often containing, or said to contain CITES listed plant species) is again on the rise (zero cases in 2018 and 2019, 9 cases in 2020, 66 in 2021 and 45 in 2022). Whereas before parcels with Aloe ferox where problematic, this problem was solved due to the change in the annotation for this species. When trade is largely in artificially propagated specimens, it should be considered more often to clearly exclude finished products from the scope of CITES.	No information	

Indicator 1.5.2: Number of CITES-listed species for which Parties have put in place actions that support sustainable use.

1.5.2	Does your country have any cooperative management plans, including recovery plans,
(previously 1.6.2a)	in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species? Yes \Box No \boxtimes

	If 'Yes', please list the specie to a published plan for each s	s for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference species.
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan
GOAL 2	PARTIES' DECISIONS	ARE SUPPORTED BY THE BEST AVAILABLE SCIENCE AND

- INFORMATION
- Objective 2.1 Parties' non-detriment findings are based on best available scientific information and their determination of legal acquisition is based on the best available technical and legal information. SDG Goals 12, 14 & 15 GBF Targets 4, 5, 9 & 20
- Indicator 2.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings (NDFs).

2.1.1a (previously 1.5.2a)			Yes	No	No information
	Does your country have standard procedures for making no detriment findings in line with <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. C</u>		\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures to or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to on the The BE CITES MA uses available standardised information for the elaboration of NDF advice: CIRCABC (EC secure v for the establishment of a scientific advice, Belgian "compe the CITES legislation texts, expert contact lists, species I European level, thus guaranteeing a high quality as severa the discussions. The Belgian SA follows discussions of the E contributes actively, notably in the field of timber trade. I workshop of December 2023.	where the n to collect website), F ndium" (wl ists,). NI al EU Scier EU Scientif	e informa informa Referenc nich cont DF's are ntific Autl fic Revie	tion that e Guide tains, an consolio norities o w Group	an be found internet: are needed , Guidelines nong others, dated at the contribute to closely and
2.1.1b (previously	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the guidance been used?	following	Plea	se tick a	all that apply
1.5.2b)	Virtu	ual College			л
		N Checklis]
	Resolution	Conf. 16.7	7	\geq	3
	2008 NDF	workshop)	\geq	3
	Species specifi	c guidance	e]
		Othe	r	\geq	3
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify deta	ails:9-step	NDF gui	dance	
2.1.1c (previously	How often do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> review and/or change your non-detriment findings?		by case		
1.5.2c)	non-detiment maings ?	Annua	illy two yeai	re	
			requently		
			of the ab	•	\boxtimes
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detrim		-		-
	Scientific opinions for specific combinations (species /coun- generally valid for many years. If new decisions are taken with the relevant third Party to inform them about the chang for example, new information on populations status or new	n at EU lev ge in opinio	el (SRG on. It ma), consul ay also h	Itations start appen that,

then the NDF needs to be revaluated. Sometimes decisions are only valid for a specific application (source, country, quantity...) and must be done again when new applications come in as it would be the case for sensitive species.

- Indicator 2.1.2: Number of written NDFs submitted and number of Parties submitting NDFs for posting in the CITES online database. (Data source: NDF webpage on the CITES website)
- Indicator 2.1.3: Number of Parties that have included the legal acquisition finding obligation in their national regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19).

2.1.3		Yes	No	No information
	Is the legal acquisition finding obligation included in your national regulatory framework, as recommended by Resolution Conf. 18.7 (Rev. CoP19)?			
	If 'Yes', please briefly include the name of the regulatory instrument, of information can be found on the internet:	or provid	e a link	to where the
	This is enshrined in the EU Regulations (Council Regulation 338/97 a Regulation 865/2006) and complemented by the EU Guidance docur are published related to ivory, rhino, tigers, live animals bred in captiv proof of legal acquisition for live animals of Annex B). See <u>https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/nature-and-biodiversity/wildli</u>	nents (sp ity in the	ecific g EU, tin	guidances

Objective 2.2 Parties cooperate in sharing information and tools relevant to the implementation of CITES.

SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal B & Targets 20 & 21

Indicator 2.2.1: Number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 (Rev. CoP17) on *Non-detriment findings* related to: - the population status of Appendix-II species; - the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and - the status of and trend in naturally occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

2.2.1a (previously 1.5.1a)	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken in your country in relation to:	s been	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II specie	s?		\boxtimes		
	 the trends and impact of trade on Appendix species? 	k II		\boxtimes		
	 the status of and trend in naturally-occurrin Appendix I species? 	ıg		\boxtimes		
	 the impact of any recovery plans on Appen species? 	ıdix I		\boxtimes		
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integ relevant knowledge and expertise of local ar indigenous communities?			\boxtimes		
	If there are such studies that you are willing	to share, plea	ise provi	de:		
	Species name (scientific)	or other ana	lysis (e.ç ease, off	g. popul -take lev	ts of the surve ation status, de vels etc), or pro material.	ecline /

2.2.1b (previously	How are the results of such surveys, studies or other analyses used in making non-detriment findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply				
1.5.1b)		Revised harvest or export quotas 🗌			
		Banning export 🗌			
	Stricter domestic measures				
	(Changed management of the species \Box			
	Disc	cussion with Management Authorities 🗌			
		Discussion with other stakeholders?			
	Other (please pr	ovide a short summary):			
2.2.1c	Do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> have specific conservation measures	Yes			
(previously 1.5.1c	or recovery plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed	No			
	species?	Not Applicable			
		No information \square			
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including, if possil impact:	ole, an evaluation of their			
2.2.1d (previously	Has your country published any non-detriment findings that				
1.5.1d)	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretaria	within this report:			

Г

2.2.1e (previously	Which of the following [A to F of paragraph 1 a) x) of <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7</u> (<u>Rev. CoP17</u>)] do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> use in making non-detriment findings?	Yes	No
1.5.1e)	A. relevant scientific literature concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends.	\boxtimes	
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.	\boxtimes	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locations and at sites protected from harvest and other impacts.	\boxtimes	
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities.		\boxtimes
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts.	\boxtimes	
	F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example.		
	As it is the case in all other EU Member States, the Belgian SA is required to give an advice on the introduction of CITES listed species into the EU (species listed on Annex A and B of EU Regulation 338/97). It can only give a positive advice if the import has no harmful effect on the conservation status of the species or on the extent of the territory occupied by the relevant population of the species. It is in this process that above information is consulted. Belgium has not, in the relevant timeframe, compiled NDF's on endemic species.		

Indicator 2.2.2: Number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys. (Data source: Quotas webpage on the CITES website)

2.2.2a (previously 1.5.3a)	Does your country set annual export quotas?	Yes No	\square
	If 'Yes', does your country set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:	(Other,
	Species Name (scientific)	i opulation i	lease becify
	<i>Prionace glauca</i> (zero export quota for Mediterranean stock)		
	<i>Isurus oxyrinchus</i> (zero export quota for North Atlantic and South Atlantic stock as defined by ICCAT)		
	Isurus paucus (zero export quota for all stocks)		
	Anguilla anguilla (zero export quota for all stocks)		
2.2.2b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	\boxtimes
(previously 1.5.3b)	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	finding process:	
	For the abovementioned species it was determined that it was export quota which can ensure sustainable production and co quota are set at zero.		

Indicator 2.2.3: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

	Have the CITES authorities received or benefited from any of the following capacity-building
(previously 1.6.3a)	activities provided by external sources?

Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?
Staff of Management Authority						Participation in EU Expert Group meetings which provide a forum for discussion of CITES related issues and which can be considered as a form of capacity building.
Staff of Scientific Authority						Participation in EU Scientific Review Group meetings which provide a forum for discussion of CITES related issues and which can be considered as a form of capacity building.
Staff of enforcement authorities						Participation in EU Enforcement Group meetings which provide a forum for discussion of CITES related issues and which can be considered as a form of capacity building.
Traders NGOs						The BE SA receives written input from international organisations such as IUCN and its specialist groups for the advice on the introduction of CITES listed species into the EU (species listed on Annex A and B of EU Regulation 338/97).
Public						
Other (please specify):	\boxtimes					

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

2.2.3b (previously 1.6.3b)	Have the CITES authorities been the other range States?	provider	°s of any	of the f	ollowi	ng ca	apacity-building activities to
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	ing	Other (specify)	
	Target group	Oral advic	Technical assistanc	Financial assistanc	Training	Othe	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						 Financial assistance to the African Elephant Fund (first time in 2014), thus providing funding to implement the African Elephant Action Plan. Belgium also is part of the steering committee of the AEF and thus provides also input on technical matters. Financial assistance to the Sponsored Delegates Project for COP19 Technical assistance to Training at the UNIA MASTER course for other CITES Management Authorities on CITES
	Staff of Scientific Authority						The Belgian scientific authority has provided feedback on the non- detriment finding process for the newly listed timber species (<i>Pterocarpus</i> spp., <i>Afzelia</i> spp.) in Cameroon.

	Staff of enforcement authorities						 For French speaking African customs officers at the "Ecole des douanes Belge" of the Federal Public Service Finance in Brussels on CITES For customs officers of South East Asia in collaboration with UNODC on CITES For Customs administrators from South America, Sub- Saharan Africa, and Asia Pacific in collaboration with WCO on CITES For European (non EU) enforcement officers in collaboration with the European Commission (TAEIX EPPA) on CITES enforcement For Dutch enforcement authorities at their CITES contact day on implementation of CITES COP 19
	Traders NGOs						Financial assistance to
	Public						ETIS and EU-twix
	Other Parties/International meetings						
	Other (please specify)						
2.2.3c (previously 1.6.3c)	In what ways does your country colla	aborate v	with othe	er CITES	S Part	ies?	
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Alwavs	Further detail / examples

Information exchange			The Belgian MA and Belgian enforcement authorities do exchange information with other EU Members states during the meetings of EU Committee and EU Enforcement Group, by mails for specific issues, via EU TWIX database, and with third countries during international meetings (Standing Committee, COP) and by mail for specific issues
			The Belgian SA exchanges information on a regular basis with other EU member states through the Scientific Review Group by e-mail or meetings (SRG and SRG Working groups), and with third countries (mainly concerning timber species) at international meetings such as the Animals and Plants committee and the CoP. In light of imports, the BE SA regularly consults the SA of the country of export.
Monitoring / survey Habitat management			

Species management						Regular exchanges with countries of origin, notably timber trading Parties. The EU applies strict criteria for the assessment of import requests on the introduction of CITES listed timber species into the EU (species listed on Annex A and B of EU Regulation 338/97). In light of this there are regular communications with the exporting countries of these species.
Law enforcement						Information on significant seizures that take place in Belgium are transmitted to the CITES MA of the country of origin/export.
Capacity building				\square		See 2.2.3b
Other (please provide details)						
How many training and capacity building country run during the period covered in			fro	ithout as om the ecretaria		nce Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			lone 1 2-5			
		1'	6-10 1-20		 	
	N	More that	in 20	Ľ		

¹ An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:				
2.2.3e (previously 2.3.1b)	What sorts of capacity building activities have taken place? National training on CITES for all enforcement authorities are given on a yearly basis: police (2022), customs (2021) CITES inspectorate, Federal Agency for the Safety of the Food Chain (sanitary department at the borders) (2023) and the judicial branch (magistrates and judges) (2023). Some training is given on dedicated training days, others are given through joint national control operations.						
2.2.3f (previously 2.3.1c)	Vhat capacity building needs does your country have?						
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						Guidance for establishing advice (making NDFs) on the import of corals as well as similar guidance for the import of terrestrial invertebrates
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

Indicator 2.2.4: Number of reports shared by the Parties in compliance with the Resolutions of the Convention. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)

Indicator 2.2.5: Number of Parties sharing information relevant to the implementation of CITES (e.g. shared databases, data visualization/software, information-sharing focused tools, etc.).

2.2.5	Has your country shared information relevant to the implementation of CITES (e.g. shared databases, data visualization/software, information-sharing focused tools, etc.)?	Yes No	
-------	--	-----------	--

Indicator 2.2.6: Number of CoP side-events where Parties present information and tools relevant to the implementation of CITES (Data source: CoP side-event schedule and descriptions)

- Objective 2.3 Parties have sufficient information to enforce the Convention. SDG Goal 12 GBF Goal D & Targets 15 & 21
- Indicator 2.3.1:
 Proportion of Parties that are making use of the available tools. For instance, one could look at Google Analytics for the number of site visits to the CITES website, CITES Checklist, or Species+ or the number of downloads from the CITES Trade Database as a proxy for usage of shared tools.

 (Data source: CITES Secretariat Number of visits to the CITES website; number of visits to the CITES Trade Database)

Indicator 2.3.2: Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient information to enforce the Convention.

2.3.2	Do you consider that your country has sufficient	Yes	\boxtimes
	information to enforce the Convention?	No	

Objective 2.4 Parties have sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs.

SDG Goal 12 GBF Goals A & D & Targets 5, 20 & 21

Indicator 2.4.1: Percentage of Parties reporting having sufficient information to make listing decisions that are reflective of species conservation needs.

information to make listing decisions that are reflective of No species conservation needs?	
---	--

Objective 2.5 Information gaps and needs for key species are identified and addressed. SDG Goal 12 GBF Target 21

Indicator 2.5.1: Number of Parties that have undertaken research (including for non-detriment findings) on their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention.

2.5.1a	Has research (including for non-detriment findings) on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention been undertaken in your country?Yes ⊠ No []
	If 'Yes', please indicate how you identify key species:	
	Key species are species that are often imported in Belgium, such as timber. Detailed analysis of NDF's made by the countries of origin have been undertaken, as well on any available publications or suggested management on the identified key species.	
2.5.1b (previously 1.4.1a)	Has your country undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes 🛛 No 🗌]
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Belgium authored the listing proposal for the listing of <i>Pterocarpus</i> spp. which was adopted at CoP19, and actively contributed to the other timber listing proposals proposed by the EU and the 27 MS.	•

Indicator 2.5.2: Number of Parties that currently lack information for their identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and need assistance to address them.

2.5.2	Do you consider that your country currently lacks information on your identified key species most relevant to the implementation of the Convention and needs assistance to address them? Yes ⊠ No □
	If 'Yes', please specify for which key species and the type of assistance needed: There are differing views as to how an NDF has to be made for timber species. This has become more apparent as recent listings relate to commercially important species. A more harmonised approach accepted by all CITES Parties would be beneficial for the conservation of such species (e.g. what would be considered as sustainable forestry under CITES).

- GOAL 3 PARTIES (INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY) HAVE THE TOOLS, RESOURCES AND CAPACITY TO EFFECTIVELY IMPLEMENT AND ENFORCE THE CONVENTION, CONTRIBUTING TO CONSERVATION, SUSTAINABLE USE AND THE REDUCTION OF ILLEGAL TRADE IN CITES-LISTED WILDLIFE SPECIES
- Objective 3.1 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens. SDG Goal 16 GBF Goal D

Indicator 3.1.1: Number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
3.1.1 (previously 1.2.1a)	Does your country have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
,	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes		

Indicator 3.1.2: Number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19).

3.1.2 (previously 1.2.2a)	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the	following?			
		Tick all applicable			
		Yes	No	No information	
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 to Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP19) are urgently required.				
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 2.		\boxtimes		
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		\boxtimes		
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.				
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:				

Indicator 3.1.3: Number of Parties that have adopted an electronic system for the issuance of permits.

		Yes	No	No information
3.1.3 (previously 1.2.1b)	Does your country have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries			

Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?			
Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?			
Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		\boxtimes	
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challenges faced or issues overcome: As already reported on in the previous Implementation report, Belgium has an electronic system for the submission of applications for CITES documents, that entered into force in March 2015, and allows Belgian citizens (traders as well as individuals) to apply and pay for their CITES documents online. Treatment and issuance of permits is also done via this system, which allows to keep records of all applications in a digital form. This system also gives the possibility to ensure a better control of the legal trade, to extract data, establish trends etc. The establishment of this online system has required time and resources, it is been (and still is) regularly updated in order to facilitate the submission and treatment of the applications.			
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?			
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: Belgium is closely involved in the development of the EU CITES Database, which will be a hub- system where all EU MS can become integrated in the EU-wide system, and where 3 rd Parties can also connect to the EU-system (and thus also to the EU-MS). Furthermore, an EU Single Window system is under development, allowing authorities, customs and traders to access and apply for the requirement documents when importing specimens into the EU (not limited to CITES), through a single point of access. If this development comes to light, it would also mean that customs can directly encode the actual quantities of traded specimens directly into the system (whereas currently customs still have to send back the hand signed CITES permits to the CITES MA and the CITES MA has to encode this in the Belgian database). The main hurdle will be to make the actual step to go from paper permits to digital permits, as this requires an acceptance of this way of working by our trading partners at the international level.			

- Objective 3.2 Parties and the Secretariat develop, adopt and implement adequate capacity-building programmes. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21
- Indicator 3.2.1: Number of Parties with training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES, including the making of non-detriment and legal acquisition findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

3.2.1a (previously 1.8.1a)	Does your country have information resources or training in place to survey No The making of non-detriment findings? Permit officers? Enforcement officers?	upport:	
3.2.1b (previously 1.8.1b)	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building? updated to more recent information	Yes No No information	
3.2.1c (previously 1.8.1c)	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

¹ e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Indicator 3.2.2: Number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared CITES listed species.

See questions for indicator 2.2.3

- Objective 3.3 Sufficient resources are available at the national and international levels to support necessary capacity-building programmes and ensure compliance with and full implementation and enforcement of the Convention. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21
- Indicator 3.3.1: Number of Parties meeting their obligations with regard to their assessed contributions to the Trust Fund. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Indicator 3.3.2: Percentage of the total funds required to implement the work programme agreed by the Conference of the Parties that is fully funded. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Objective 3.4 Parties recognize illegal trade in wildlife as serious crime and have adequate systems in place to detect and deter it. SDG Goal 15

Indicator 3.4.1: Number of Parties where criminal offences relating to illegal trade in wildlife (such as illegal hunting/harvest and wildlife trafficking) are recognized as a serious crime.

3.4.1a (previously 1.7.3b)	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌 No information					
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: Regarding poaching of native wildlife: th Walloon, Flemish and Brussels regions ensures the implementatio and the Nature Park Decree in Belgium.	is is a regional competence: the					
	€26 (multiplied by 8 (€208)) to €50.000 (multiplied by 8 (€400.000 months to five years. The same law allows administrative sanction	afficking the Law of July 1981 gives the amount of the penalties: minimal fine of $(\in 208)$) to $\in 50.000$ (multiplied by 8 ($\in 400.000$)) and imprisonment of six. The same law allows administrative sanctions, in case there is no criminal are no criteria, it is up to the prosecutor, judge and/or administrative department inistrative fines to decide.					
3.4.1b (previously 1.7.3a)	Does your country have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes					
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available see above	No Information					
3.4.1c (previously 1.7.3c)	Does your country have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the investigation of CITES offences?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌 No information					
	 If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: DNA analysis/species identification for <i>Anguilla anguilla</i>, <i>Panax ginseng/quinquefolius</i>, <i>Sphyrna</i> spp., different types of bush meat and caviar and different types of wood of the genus Dalbergia 						

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

	 spp. parentage analysis on: Tyto alba, Falco peregrinus, Accipiter gentilis, Haliaeetus albicilla and Haliaeetus pelagicus, Gyps fulvus, Falco tinnunculus, Falco cherrug, Falco rusticolus (and hybrids), Strix uralensis, Bubo bubo, Cacatua moluccensis, Primolius maracana, Amazona rhodocorytha, Anodorhynchus hyacinthinus, 					
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic please indicate which species it applies to: Yes, DNA BOPCO (https://bopco.myspecies.info/), Timber anal center (ENFORCE https://enforce.africamuseum.be/	analys yses ar	e are r e requ	equested throu ested through	igh our lab our timber forensic	
	Parentage testing is done via a Dutch commercial lab	at the	mome	nt.		
3.4.1d (previously 1.7.3d)	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report?			Yes No No informatio	n 🗌	
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including an other Parties:	y lesso	ns lear	ned which mig	ht be helpful for	
	-Participation of all Thunder operations in 2021, 2022 and-Events	2, 2023	report	s: <u>https://www.i</u>	nterpol.int/News-	
	2021: <u>https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/operation</u> 2022 <u>https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/saisies-operation</u> despeces-menacees				-et-squelettes-	
	In this period different enforcement authorities participated in monthly or bi-monthly joint national control operations (so-called "BACON OPERATION") taking place at different border posts in the country (Zaventem, Gosselies, Bierset, Brussels midi train station, Oostende,). It is an initiative conducted by the Federal Agency for the Safety of the Food Chain, in cooperation with the Belgian Customs, the Belgian Federal Agency for Medicines and Health Products and CITES to detect illegal products amongst others bush meat brought back in the EU by passengers coming from third countries. These give opportunities to inform different enforcement agencies of the different legislation.					
3.4.1e (previously 1.7.3e)	Does your country have a standard operating proceed relevant agencies for submitting information related to offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs O	o CITE	S	Yes No No informatio	n 🗆	
3.4.1f (previously 1.7.3f)	Does your country have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?	
	General crime ²			\square		
	Predicate offences ³					
	Asset forfeiture ⁴			\square		
	Corruption ⁵			\square		
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁶					

¹ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

² General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

³ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁴ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

⁵ Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

⁶ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

	Organized crime ¹				case of organized crime in illegal trade in European eel.
	Specialized investigation techniques ²			\square	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which n				
3.4.1g	Does your country have institutional capacity to imple			Yes	\boxtimes
(previously 1.7.3g)	legislative provisions listed in the question above aga	inst CI	IES	No	
	offences?			No information	on 🗌
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs:				

- Objective 3.5 Parties work collaboratively across range, transit and destination states, to address entire illegal trade chains, including through strategies to reduce both the supply of and demand for illegal products, in order for trade to be legal and sustainable. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Targets 5, 16, 20 & 21
- Indicator 3.5.1: Number of seizures made through Parties collaboration across range, transit and destination States, to address entire illegal trade chains.

3.5.1	Have authorities in your country made seizures through Parties collaboration across range, transit and destination States, to address entire illegal trade chains?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please indicate the number of seizures made: Sometimes in countries are sent regarding a possible illegal shipment. Please see our annual illegal trade report for details on seizures.	nformation from export	or transit

¹ Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

² Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

Objective 3.6 Parties take measures to prohibit, prevent, detect and sanction corruption. SDG Goal 16

Indicator 3.6.1: Number of Parties reporting in implementation reports of activities taken to address corruption.

3.6.1	Has your country undertaken activities to address corruption, in particular with regard to national agencies responsible for wildlife law enforcement and protected areas management?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please elaborate on the types of activities taken:	,	

Objective 3.7 Investments in building capacity of CITES are prioritized, coordinated, and their success monitored to ensure stepwise improvement through time. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20

- Indicator 3.7.1: Number of capacity-building activities delivered to Parties. (*Data source: See questions for indicator 2.2.3*)
- Indicator 3.7.2: Number of Parties who report improvements in their implementation following targeted capacity-building efforts.

3.7.2	Can you report improvements in the implementation of CITES in your country following targeted capacity-building efforts?	Yes No No capacity- building	
	If 'No', please elaborate on the reasons why targeted capacity-build improvements in your implementation:	ling did not lead to	

- Indicator 3.7.3: Total investments into capacity-building efforts. (Data source: Reports from capacity-building activities)
- Objective 3.8 Parties take full advantage of emerging technological developments to improve the effective implementation and enforcement of the Convention. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Targets 20 & 21
- Indicator 3.8.1: Number of CITES Parties using the CITES Checklist API. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- GOAL 4 CITES POLICY DEVELOPMENT ALSO CONTRIBUTES TO AND LEARNS FROM INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS TO ACHIEVE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT
- Objective 4.1 Parties support sustainable wildlife trade policies, especially those that increase the capacity of Indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods. SDG Goals 8, 12, 14, 15 & 17 GBF Goals B & C & Targets 5 & 22
- Indicator 4.1.1: Number of CITES-listed species for which Parties have designed/implemented relevant sustainable wildlife management policies.

4.1.1	Has your country designed or implemented relevant sustainable wildlife management policies for CITES-listed species?		Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please indicate the names of the species:			
	Taxon (scientific name)	Total number of CITES-listed species covered		

Anguilla Anguilla (the EU Eel Regulation see 1.5.1a)	1

Indicator 4.1.2: Percentage of Parties that co-developed or otherwise supported the capacity of indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue livelihoods.

4.1.2	Has your country co-developed or otherwise supported the	Yes	
	capacity of indigenous peoples and local communities to pursue	No	
	livelihoods?	No information	\boxtimes

Objective 4.2 The importance of achieving CITES' aim as a contribution to achieving the relevant Sustainable Development Goals, as well as the post-2020 global biodiversity framework, is recognized. SDG Goals 12, 15 & 17 GBF Targets 4 & 5

Indicator 4.2.1: Number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP), also included in the global and national Strategies for Plant Conservation under CBD programme.

4.2.13 (previous 3.4.2a)	Yes No No information	\square
4.2.1 (previous 3.4.2b)	Yes No No information	

- Objective 4.3 Awareness of the role, purpose and achievements of CITES is increased globally. SDG Goals 12 & 17 GBF Targets 4, 5 & 21
- Indicator 4.3.1: Number of new, unique visits to the CITES website. (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of site visits to the CITES website)

Indicator 4.3.2: Number of Parties with information on CITES and its requirements on their official websites. (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of Management Authorities with a website)

- Indicator 4.3.3: Number of followers on CITES social media platforms. (Data source: CITES Secretariat – number of followers of CITES and WWD on social media, i.e., Instagram, LinkedIn, Facebook, Youtube, Wechat and Weibo)
- Indicator 4.3.4: Number of key identified hashtags (e.g. #cites, #citescop19 #worldwildlifeday, etc.) on CITES social media. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Indicator 4.3.5: Number of events submitted to the World Wildlife Day website. (*Data source: CITES Secretariat*)
- Objective 4.4 CITES Parties are informed of international actions for sustainable development that may have a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES. SDG Goal 17 GBF Target 21

- Indicator 4.4.1: Number of meetings/CoP where representatives of other international bodies report on relevant activities to CITES Parties. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Indicator 4.4.2: Events, documents and presentations, etc. delivered by other intergovernmental bodies and fora in meetings convened by the CITES Secretariat. (*Data source: CITES Secretariat*)
- Indicator 4.4.3: Number of Notifications to the Parties issued by the CITES Secretariat relating to international actions for sustainable development that may have a bearing on achieving the goal of CITES. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)

GOAL 5 DELIVERY OF THE CITES STRATEGIC VISION IS IMPROVED THROUGH COLLABORATION

- Objective 5.1 Parties and the Secretariat support and enhance existing cooperative partnerships in order to achieve their identified objectives. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20
- Indicator 5.1.1: Number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

5.1.1 (previously 3.3.1a)	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description: An official mailing list exist in Be information exchange between experts working in the framework of di conventions. More in depth exchange is done on a case-by-case basis exchange is also used when the Belgian position needs to be defined f conventions thus providing synergies and avoiding duplication.	ferent biodiversity s. This information	

Indicator 5.1.2: Number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

5.1.2 (previously 3.3.3a)	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?		Traffic Europe (for the management of EUTWIX as well as ETIS)

Indicator 5.1.3: Number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

¹ CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

(previously 3.5.1a)	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:	Yes No No information	$\boxtimes \Box \Box$
------------------------	---	-----------------------------	-----------------------

Indicator 5.1.4: Number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

5.1.4 (previously 3.5.2a)	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)			\square			
	Scientific Authority(ies)						e.g. IUCN Specialist groups
	Enforcement Authority(ies)		\square				

- Indicator 5.1.5: Number of implemented cooperation agreements between the Secretariat and Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAS), including the Biodiversity Liaison Group (BLG) and other biodiversity-related Conventions. (Data source: CITES Secretariat)
- Objective 5.2 Parties encourage the formation of new, innovative and mutually sustainable alliances between CITES and relevant international partners, where appropriate to advance CITES' objective and mainstream conservation and of sustainable use of biodiversity. SDG Goal 17 GBF Goal D & Target 20
- Indicator 5.2.1: Number of alliances between CITES and relevant international partners to advance CITES objective and mainstream conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. (*Data source: CITES Secretariat*)
- Objective 5.3 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support activities that contribute to CITES implementation and enforcement. SDG Goals 15 & 17 GBF Goal D
- Indicator 5.3.1: Number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

5.3.1a (previously 3.1.1a)	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements? If 'Yes', please provide brief details:	Yes No Not applicable No information	
5.3.1b (previously 3.1.1a)	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 5.3.2: Number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

5.3.2 (previously 3.1.2a)	Has your country provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?						Yes No No	information
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management ¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)
	EU MS							Belgium finances on a yearly basis the management of EU- TWIX (www.eu- twix.org) that is being used by all the EU MS
	African Elephant Range States							Funding of African Elephant Fund and technical assistance as part of the Steering Committee
	All CITES Parties	\boxtimes						Development of ETIS Database (https://cites.org/en g/prog/etis)

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

² Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

COOPERATION AND SYNERGIES

C1 (previously 1.6.1a)	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes ⊠ No □ If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: Belgium is signatory state of following agreements =mixed competences (federal and regional): - Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern
	 Convention) Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS) as well as other agreements under CMS such as AEWA, EUROBATS (only regional competences) and , the MoU on Raptors, and Ascobans
	 Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat, called the Ramsar Convention Within the European Union following regulations for the protection of species are set out: EU Bird Directive 2009/147/EC: see http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/birdsdirective/index_en.htm : Europe is home to more than 500 wild bird species. The Birds Directive aims to protect all wild bird species naturally occurring in the European Union, including the species covered by CITES. EU Fauna Flora Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC, see http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/index_en.htm

C2a (previously 3.3.2a)	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has yo contributed towards?	2 (African Elephant Fund and ETIS)	
C2b (previously 3.3.2b)	In addition to C2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?		
C2c (previously 3.3.2c)	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?		\square
	Agencies for trade?	\square	
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	\boxtimes	
	Local authorities or communities?	\boxtimes	
	Indigenous or local peoples?		\square
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\boxtimes	
	NGOs?	\boxtimes	
	Other (please specify)		
C2d (previously 3.3.2d)	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?		\boxtimes
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		
	Planning processes?		\boxtimes
	National accounting?		\square

ENFORCEMENT

E1 (previously 1.7.1a)	Do <u>es</u> you <u>r country</u> have, <u>is</u> are you <u>r country</u> engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?			
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?			
	– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?		\boxtimes	
	 formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee? 			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement a details: The European Commission published the revised European Wildlife Trafficking (<u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-</u> <u>content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM%3A2022%3A581%3AFIN&qid=166798</u> Belgium participated in several international meetings to strengthen Interpol Wildlife Crime Working Group, EU CITES Enforcement meet Creation of a national Task Force whose objective would be to bring around the table to create a 'One World One Health Framework' arc animals, to make it more sustainable and to combat the illegal import develop plans, legislation (if needed), Belgian Enforcement working group has been established since 200 9/4/2003. This group consists of representatives of different Belgian referred to in Article 7 of the Law of 28/07/1981 and presided by the Mostly the cooperation's take place on a bilateral, trilateral basis and trades encountered, f.e. European Eels.	Union A 9438184 internati eting (the togethe bund the rt of mea 03 with th enforce CITES I	Action Plan (). onal coop e EU WEN r all the sta Wildlife tra t. The task t. The task ne Royal E ment auth Manageme	n against eration:), akeholders ade of exotic kforce will Decree of orities ent Authority.

E2a (previously 1.7.2a)	Does your country have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do? Traffic makes yearly overviews of the EU trends are discussed in the CITES EU Enforcement Group Meetin		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do ye	ou find of value?	
E2b (previously 1.7.2b)	Has your country used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	YesNo, but toolkit use is unde considerationNoNoNo information	r
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used ar equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that cou		
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to n tools useful to you:	nake the toolkit or equivalent	t

=			57
E3a (previously	Does your country use risk assessment to target CITES	Always	
(previously 1.7.4a)	enforcement effort?	Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
E3b	Does your country have capacity to analyse information gathered	Yes	\boxtimes
(previously 1.7.4b)	on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
E3c	Does your country use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform	Always	
(previously 1.7.4c)	investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
1.1.10)		Sometimes	\boxtimes
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
E3d	Has your country implemented any supply-side activities to	Yes	\boxtimes
(previously 1.7.4d)	address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	
E3e	Has your country implemented any demand-side activities to	Yes	
(previously 1.7.4e)	address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	\square
		No	
		No information	

During the period covered in this report:					Yes	No	No Information		
E4a (previously 1.7.5a)			e measures (e. osed for CITES						
	lf 'Yes', pl details:	ease indicate	how many and	l for what type	es of offenc	es. If avai	lable, pleas	e attach	
	fines, dete	ermined by the	on the crimina e legal departm Environment).	nent (within th					
	The amount of administrative fines is determined by using a weighting points system which takes into account: the seriousness of the offence, the nature of the offender (trader or private person), the value of the specimens involved, the notion of recurrence,								
	The amount balances between €280 and €1400.								
		Private person	Company	Total					
	2021	32.266	8.402	€ 40.668					
	2022	23.692	10.830	€ 34.522					

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

	2023	21.223	39.320	€60.543							
	If the offender doesn't pay the fine, it will be collected by a bailiff, so normally all fines are collected.										
E4b (previously 1.7.5b)	Have ther offences?		minal prosecuti	ions of CITE	S-related	\boxtimes					
	Amongst - import	others: of 1 kg primate	or what types o e meat: imprisc ivory (0.39 kg):	onment of 8	months and	a fine of	€1600				
	 import of 3 pieces of ivory (0.39 kg): imprisonment of 6 months and a fine of €3200 import of 3.32 kg of Tortoise meat: imprisonment of 6 months and a fine of €1200 import of 16.7 kg of Alligator meat: imprisonment of 6 months and a fine of €1200 transit of 2.36 kg of African Dwarf Crocodile meat: imprisonment of 6 months and a fine of €800 import of 1 Python skin: imprisonment of 6 months and a fine of €1200 										
	- import	of 1.4 kg pytho	olobus meat (3 l on meat: fine of acaca Sylvanus	f€1200							
E4c (previously 1.7.5c)	Have ther related of		ner court action	s against C	ITES-	\boxtimes					
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the results? Please attach details: For some cases an amicable settlement by the prosecutor is proposed with a fine between €350-€750. These cases relate mostly to import of wild meat and corals by private persons.										
E4d (previously 1.7.5d)	How were	e any confiscat	ed specimens o	disposed of	?		Tic	k all that apply			
,	– Returr	n to country of e	export								
	– Public 2018-2019		cal gardens se	e details in	previous imp	olementat	ion report	\boxtimes			
	– Desigr 2018-201		entres see deta	ails in previo	us impleme	ntation re	port	\boxtimes			
	– Approv	ved private fac	ilities								
	– Euthai	nasia									
	 Other 	(please specify	/):								
	We do so centers, p We do so	metime encour particularly whe	any challenges nter problems c n judicial decis eized animals t	of lack of pla ions take a	ce for seize long time or	d animals with big o	in rescue quantities.				
	Do you ha	ave good pract	ice that you wo	uld like to s	hare with oth	ner Partie	s?				

RESOURCES

R1a (previously 2.2.1a)	Does your country have an approved service standard(s)1 for your Management Authority(ies)?Yes NoIf 'No', please go to Question R1d.If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards? According to Art 8 .3 of EU Commission regulation No 865/2006 of 4 May 2006 laying down detailed rules concerning the implementation, the Management Authorities shall decide on the issue of permits and certificates within one month of the date of 						
	of their app If 'Yes', do standards	lications.	have performance ta	gets for these	Issuance permit ((re)e / import)with weeks application (th	nin 2 of	
	standard f If possible service st Overview	targets? e, please provide andards during th average number	your performance ag your country's perfor ne period covered in t of working days nee	mance against his report:	performance standard)	Yes No	
	shortfall a – availat	Import permit 12 22 15 untry did not mee	Export permit 21 21 15 et its performance targ	Re-export certificate 8 5 5 yets then was this	Yes □ ⊠		№
		tage of skills? a shortage of skil	lls, which skills does y	our country need			
R1b (previously 2.2.1b)	Scientific J If 'No', ple If 'Yes', fo standards The Belgi different e museums country. T mammals	Authority(ies)? ease go to Questi r which services ? an Scientific Auth experts from differ , botanical garde hese experts are (2 experts), bird	n approved service st on R1d. are there standards, a nority consists of one rent universities, scient ons and zoos, consult e included into five diff s (3), reptiles, amphile s (6). The members of	and what are those coordinator and ntific institutes, ants around the erent sections: bia and fish (4),		Yes No	

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	"Scientific Committee" work on CITES related matters on a voluntary basis but they are entitled for reimbursement for their travel expenses and for the drafting of their expertise reports. This committee meets 3 to 5 times a year, usually before each meeting of the EU Scientific Review Group. The Belgian Scientific Committee works with an 'internal rules' procedure which enables this Committee to work efficiently and plans the deadlines for scientific advices .		
	If 'Yes', does your country have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?	Yes No	\square
	If 'Yes', what are your country's performance targets?	Time-related advices should be available within 10 working days	
	If possible, please provide your country's performance against		
	service standards during the period covered in this report: Average time application is under scrutiny of the SA		
	SAImportRe-exportadvicepermitExport permitcertificate		
	2021 31 11 0		
	2022 18 0,3 0		
	20231926If your country did not meet its performance targets then was this		
	shortfall a result of:	Yes	No
	– availability of funding?		
	– number of staff?	\boxtimes	
	– a shortage of skills?		
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your country need more of?		
R1c (previously	Does your country have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your enforcement authority(ies)?	Yes No	\square
2.2.1c)	If 'No', please go to Question R1d.		
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		
	The CITES Inspection Service that deals with CITES controls has set up internal procedures to standardized decisions in the framework of controls and irregularities (ex. guidance for the control of Annex BII species, decisions tree, etc.).		
	Law 28 July 1981 art 7.4 provides that the infringement reports have to be sent to the public prosecutor office within 15 days of date of control.		
	If 'Yes', does your country have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?	Yes No	\square
	If 'Yes', what are your country's performance targets?		
	If possible, please provide your country's performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If your country did not meet its performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes	No
	 availability of funding? 		
	– number of staff?		
	– a shortage of skills?		\Box

	If 'Yes' to a sho more of?	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills does your country need more of?							
R1d (previously 2.2.1d)		Please only complete this question if your answered 'No' to the first part of question R1a, R1b, or R1c, relating to the existence of approved service standards for your authorities:							
	Does your country have sufficient of the following for your authorities to function effectively? Management Scientific Authority(ies) Enforcement Authority(ies) Authority(ies)								
	Funding?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🛛					
	Staff?	Yes 🗌 No 🔀	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	Yes 🗌 No 🔀					
	Skills?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🖂	Yes 🛛 No 🗌					

R2a (previously 2.2.2a)	Have any of the following activities be covered in this report to enhance the implementation at the national level?	e period	Tick if applicable			
	Hiring of more staff					
	Development of implementation tools					
	Purchase of technical equipment for enforcement	ng or				
	Other (please specify):		1			
R2b (previously 2.2.2b)	During the period covered in this report the budget for your:	ort, was	Increased	Stable	Decreased	
	Management Authority(ies)			\square		
	Scientific Authority(ies)			\square		
	Enforcement authorities			\square		
R2c (previously 2.2.2c)	Has your country been able to use in development funding assistance to ir the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable		
	Management Authority(ies)?		\boxtimes			
	Scientific Authority(ies)?		\boxtimes			
	Enforcement authorities?			\square		
R2d (previously 2.2.2d)	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?					
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority	
	Hiring of more staff	\boxtimes				
	Development of implementation tools			\boxtimes		
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement					
	e-permitting	\square				
	Other (please specify):					
R2e (previously 2.2.2e)	Does your country have an operatior (e.g. electronic database) for managi	Yes	Under development	No		
	Species information		\square			
	Trade information		\square			

|--|

R3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:		
(previously 2.2.3a)	Tick all that are app	licable	
	 Administrative procedures 	\boxtimes	
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea) 	\boxtimes	
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species) 		
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 		
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 		
	 Use of CITES-listed species 		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 		
	 Other (please specify): 		
R3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available? Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
(previously 2.2.3b)	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat: New fees for the CITES application forms in October 2021		
	https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/animaux-et-vegetaux/animaux/cites-et-especes-menaceespublications-permis-certificats-et-tarifs	<u>/les-</u>	
R3c (previously 2.2.3c)	Has your country used revenues from fees for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?	9	
-------------------------------	---	-----------	-------------
,		Entirely	\boxtimes
		Partly	
	Ν	ot at all	
	Not r	elevant	
R3d (previously 2.2.3d)		Yes	No
	Does your country raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?	\bowtie	
	Do your country's fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Does your country have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: The fees for CITES documents and others outcomes (fines) are supplying the 'Belgian CITES Fund'. This fund is used to finance several staff members of the MA and the enforcement team, but also for subjects related to CITES for example: a project of sustainable use of <i>Pericopsis elata</i> in DRC, the EU TWIX database, the ETIS project, DNA analyses, costs associated with the accommodation and storage of seized animals or plants, organization of public sales, costs associated with the printing of CITES documents, specific software for TCM database etc,		
	Does your country use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation? If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		\boxtimes

R4a	Does your country use incentive measures ¹ such as those described in document <u>CoP14 doc 14.32</u>
(previously 2.2.4a)	to implement the Convention? YesNo
2121.103)	Due diligence 🛛 🗌 🗌
	Compensatory mechanisms 🛛 🖂
	Certification
	Communal property rights 🛛 🖂
	Auctioning of quotas
	Cost recovery or environmental charges 🛛 🗌
	Enforcement incentives
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if your country uses other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information: The fact that all infringements have a follow-up (either via the judiciary system for bigger offences or via the administrative system for minor offences) will have a dissuasive effect. Also, the system allows us to recover the cost of for example the keeping of confiscated animals taken from the offender.
	Positive incentive measures: with our pre-verification system implemented in 2023 in the CITES electronic database, we enable customers who submit complete applications to benefit from a shorter delivery time.
R4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated?
(previously 2.2.4b)	Not at all
2.2.40)	Very little
	Somewhat
	Completely

¹ Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

AWARENESS

A1 (previously 3.2.1a)	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Relevant User Groups
	– Press conferences		
	 Press releases 	\boxtimes	
	 Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets 	\boxtimes	
	 Television appearances 	\boxtimes	
	– Radio appearances		
	 Presentations 	\boxtimes	
	 Public consultations / meetings 	\boxtimes	
	– Market surveys		
	– Displays		
	 Information at border crossing points 	\boxtimes	
	 Telephone hotline 		
	 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) <u>www.citesinbelgium.be</u> 	\square	
	 Other (specify): 		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples: not		
	exhaustive		
	All information for stakeholders and press releases are located		
	on our web site: <u>https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/animaux-et-</u> <u>vegetaux/actualites-all cites-belgique</u>		
	 Moreover, all relevant information affecting stakeholders in general or on specific topics are sent by e-mail by the CITES Management Authority. <u>Organization of meetings with stakeholders</u> 2022,2023: by members of the CITES Management Authority for Belgian ornithological associations 2021: by members of the CITES Inspection for Antics dealers 2023: by members of the CITES Management Authority for animal shelters (Flemish region) 2023: by members of the CITES Management Authority for animal shelters (Flemish region) 2023: by members of the CITES Management Authority for an Ophthalmic surgical company about trade of protected Shark medical products (<i>Prionace glauca</i>) 		
	- 2023:In addition to their usual controls, CITES inspectors ran a campaign to raise awareness of existing legislation on trade in CITES specimens. This campaign targeted the organizers, exhibitors and visitors of fairs, exchanges and shows offering animals for sale.		
	<u>Public consultations</u> : At the beginning of 2021: round ivory		
1	https://www.health.belgium.be/fr/news/consultation-publique-		
	sur-le-commerce-de-livoire (initiative of EU commission)		
	 <u>Television appearances</u> Interview of one member of the CITES Management Authority in ARTE (TV channel) broadcast documentary on "man's role in the emergence of pandemics caused by zoonoses': case of illegal import of bushmeat via Brussels-Zaventem airport: <u>https://www.arte.tv/fr/videos/106168-000-A/epidemies- I-empreinte-de-I-homme</u> unfortunately the documentary is no longer available since 9 January 2024 and was published in 		
	French and German		

-	Participation of a member of CITES MA to a VTM (Belgium TV channel) television programme "DOE MAAR DUURZAAM", 'Dot it Sustainable' is a television program in which various developments and innovations within the sustainability industry are discussed.
	are discussed.
	-

A2a (previously 3.2.2a)	How regularly do your country's Authorities cons	ult the C	CITES webs	ite?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known					
	Staff of Management Authority		\square								
	Staff of Scientific Authority			\bowtie							
	Staff of enforcement authorities				\boxtimes						
A2b (previously 3.2.2b)	What has been your experience with using the CITES website? Excellent Good Average										
					/ Poor						
	No information Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc): Sometimes information cannot be found, or is not that easy to locate (for example the Interpretation section of the Appendices). Information is also not always up to date (for example MIKE ETIS Subgroup committee meeting reports etc). A general search also often reveals a lot of information, which does not make it easier to find what you are looking for (as all information containing the searched word(s) become available. Perhaps presenting it in a chronological manner (most recent first) would already help.										

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

ltem		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed Web link(s)	Enclosed Not available	
	Previously provided	\boxtimes
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules	, awareness raising materia	als, etc:
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in	n Yes	
your country requiring attention or assistance?	No	\boxtimes
	No Information	
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or a	assistance that is required.	
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with o	other Yes	
Parties?	No	\boxtimes
	No Information	
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		
How could this report format be improved? The format is not that u	ser friendly	

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

Collection of information pursuant to *Commission* Regulation (EC) No 865/2006

Standard template for collection of information pursuant to Article 69(5) of *Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006*

Beyond the communication of information referred to in Article 15(4)(c) of *Council Regulation (EC)* No 338/97¹ with regards the CITES Implementation Report², Article 69(5) of *Commission Regulation (EC)* No 865/2006³ requires the EU Member States to report on additional aspects, as stipulated in points (a) to (f):

- (a) persons and bodies registered in accordance with Articles 18 and 19;
- (b) scientific institutions registered in accordance with Article 60;
- (c) breeders approved in accordance with Article 63;
- (d) caviar (re-)packaging plants licensed in accordance with Article 66(7);
- (e) the use of phytosanitary certificates in accordance with Article 17;

(f) cases where export permits and re-export certificates were issued retrospectively in accordance with Article 15.

These points are reflected in the standard template below, with the exception of the reporting requirement under Article 69(5)(d) concerning caviar (re-)packaging plants. This omission is due to such reporting requirement being covered by the notification pursuant to Article 66(7) of the Commission Regulation, which states that lists of processing and (re-)packaging plant facilities (including caviar producing aquaculture operations) licensed by the Management Authority of a Member State, and any changes thereto, shall be notified to the Secretariat of the Convention and to the Commission.

Article 69(6) of the *Commission Regulation No 865/2006* states that the above information shall be submitted in a computerised form together with the CITES Implementation Report. The present document provides the Member State Management Authorities with a standard template to fulfil these reporting obligations and allows the European Commission to gather Union-wide standardised information on the reporting aspects that fall outside the scope of CITES reporting requirements. This information complements that submitted in the EU Member State CITES Implementation Reports.

The deadline for submission of this information by the Member States, together with their Implementation Reports, is one year ahead of each meeting of the CITES Conference of the Parties and shall correspond to the three-year period ending on 31 December of the previous year. Taking into account the draft amendments to *Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006* discussed at the 98th Meeting of the Committee On Trade in Wild Fauna And Flora, which states that Article 69 of *Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006* should be amended in a way that all intra-EU deadlines for Member State report submissions are set for 15 June so that the Commission can fulfil its reporting duty to the Secretariat by 31 October of the respective year.

¹ <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A31997R0338</u>

² <u>https://cites.org/eng/resources/reports/Implementation_report</u>

³ https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32006R0865

Reporting on the application of permitting and registration procedures (1 January 2021 to 31 December 2023)

1	Have persons and bodies been registered in accordance with Article 18 (simplified procedures with regard to certain trade in biological samples) and Article 19 (simplified procedures with regard to export or re-export of dead specimens) of <i>Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006?</i> <i>If yes, please specify details below.</i>	Yes No No information available	
2	Have scientific institutions been registered in accordance with Article 60 of Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006? If yes, please specify details below. Not during this period , begin 2024: BE010 Research institute For the entire list : <u>https://cites.org/eng/common/reg/si/BE</u>	Yes No No information available	
3	Have breeders been approved in accordance with Article 63 of <i>Commission</i> <i>Regulation (EC) No 865/2006?</i> <i>If yes, please specify details below.</i>	Yes No No information available	
4	Are phytosanitary certificates used in accordance with Article 17 of <i>Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006</i> ? In 2022, around 678 Belgian phytosanitary certificates were used for the export of CITES plants instead of CITES export permits and 173 in 2023. The data relating to these phytosanitary certificates could however not been extracted and included in our annual report because they were not digitised. We had no information from our sanitary authority on the number of phytosanitary certificates used for the export of CITES plants in 2021.	Yes No No information available	
	If yes, please specify details.		

5	Have cases occurred where export permits and re-export certificates were issued retrospectively in accordance with Article 15 of <i>Commission Regulation (EC) No 865/2006? If yes, please specify details below.</i>	Yes No No information available	
	One CITES reexport permit was issued retrospectively in 2023 for one <i>Alligator mississipiensis</i> bracelet to Switzerland. The watch strap was purchased on internet for personal use by a Swiss people . This document was issued in compliance with Resolution 12.3, chapter XIV, given that first time encountering such a situation for this , the presence of supporting invoice and other documents indicating the legality of the alligator leather.	-	

Penalties and sanctions in relation to Article 16 of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97 and Directve 2008/99/EC on the protection of the environment through criminal law in EU Member States

	Legislation	icic iain, otten		annos or an orga	anoo craninai gro	Fi	ines	constant and constant		ate offence or if the comm	and or all offence I	Imprisonment	aggestvating Cli		Seizure of specimens	Approved authority to seize and confiscate	Market/conservation value of species and costs incurred	f Comments and sources
				Fines (nat	ural person) UR)			Fine	(legal person) (EUR)					laundering	specimens	seize and comiscate	considered in penalty	and sources
			Ad			iminal	Ad	min		riminal		Min	Max					
Austria	Austrian Species Trade Act of 16th March 2010	Individuals	Min	<u>Max</u> 80 000	Min	Max	Min	<u>Max</u> 80 000	Min	Max	Type of offence Negligence Intent	-	5 years 5 years		Yes	Seizure: Customs and other state authorities Confiscation: For offences punishable by courts the court is authorised to confiscate the specimen. For offences punishable by administrative authorities the customs authorities are authorised to confiscate the specimen as these offences are financial offences	Yes	
Belgium	Law of 28 July 1981, as amended by Programme Laws of 24.12.2002;	Organised group^ Individuals	26	50 000	26	50 000	26	50 000	26	50 000	Negligence	6 months	to 5 years		Yes	offences are transicial offences punishable by customs authorities Customs, police, federal veterinary service; inspection service, MA CITES Belgium	Yes	Minimum and maximum fines are to be multiplied by factor 8
	22.12.2003; 99.07.2004, 27.12.2004; 08.06.2008 and 19.05.2010 and by the Law of 27 December 2012 on various provisions relating to animal health and the protection of consumer health.																	
		Organised group^	26	50 000	26	50 000	26	50 000	26	50 000	Intent	6 months	to 5 years					
Bulgaria	Biodiversity Act (SG, 77 / 2002 last amendment SG	Individuals	256	5113	500	10 000	511	10 225			Negligence	1			Yes	Customs, Environmental agency, Ministry of Environment and Water	No	Seizure of equipment
	2002 last amendment SG 43 / 2008)	Organised group^									Intent		5 years			Ministry of Environment and Water		
	Penalty Code (Art. 278d)	Individuals	2500	10 000	2500	10 000	2500	10 000	2500	10 000	Negligence		5 years					
	Penalty Code (Art. 242.1)	Organised group^	39 116	51 130	39 116	51 130	39 116	51 130	39 116	51 130	Negligence	3 years	5 years 10 years		-			
		Organised group [^]									Intent	3 years	10 years					
Croatia	Act on Transboundary Movement and Trade in Endangered Species (OG 94/2013 and 14/2019)	Individuals	ca. 13	ca. 121 100	ca. 67	ca. 13 500			ca. 270	ca. 135 600	Negligence				Yes	Nature Protection Inspection, Customs	Yes	
		Organised group^									Intent	<u></u>						
Cyprus	Law on the Protection and Management of Nature and Wildlife (No. 153(I)/2003)	Individuals				17 000				17 000	Negligence		3 years		Yes	Customs, police	No	No provision for extra-judicial fines The fines indicated here are judicial fines only
		Organised group^									Intent		3 years					
ech Republic	Act No. 100/2004 Coll., on the Trade in Endangered Species, 2004	Individuals	Not specified	ca. 61 700	Not specified	Unlimited*	Not specified	ca. 61 700	Not specified	Unlimited*	Negligence	No	No	Yes	Yes	Customs, Environmental Inspectorate are authorized for seizure. Environmental Inspectorate is authorized for confiscation	Yes	Relevant legislation *Minimum and maximum fines are not set for criminal offences.
		Organised group^	Not specified	ca. 61 700	Not specified	Unlimited*	Not specified	ca. 61 700	Not specified	Unlimited*		No	No					
	Act No. 40/2009 Coll., the Criminal Code	Individuals Organised group^	Not specified Not specified	Not specified Not specified	Negligence Intent	6 months/2	Up to 3 years Up to 5 years/up	Yes	Yes	Police and Customs authorised for seizure, Court of Justice is authorized for confiscation or the specimens could be handed over to be confiscted by the Czech	Yes	Relevant legislation						
												years (organized crime)	to 8 years (international organized crime)			Environmental Inspectorate in accordance with the Act 100/2004 Coll.		
Denmark	Nature Protection Act (2016)	Individuals	variable	variable	Negligence				Yes	Court of Justice, police (also Customs administration and Forest and Nature Agency)	Yes	Fines depend on EC Annex where species is listed and market value; fines especially high fo with a commercial purpose; additional claims for transport, storage etc. of seized specimens p						
		Organised group^			.l				I		Intent		Up to 2 years			and Nature Agency)		
	Ministry of Environment Statuary Order No. 1285	Individuals									Negligence	1	No					1
	(2021)	Organised group^																
	Penal Code No. 196	Individuals									Negligence Intent		6 years 6 years		No	NR	NA	No set minimum or maximum sanctions based on Statuary Order
	New Control 1	Organised group^																
Estonia	Nature Conservation Law (2004); supplemented by Code of Misdemeanour Procedure, Customs Act (2004), Animal Protection Act (2001)	Individuals	Not specified	1200			Not specified	3200			Negligence	1	No		Yes	Environmental Inspectorate, Customs	Yes	

1		Organised group [^]	Not specified	1200			Not specified	3200			T			1	1	I	1	1	
-	Reg of the Government	Individuals	-				-				Negligence				NA	NA	NA	Compensation for environmental damage caused by infringement of 338/97. Minimum compensation	
	08.04.2005 No. 69										Intent	P	lo					EUR 13 and maximum EUR 64 000 (depends on the species and specimens). These are not fines, be compensation rates for environmental damages.	
		Organised group^																	
	Penal Code , § 357 and 44	Individuals			Pecuniary punishment	Pecuniary punishment with			Pecuniary punishment with no	Pecuniary punishment with no limit	Negligence				Yes	Confiscation by a Court decision	Yes	For attempts of evading detection; maximum sentence for infringement carried out by a group, or by officials taking advantage of their position	
		Organised group^			with no limit	no limit		••••••	limit		Intent	Not set 1 year	3 years 5 years						
Finland	Sections 58 (infringements) and 59	Individuals	NA	NA	(i)	(i)	NA	NA	(i)	(i)	Negligence				Yes (forfeiture ii)	Seizure: Customs, police, frontier guard.	Yes, the conservation value (living value) of species	 (i) Day fines imposed (for natural persons, fines depend on the income) (ii) Forfeiture of the specimens, forfeiture of means and assets, compensations 	
	(forfeitures) of Nature Conservation Act.															guan. Confiscation (forfeiture of the specimen): Prosecutor (only for infringements that are not taken to court), the courts (infringements and crimes)	civing value or species protected in the Nature Conservation Act or the Hunting Act is taken into account. The market value may be taken into account. Externa costs incurred during investigations may be taken into account.	UV PARENIE OL DE OPERATORIS, OUTENIE OL DE	
		Organised group^	NA	NA	Not specified	Not specified	NA	NA	Not specified	Not specified	Intent								
	Chapter 48, section 5 of Penal Code (nature conservation crimes)	Individuals	NA	NA	Not specified	Not specified	NA	NA	850	850 000	Negligence		2 years		Yes (forfeiture ii)	guard.	Yes, the conservation value (living value) of species protected in the Nature	The living value of the animal or plant involved is forfeited to the State; defined formala for calculat of living value; living values for native protected animal species (including game species) are publish as legal acts; gains from infiringment forfeiter of specimen.	
		Organised group^	NA	NA	Not specified	Not specified	NA	NA	Not specified	Not specified	Intent		2 years 2 years			Confiscation (forfeiture of the specimen): Prosecutor (only for infringements that are not taken to court), the courts (infringements and crimes)	Conservation Act or the Hunting Act is taken into account. The market value may be taken into account. Externa costs incurred during investigations may be taken into account.		
-	Chapter 48, section 5a of	Individuals	NA	NA	Not specified	Not specified	NA	NA	850	850000	Negligence	4 months	4 years		Yes (forfeiture ii)	Seizure: Customs, police, frontier	Yes, the conservation value	The living value of the animal or plant involved is forfeited to the State; defined formula for calcular	
	Penal Code (serious nature conservation crimes)	Organised group^	NA	NA	Not specified	Not specified	NA	NA	Not specified	Not specified	Intent	4 months 4 months	4 years 4 years			guard. Confiscation (forfeiture of the specimae): Proceedure (only for infringements that are not taken to court), the courts (infringements and crimes)	(Iring value) of species protected in the Nature Conservation Act or the Hunting Act is taken into account. The market value may be taken into account. Externa costs incurred during investigations may be taken into account.	of l'ixing value; living values for antize protected animal species (including game species) are publid a legal axt; gains from infringement forfeited; forfeiture of specimen.	
France	Environmental Code, Article L415-3 to 415-5 Environmental Code, Article L415-6	Individuals				150 000				150 000	Negligence		3 years		Yes, confiscation	Seizures: Customs, National Hunting and Wildlife Agency, Veterinary services, other officers licensed by the Ministry of Ecology, National Agency for the Fight against Environmental and Public Health Crime, Police and Gendarmeric.	Yes	Also seizure of equipment, including vehicles	
		Organised group [^]				750 000				750 000	Intent		3 vears 7 years			Confiscation is only a decision of the Court.			
	Customs Code, Article 414	Individuals Organised group^	The value of the specimen	Twice the value of the specimen	of The value of the specimen	Twice the value of the specimen	The value of the specimen	Twice the value of the specimen	The value of the specimen	Twice the value of the specimen Up to 10 times the value	Negligence Intent		3 years 3 years 10 years		Yes confiscation	Customs	NA	Also seizure of equipment, including vehicles	
										of the specimen			-						
	Federal Nature Conservation Act, § 69 (4) regarding infringement of Regulation (EC) No. 338/97	Individuals		50 000				50 000			Negligence		NA		Seizure and confiscation	Customs, law enforcement agencies of the federal states ("Länder"), CITES MA: Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (BfN)	Variable, i.e. market value of the specimens may be considered, and conservation value, if available, encompassed by gravity of offence	§ 72 as basis regulation for seizure and conflication. "Nosef forfaiture" is set out in German penal code (Section 77 et seq., SIGB – Strafgosetzbuch, Pen Code, available under https://www.gostze-im-internet.de'englisch_utgby)	
		Organised group^									Intent		NA	-					
	Federal Nature Conservation Act, §§ 69 (4) and 71 (1), (2) and (4)	Individuals Organised group [^]		NA				NA		32 400 000 (Negligence) 54 000 000 (Intent)	Negligence		3 years 5 years		Yes, forfeiture and confiscation	Investigation agency to seize, to be confirmed and finally decided by court or public prosecutor.	Variable, i.e. gravity or severity of the offence	Legal sanction: Imprisonment of up to five years or fine in case of Deliberate, illegal import, export or re-export (concerning Annex A specimens) or deliberate. illegal materials (in generics in Annex A to the Regulation. The fine (imprisonment of one month means 30 daily rates) is calculated from the daily rate of inco (12) rates each by 500 whom there is a nonthly income of 15006).	
	Federal Nature Conservation Act, § 71 (3)	Individuals		NA				NA	180 to 180 000	54 000 000	Negligence	NA 6 months	NA 5 vears		See above	See above	See above	The same statement of facts as already mentioned above for § 71, but commission of crime for gain - habitually.	
	Federal Nature	Organised group^														1. Customs,		Independently from the offence procedures, national law allows additional seizure/confiscation	
	Federal Nature Conservation Act, § 46- 47, 51, 72	Individuals Organised group^									Negligence Intent				Yes	 Customs, Law enforcement agencies of the federal states ("Länder"), 	NA	measures: 1. German customs (in the framework of Customs clearance procedures at border points); 2. Länder management authorities can seize and confiscate 'specimens'. Customs may apoly that measure, when specimens lack necessary documentation on import, export	
	Federal Nature Conservation Act, § 71a	Individuals Organised group^		NA		50 000		NA		2160000 (Negligence) 32400000 (Intent)	Negligence Intent		1 - 2 years 3 years					entort meanlies of adultize the mark is at fault hosportest Direction 2008/99/EC on the protection of the environment through criminal law rega protected species regarding Articles 3(f) and 3(g), concernig species as set out in Article 2 (b).	
Greece	Law 4042/2012 (Directive 2008/99//EC)	Individuals Organised group^	3 000	150.000 (negligence)/ 500.000 (intent)	1		3 000	150.000 (negligence)/ 500.000 (intent)					Up to 5 years (for negligence) 20 years (for intent)				NA	Forest Authorities impose the administrative fines. The courts decide whether the imprisonment wi imposed	
	Law 2637/1998 and Legislative Decree 86/1969	Organised group*	1 500	30 000			1 500	30 000					month to 2 years		Yes	Forest or Customs Authorities	NA	Forest Authorities impose the administrative fines. The courts decide whether the imprisonment wi	

1	wa		1				1				[1 1		I	I	1
		Organised group^			1	1						1						
	National Customs Code Law 2960/2001	Individuals Organised group^	3.000 (only for live animals) /750 (for specimens or samples of wild fauna and flora)	3.000 (only for live animals) / five times the amount of duties and taxes for specimens or samples of wild fauna and flora			live animals) / 750 (for specimens or	3.000 (only for live animals) / five times the imount of duties and taxes for specimens or samples of wild fauna and flora			Smuggling	6 months	5 years	Yes, smuggling is a predicate offence for money laundering, according to Greek law 3691/2008.	Yes	Customs Authorities	NA	
Hungary	Government Decree No.					1					Negligence				Yes	Environmental, Conservation and	Yes, if the species is nationally	Fines per specimen: The fine may be imposed repeatedly in case of non-payment: In the case of
intengery	Overimient Decice No. 292/2008 (XII: 10.) on the enforcement of international and European Community legal acts regularing the international trade in endangered species of wild fauna and flora	Organised group^									Intent		3 years (i)		15	Water Management Inspectorates and Nature Protection Guards (scizner, confirmation by court necessary for confiscation), Customs	10c, 11 on species to introduce protected, the amount of the fine is based on the "conservation while" of the species- which is determined by a ministerial decree - and must be imposed per specimen, Additional claims for storage, transport etc. of confiscated specimens possible	specience data are subjet to the days of registration, and by each 250 gamma started in the case of earlier coving containing products, yony or thinoceron horn, and by each cubic metre in the case of implex. (i) For specimens listed in Amex A and B
		Individuals														NA	N	11. 1. 1
	Criminal Code	Organised group^	<u> </u>								Nezlizence Intent		2 years Up to 3 years		NA	NA	Yes, the fines are higher in case of high value commodities and specimens that are subject to the duty of registration	Illegal purchase, possession, sale, import, tre-)export, transport through the heritory of Hungary, trade in or killing of specimens of species listed in Annexes A and B
Ireland	Summary Convictions*	Individuals		(i)		100 000 (ii)		(i)		100 000 (ii)	Negligence		6 months		Yes, forfeiture	Customs, Garda Síochána (Irish Police) and other authorized persons	No	(i) Class A fine (ii) Conviction on indictment
	Wildlife Acts 1976 to 2012. Section 7 of Wildlife (Amendment) Act 2010; Section 53A	Organised group^									Intent		2 years			(seizure), "appropriate" courts (forfeiture)		So summary convictions: at the discretion of the Judge the person shall be liable to fine not exceeding EUR 5000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months or to both; also discretionary forfeiture of equipment, including vehicles.
	Convictions on Indictment*	Individuals		(i)		100 000 (ii)		(i)		100 000 (ii)	Negligence		6 months		Yes, forfeiture	Customs, Garda Síochána (Irish Police) and other authorized persons		(i) Class A fine (ii) Conviction on indictment
	Indictment* Wildlife Acts 1976 to 2012. Section 7 of Wildlife (Amendment) Act 2010; Section 53A	Organised group [^]									Intent		2 years			Police) and other authorized persons (seizure), "appropriate" courts (forfeiture)	No	(a) Leavedues on indictional (a) Convolution on indictionate at the discontion of the Judge the person shall be liable to fine not exceeding ELR (10) 000 or to imprivament for a term not exceeding 2 years or to both; also discretionary forfaiture of equipment, including whicks.
Italy	Law 150/92 (for Annex A	Individuals	(i)	(i)	15 000	150 000	NA	N/A	15 000	150 000	Negligence	6 months	2 years		Yes	Police authorities, such as Arma dei		(i) Financial penalty for personal or household goods from EUR 6000 to EUR 30 000
	specimens) Penal prosecution	Organised group^									Intent	6 months	2 years			Carabinieri and Guardia di Finanza	No	
	Law 150/92 (for Annex B and C specimens)	Individuals	(i)	(i)	20 000	200 000	NA	N/A	20 000	200 000	Negligence	6 months	1 year		Yes	Police authorities, such as Arma dei Carabinieri and Guardia di Finanza		(i) Financial penalty for personal or household goods from EUR 3000 to EUR 15 000
	Penal prosecution	Organised group^									Intent	6 months	1 wear				No	
Latvia	Criminal Law (1998), amendment Article 115' (2012)	Individuals Organised group [^]							No criminal sanctions provided for legal persons*	No criminal sanctions provided for legal persons ⁺	Negligence Intent		5 years		Yes	NA	NA	Offender can be punished also by community services. "Where the crimital offences have end one for the herefit and interests of a legal person, coercive measures may be imposed on the legal person, including "monetary lesis", which is a fine of not less than 10 times and not more than 100 000 times the minimum monthly wage (based on 2013 level, range from 2845 to 28.457 000)
	Law on the Conservation	Individuals	70	700		2 years in prison	140	7000		2 years in prison	Negligence			1 1	Yes	NR		All illegally acquired specimens confiscated
	of Species and Biotopes (2000), amendment Article 29 and Article 30 (01.07.2020.)	Organised group^									Intent	2	No				Yes	II poaching was deliberate, the offender must reimburse government up to 120 minimum wage (currently up to EUR 51 000).
Lithuania	Administrative Code	Individuals	30	600 (i)							N	1		↓	N	Customs (seizure)	No*	(i) Lin to ETID 590 for illustrational flavor up to ETID 600 for illustration from the
Lithuania	(2015), (Articles 284, 285, 303) specifying penalties relating to Act on the Ratification of CITES No. IX-337, supplemented by various other laws	Organised group [^]	30	ouu (i)							Negligence				Yes, confiscation	Custors (scizure) Environmental Protection Department	240*	(i) Up to IRE. 380 for illegally traded flore; up to ELR 000 for tillegally traded flama "Accounting the Christian clore and the Administrative code there is no say a maximum limit of flines or penalties, in revey case a decision on the size of fline is taken with respect to circumstances. For protected species flines are higher.
	Criminal Code	Individuals									Negligence				Yes			In paragraph 1(272), the Criminal Code sets a fine and penalty for maximum of 2 years of imprisonment for praching when this action caused his damage to the species
]				Intent		4 years (i) (ii)					for posching when this action caused big damage to the species. In paragraph 2 (272), the Criminal Code sets a fine and penalty for maximum of 3 years of imprisonment for illegal use of strictly protected fauna species when this action did not caused big damage to the

Laxenbourg	Anticle 6 de la loi du 9 juillet 2018 concernant centaires modalide d'application et les d'applications et les d'applications et les d'applications et les de 9 décembre 1996 relatif à la protection des espèces de fame et de flores sanvages par le cambié de la teu commerce	Organised group ⁴		5	2,000 500,00	0		50,000	500,000	Negligence	S days 6 months S days 6 months		Yes, confiscation	NA Police, Cautom, vertinary and apricelatural atheritis (followed by confirmation by judge)	No*	concertation status of this species the species. In paragraph (272), the Citrinial Cole sets a fine and penulty for maximum of 4 years of imprisonment for illegal use of large quantity of protected main species and off this action caused big damage to the concertained status of this species or this illegal action is committed with connerverial purpose. If a paragraph 1272, the Citrinial Cole sets a fine or panylis from simulation of 3 years of imprisonment for illegal use of imprisonment plane species and with a species of the protected purpose. **According to the Citrinial code and the Administrative code there is only a maximum limit of fines, in very cure a decision on the size of fine is taken with respect to circumstances. For protected opecies fines are higher.
Malta	Trade in Species of Fauna and Flora Regulations (S.L.549.38)	Individuals Organised group^			466 4.65			466	4 659	Negligence	1 month 2 years		Yes	Seizure: CITES Enforcement Authority, Customs, Police Confiscation: Court of law	Yes*	* Penalties from Cautom are worked out on the estimated nucket value of the specimen. However, within the parameters of Council Regulation (EC) No. 33897 as implemented locally through the Trade in Species of Fama and Flora Regulations (SL 549-38), penalties are at the discretion of the presiding magistrate.
	Crimes Against the	Individuals		12	000 (i) 1000			12 000 (i)	1000	Negligence	6 months					(i) Fines imposed when serious damage is caused to the environment
	Environment Act. (Chapter 522 of the				2 500 00	D (i)			2 500 000 (i)							
	Laws of Malta; Act XI of 2012)	Organised group^								Intent	8 months 7 years					
	The Nature Conservation Act (Wet and underlying regulations; Nature Conservation Decree (Besluit naturubescherming); Nature Conservation Regulation (Regeling naturubescherming)	Individuals Organised group^			90 000	0			900 000	Offence Intent	l year 6 years		Yes, confiscation	Ministry for Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality	Yes	(i) Community service or a fine Closing down corporate bodies, publich court decisions in media, prohibit a penalised individual to take in here animals or keep low animals as pets disfine-hising; confuscation, in addition to science of the spectrum, all associated cours are passed on to the offende, but smally not more than the value of the science operation. The spectrum of the spectrum of the spectrum of the spectrum of the science operation. The spectrum of the spectrum of the spectrum of the spectrum of the science operation. Science of the spectrum of the spectrum of the spectrum of the spectrum of the science operation. Science of the science of the spectrum of the spectrum of the spectrum of (Category 6).
Poland	(Minor) offences: Nature Conservation Act (2004) Articles 127-131, supplemented by Regulation of the Environment on Protected Indigenous Animals (2004), Animal Protection Act (1997), Act on Penal Liability of the Collective Persons (2002) and Penal Code (1997) Offences described in art. 127 and 131	Individuals								Negligence			Yes, seizure	Customs and Police (seizure, court confirmation required for confiscation)	Yes	
		Organised group^								Intent						
		Individuals														
	Crimes: Nature Conservation Act (2004) Acticles 127-131, supplemented by Regulation of the Davisonment one Protected Indigenous Animals (2004), Animal Protection Act (1997), Act on Penal Liability of the Collective Persons (2002) and Penal Code (1997), Crimes described in art. 128									Negligence	Up to 2 years		Yes, seizure	Customs and Police (science, court confirmation required for confiscation)	Yes	Offender can be onlined to also pay costs for return to country of origin; additional compensation pryment towards conservation also possible.
		Organised group^				1										
Portugal	Law Decree 121/2017, September 20, Article 32/1, Very serious environmental offense	Individuals (Just negligence) ; Individuals (with deceit) Organised group^	10000 20000	100000 * D 200000 ·	efine by court	24000 240000	114000 5000000	* Define by court		Negligence Intent	Up to 5 years (define by court and if only related with environment wildlife crime)	Decided by court onl	Yes Yes	Seizure by Management Authority, Customs, environment police, judiciary police and other police, Security and Food Authority. Confiscation by the Management Authority.	Yes (sometimes, define by Process instructor)	Penalties can be built for very serious offences
	Decree-Law 121/2017, September 20, Article 32/2, Serious environmental offense	Individuals (Just negligence) ; Individuals (with deceit) Organised group^	2000 4000		efine by court	12000 36000	72000 216000	* Define by court		Negligence	No	Decided by court onl	iy Yes	Seizure by Management Authority, Customs, environment police, judiciary police and other police, Security and Food Authority. Confiscation by the Management Authority.	Yes (sometimes, define by Process instructor)	Penalties for serious offences
												1	1	I		

	Decree-Law 121/2017, Article 32/3, Light	Individuals (Just negligence) ;	200 400	2000 4000	* Define by court		2000 6000	18000 36000	* Define by court		Negligence		Decided by	court only	Yes	Seizure by Management Authority, Customs, environment police,	Yes (sometimes, define by Process instructor)	Penalties for minor offences
	environmental offense	Individuals (with deceit)										No				judiciary police and other police, Security and Food Authority.		
																Confiscation by the Management Authority.		
		Organised group [^]					-				Intent							
	Penal Code Law (Law 56/2011 of 03.08.2015),	Individuals									Negligence		Defined by	court only	Yes	Confiscation by a Court decision	Yes (sometimes, defined by court)	(i) Up to 1 year for illegal possession; up to 2 years for illegal trade; up to 5 years for illegal killing and current or a second
	Article 278										Intent	5 vea	rs (i)				courty	capture
Romania	Governmental Ordinance	Organised group^	1050 (BON)	2100 (RON 10			5200 (RON	10 500 (RON 50			Negligence				Yes		NA	Sanctions for violations of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97 laid down in the Article 16 f), g) and h)
Komania	No. 57/2007 regarding protected areas,	individuals	5000)	000)			25000)	000)			Negrigence				Tes	Customs in cooperation with National Environmental Guard	NA	Sanctions for violations of Council Regulation (EC) No. 556/97 faid down in the Article 10 f), g) and n)
	conservation of natural hatitats and wild flora and fauna (art. 53 (2) i))											No						
	fauna (art. 53 (2) 1))										Intent							
	Governmental Ordinance	Organised group^	1500 (BON	3150 (RON 1500)			10 500	21 000 (RON 100			Negligence	-			Yes	Customs in cooperation with	NA	Sanctions for violations of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97 laid down in the Article 16 a), b), e), i),
	No. 57/2007 regarding protected areas,	individuals	7500)	3150 (RON 1500)			(RON 50 000)	21 000 (RON 100 000)			Negrigence				Tes	National Environmental Guard	NA	Sanctions for violations of Council Regulation (EC) No. 558/97 faid down in the Article 16 a), b), c), 1), j) and k)
	conservation of natural hatitats and wild flora and fauna (art. 53 (3) k)											No						
	tauna (art. 53 (3) k)										Intent							
	Penal Code	Organised group^									Negligence	-			Yes	Customs in cooperation with	NA	Sanctions for violations of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97 laid down in the Article 16 c) and d)
	r chin couc										. wegingenee				10	National Environmental Guard, Police	101	Subcons of romanon of course regulaton (12) to: 55071 and down in the subce roc) and dy
		Organised group^					1				Intent							
Slovakia	Act No. 15/2005 on the Protection of Species of	Individuals	0	19 916			80	66 000			Negligence		,	lo	Yes	Environmental Inspectorate	No	
	Wild Fauna and Flora by Regulating Trade Therein as amended (Art. 22 - 25)											No						
	as and not (at. 22 - 23)										Intent							
	Act No. 199/2004 on	Organised group^	0	3 319	*		0	99 582	*		Negligence	1			Yes	Customs	Yes	*According to Act No 199/2004 only administrative offences are punished (not criminal offences)
	Customs Law as amended (Art. 70 - 84)						-											
		Organised group^					-				Intent							
	Criminal Code No. 300/2005 as amended (Art. 56, 305), Act No.	Individuals	**	68	160	331 930	**	**	1 500	1 600 000	Negligence	6 months 8 ye	ars ?	lo	Yes	Police (court confirmation required for confiscation or forfeiture)	Yes	**According to the Criminal Code, only criminal offences are punished (not administrative offences)
	(Art. 56, 505), Act No. 91/2016 on criminal	Organised group^									Intent	6 months 8 ye # 3 years 8 ye	ars ars					
Slovenia	Nature Conservation Act Uradni list RS št 96/04 -	Individuals	100	300	100	300	200	10 000	200	10 000	Negligence				Yes	Customs; and inspectorate have authority to seizures. Confiscations	Yes	Also seizure of equipment. A fine from 400 euros to 1000 euros shall also be imposed on the responsible person of a legal person.
	uradno prečiščeno besedilo, 61/06 – ZDru-1,											NA				are under authority of court.		A fine from EUR 1000 to EUR 4000 can be imposed on an individual entrepreneur.
	8/10 – ZSKZ-B, 46/14, 21/18 – ZNOrg, 31/18, 82/20 in 3/22 – ZDeb).	Organised group^					1				Intent							
	Art. 160, 161																	
	Decree on the Rules of	Individuals	85 (630)	580 (16690)			2600	33300			Negligence	1			Yes	Customs and inspectorate have	NA	A fine from 170 euros to 1660 euros shall also be imposed on the responsible person of a legal person.
	Conduct and Protection Measures in the Trade in	individuals	85 (050)	580 (10090)			2000	33300			rvegngence				105	authority to seizures.	NA.	A fine from E/V endos to 1000 entros snari also de imposed ou ne responsible person o a regai person. A fine from EUR 630 to EUR 16690 can be imposed on an individual entrepreneur.
	Animal and Plant Species, (Ur. 1. RS, No. 39/2008, 106/10, 78/12 in 58/17)											NA						
	Art. 45										Internet							
		Organised group^									intent							
	Act implementing the Customs regulations of the European Community	Individuals	300	1200			2000	125000			Negligence	No			Yes	Customs authorities	NA	A fine from 400 euros to 4000 euros shall also be imposed on the responsible person of a legal person. A fine from EUR 1000 to EUR 75000 can be imposed on an individual entrepreneur.
	(Uradni list RS, št. 32/16)										Intent							
	Criminal Code (Ur. 1. RS.	Organised group^									Negligence	1			Yes	Police	NA	Fines are higher for individual traders or the remonsible level person
	No. 55/2008, last updated in 2012 (Ur. I. RS, No.										. wegingenee				10	TORC		Fines are higher for individual traders or the responsible legal person. According to Article 344 if the Criming Code, (1) Whoever illegally possesses, takes away, damages, kills, exports, imports or trades in protected wild animal and plant species or their parts or products
	50/2012) (Uradni list RS, št. 50/12 – uradno prečiščeno besedilo, 6/16										Intent	3 ye	ars					made from them, shall be punished by imprisonment for up to three years. (2) If the thing referred to in the previous paragraph is of great or exceptional nature conservation importance, or if the act referred to in the previous paragraph is committed in a criminal organization to
	 popr., 54/15, 38/16, 27/17, 23/20, 91/20, 											3 ye						imposance, or it use act reserves to in the previous paragraph is commuted in a criminal organization to carry out such acts, the perpetrator shall be punished with imprisonment from six months to five years.
	95/21 in 186/21)	Organised group^					ļ					6 months 5 ye	ars					
Spain	Organic Law 10/1995 of		4 months (i)	8 months (i)							Negligence	3 months 1 ye			Yes, it is possible	Judicial Authority (permanent	Conservation value is taken	Fines based on day rates.
	23 November 1995 on Criminal Code, Arts. 332 and 334 and 339		(Negligence)	(Negligence) 24 months (Intent)												confiscation). Police Agencies (preventive seizure)	into consideration in the penalty	(i) In addition, disqualification from profession or trade for a period of 3 months to 2 years.
	ana 5.4 ani 537		(Intent)	24 montris (intent) (ii)							Intent	6 months (iii) 2 ve						(iii) In addition, disqualification from profession or trade for a period of 6 years to 2 years (Art. 332), or 2 years to 4 years (Art. 334)

	Organised group^															(iii) Disqualification from profession or trade for a period of 3 months to two years. In every one, the extremental harm must be repaired by the author of the infraction. by the adoption of the needed measures railed by the Court to restore the disturbed biological balance. (Art. 339 Criminal Code).
Law 34/2015 of 21 September that modifi the Organic Law 12/19 of 12 December 1995 deter smuggling. Organ Law 12/1995.	s 5				Double to four times the goods, merchandise, goods or effects (I)				6 times the value of the goods, merchandise, goods or effects	Negligence	l year	5 years	Yes	Judicial Anthority (permanent confiscation) Customs, Police Agencies (preventive seizure)	Penalties take into account the market and the conservation value of the species (they depend on the case)	(I) In addition, unspension for 6 months and 2 years of import, export or trade activities in the category of goods, merchandise, goods or effects.
Royal Decree 1649/19 of 24 July 1998, that develops the Organic L 12/1995 concerning administrative infractio	w	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Same as Law 34/2015	Negligence	2	ňo	Yes	Customs (Dpto. De Aduanas e ILEE) (permanent confiscation), Customs Vigilance Service, Police Agencies and others collaborator relevant Authorities (preventive seizure)	Penalties take into account the market and the conservation value of the species	For the amount of the sanction see the line above related to the Law 34/2015
Law 42/2007, of 13 o December, of Natural	Organised group^ Individuals	3001	2000000			3001	200000						Yes	Judicial Authority (permanent confiscation) Customs, Police	Penalties take into account the market and the conservation	
Heritage and Biodiversi (Title VI: Offences and penaltie:														Agencies (preventive seizure)	value of the species	
Environmental Code, chapter 29, 2b §, detaili sanctions regarding Regulations on Trade a other Activities Exempl of Wild Living Species Animals or Plants Needing Protection	ng id irs	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	ca 470 EUR	ca 915,000 EUR	Negligence	6 months	4 years 6 years	Yes	Custorns, police, public prosecutors	Yes	(i) Day fines or 2 years ingenorment Volations of the CHET sepalations or the environment act may result in penalties or up to two years prison. In severe cases 6 months prison up to four years prison are foreseen.
Act of Penalties in Connection with Smuggling, paragraph 5,7 and 14	Organised group^ Individuals Organised group^									Negligence	6 months	2 years 6 years	 Yes	NA	NA	Maximum sentence up to 6 years imprisonment in case of severe snuggling; fines for lesser offences given as day fines (30-150; also confucation of gains possible. Attempt, preparation and conspiracy ar criminalised.