

Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2008-2020* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in [Resolution Conf. 11.17 \(Rev. CoP16\)](#) which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat
International Environment House
Chemin des Anémones 11-13
CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva
Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org
Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40
Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	KENYA
Period covered in this report	2018-2020
Department or agency preparing this report	KENYA WILDLIFE SERVICE
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	KENYA WILDLIFE SERVICE, NATIONAL MUSEUMS OF KENYA

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.
[All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.](#)

Indicator 1.1.1: [The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.](#)

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: The Wildlife Conservation and Management Act, 2013 has no provision for reflecting CITES listed species in a schedule of the Act. The legislation is being reviewed to provide for the Schedule and its regular revision informed by amendments to CITES App

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.
[Aichi Target 3.](#)

Indicator 1.2.1: [The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.](#)

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are the procedures publicly available?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challenges faced or issues overcome:			
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: full development of the online permitting infrastructure(ensuring security of data and information and capacity building)			

Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in [Resolution Conf. 12.3 \(Rev. CoP16\)](#).

1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?	Tick all applicable		
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 2.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 5.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII , paragraph 4.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details: Issuance of permit for specimens to be used for education awareness about endangered species , case of Northern White Rhinoceroses export/importation of skin and skeleton for taxidermy work.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.
[All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.](#)

Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])? Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements <input type="checkbox"/> Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements <input type="checkbox"/> No special reporting requirements applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered? Enacting subsidiary legislation for the implementation of the Wildlife

¹ e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Conservation and Management Act, 2013 to comply with requirements under the National Legislation Project.

Decision 16.153 and 16.154 on providing more information on status of *Osyris lanceolata* in 3 East African range states-Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania.

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species.
[Aichi Target 1](#), [Target12](#), [Target 14](#) and [Target 19](#).

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	<p>Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): Kenya proposals to CoP18 informed by review of the conservation status of targeted species; <i>Malacochersus tornieri</i>, <i>Giraffa camelopardalis</i>, <i>Holothuria</i> spp. EU-CTSP project on Conservation and sustainable management of <i>Osyris lanceolata</i> for economic development in East Africa.</p>
--------	--

Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings.
[Aichi Target 2](#), [Target 4](#), [Target 5](#), [Target 6](#), [Target 7](#), [Target 9](#), [Target 12](#) and [Target 14](#).

Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to:
 a) the population status of Appendix-II species;
 b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and
 c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	<p>If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:</p> <p>A brief summary of the results of the survey, study or other analysis (e.g. population status, decline / stable / increase, off-take levels etc), or provide links to published reference material.</p> <p>Species name (scientific)</p> <p><i>Diceros bicornis michaeli</i> Annual status report population estimated at 853 in 2020 from 794 in 2019 with zero poaching reported for the first time in 2 decades, the population is stable (Reference - Kenya Wildlife Service annual status report for 2020)</p> <p><i>Ceratotherium simum</i> Population of C. simum grew from 645 (2019) to 750 (2020); C.s. cottoni population remained as 2 (females). C. s. cottoni population recovery is through assisted reproduction techniques under international partnership. Zero rhino poaching in 2020 recorded</p> <p><i>Panthera leo</i> National survey undertaken in 2020 to update the population status. The population is stable estimated at 2,489 individuals from previous estimates of 1,970. Reference-National recovery and action plan for lion and spotted hyena in Kenya (2020-2030)</p> <p><i>Loxodonta Africana</i> Annual conservation status of the African elephant (<i>Loxodonta africana</i>) in Kenya for 2020 estimated 34,055 individuals with only 11 mortality attributed to poaching, the population is stable</p> <p><i>Tragelaphus eurycerus isaaci</i> Population has been on the decline with the estimate as at end of 2020 being less than 100 individuals in the wild (Reference – National Recovery and action plan for the Mountain Bongo in Kenya 2019-2023) appendix III</p> <p><i>Osyris lanceolata</i> Population disjointed and generally in decline. No commercial plantations established yet, but species trials underway.</p> <p>Others Studies are on-going to find establish the status of <i>Sphyrna lewini</i> and <i>Aloe</i> spp.</p>
1.5.1b	<p>How are the results of such surveys, studies or other analyses used in making non-detriment findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply</p> <p>Revised harvest or export quotas <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Banning export <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Stricter domestic measures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Changed management of the species <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Discussion with Management Authorities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Discussion with other stakeholders? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other (please provide a short summary):</p>
1.5.1c	<p>Do you have specific conservation measures or recovery plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed species?</p> <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including, if possible, an evaluation of their impact: African elephant, Black Rhinoceroses, White rhinoceroses (National recovery and Action plans are under review, generally the populations are increasing)</p>
1.5.1d	<p>Have you published any non-detriment findings that can be shared? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>

	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretariat within this report:		
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a) x) of Resolution Conf. 16.7 do you use in making non-detriment findings?	Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locations and at sites protected from harvest and other impacts.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a	<p>Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:</p> <p>Upon receipt of application for permit to trade, an NDF study on the subject species is conducted following the concepts and guidelines for undertaking NDF as provided in the 8 steps in Res. Conf. 16.7 on undertaking NDF studies</p> <p>For Case of Osyris lanceolate: Desktop review of status of Osyris lanceolata (populations, ecology, silviculture, trade and traceability) in the three range States in East Africa.</p>	<table> <tr> <td></td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td> <td>No information</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>		Yes	No	No information		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
	Yes	No	No information											
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>											
1.5.2b	<p>When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following guidance been used?</p> <p>Virtual College</p> <p>IUCN Checklist</p> <p>Resolution Conf. 16.7</p> <p>2008 NDF workshop</p> <p>Species specific guidance</p> <p>Other</p> <p>If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:</p>	<p>Please tick all that apply</p> <table> <tr> <td></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>													
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>													
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>													
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>													
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>													
	<input type="checkbox"/>													
1.5.2c	<p>How often do you review and/or change your non-detriment findings?</p> <p>Case by case</p> <p>Annually</p> <p>Every two years</p> <p>Less frequently</p> <p>A mix of the above</p> <p>Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment findings would be changed: when conservation status of subject species changes and requires review</p>	<table> <tr> <td></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>													
	<input type="checkbox"/>													
	<input type="checkbox"/>													
	<input type="checkbox"/>													
	<input type="checkbox"/>													

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	<p>Do you set annual export quotas?</p> <p>If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:</p> <p>Species Name (scientific)</p> <p><i>Sphyrna lewini</i></p> <p><i>Aloe spp.</i></p> <p><i>Crocodylus niloticus</i></p>	<table> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population Survey?</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other, please specify</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>Assessments and precautionary approach</i></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Population Survey?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other, please specify			<i>Assessments and precautionary approach</i>
Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																	
Population Survey?																		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																	
Other, please specify																		
	<i>Assessments and precautionary approach</i>																	
1.5.3b	<p>Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment finding process:</p>	<table> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>																	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																	

Objective 1.6 Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources.
 Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.6.1: The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for co-management of shared CITES listed species by range States.

1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved:
--------	--

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species. <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 35%;">Species Name (scientific)</td> <td>Link or reference to a published plan</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Diceros bicornis michaeli</i></td> <td>National Black Rhinoceros Recovery Action Plan</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Ceratotherium simum</i></td> <td>National White Rhinoceros Recovery Action Plan</td> </tr> </table>	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan	<i>Diceros bicornis michaeli</i>	National Black Rhinoceros Recovery Action Plan	<i>Ceratotherium simum</i>	National White Rhinoceros Recovery Action Plan
Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan						
<i>Diceros bicornis michaeli</i>	National Black Rhinoceros Recovery Action Plan						
<i>Ceratotherium simum</i>	National White Rhinoceros Recovery Action Plan						

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?						
Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.		Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	
Target group						What were the external sources ¹ ?	
Staff of Management Authority		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	UNEP&UMAS-Boston
Staff of Scientific Authority		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EU/CITES
Staff of enforcement authorities		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	US/PEER Project
Traders		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
NGOs		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Public		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other (please specify):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.						
	Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Uganda on management of Nile crocodile ranching operations
	Staff of Scientific Authority	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Staff of enforcement authorities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Traders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	NGOs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Public	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other Parties/International meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with other CITES Parties?						
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Further detail / examples
	Information exchange	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Trade Permit validation
	Monitoring / survey	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Elephant surveys with TZ (for shared populations)
	Habitat management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	with Uganda (Mt. Elgon)
	Species management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	With Black rhino range States
	Law enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	with TZ on cross border wildlife security
	Capacity building	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other (please provide details)							

Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade.
[Aichi Target 4](#), [Target 5](#), [Target 6](#), [Target 7](#), [Target 9](#), [Target 10](#), [Target 12](#) and [Target 19](#).

- Indicator 1.7.1:** The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:
- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;
 - formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network;
 - a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and
 - formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

enforcement network?			
– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
– formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details:</p> <p>The National CITES management and scientific authorities work collaboratively on all emerging issues.</p> <p>The National wildlife authority works under the strategy developed by Interpol in regards to wildlife crimes and other related organized crimes such as drug, arms and human trafficking. The Authority has participated in Interpol led operations.</p> <p>The Wildlife authority works with the other law enforcement Agencies through a Multi-agency Task Force to counter poaching activities and illegal wildlife trade at entry and exist points of within the republic and the larger East African region.</p> <p>The Wildlife authority took a leading role in the execution of a month long operation code named "Operation Thunder 2020" (14th September - 11th Oct, 2020). 2 unprocessed rhino horns and fake currencies in Kenya Shilling and US dollars recovered.</p>			

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	<p>Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy (ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy (ies)?</p> <p>If 'Yes', what do you do?</p> <p>The Wildlife authority conducts weekly, monthly and annual briefs on wildlife crime and prosecution of court cases. After an executed operation, there is a session for debrief where teams discuss impacts of the strategies and focus on lessons learnt.</p> <p>Implementing species strategies, recovery and action plans and policies for conservation and management of key CITES listed species; rhinoceroses, elephant and other species such as Lion, Hirola, Grevys Zebra, Mountain Bongo, Giraffe and Roan Antelope.</p> <p>Population monitoring and habitat management within various conservation areas; both in Protected Areas and community conservancies.</p> <p>The strategies and accompanied action plans respond to threats resulting from bush meat poaching targeted at various endangered species key among them the Giraffes and Zebras.</p> <p>If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do you find of value?</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No, but review is under consideration <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>
1.7.2b	<p>Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used and how useful the toolkit or equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be made:</p> <p>The current system adapted at the national wildlife authority (KWS) is an equivalent tool that meets the requirement of the ICWC tool kit.</p> <p>If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to make the toolkit or equivalent tools useful to you:</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No, but toolkit use is under consideration <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating,

prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	<p>Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available</p> <p>The Wildlife Conservation and Management Act, 2013 (section 92 of the WCMA, 2013) as amended in 2019.</p> <p>The Act prescribes penalties of seven (7) years imprisonment or a fine of KSh. 10 Million (USD 100,000) or both for offences related to dealing in wildlife species, products or specimens.</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>
1.7.3b	<p>Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime¹ in your country?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or wildlife trafficking offences to be treated as serious crimes:</p> <p>The national legislation makes poaching, trafficking or killing of wildlife strict liability offences for any reason unless by accident.</p> <p>Poaching and wildlife trafficking offences are treated as serious offences: When it involves any iconic species or protected or endangered wildlife species such as rhinos, elephants, leopards, lions etc.</p> <p>Other criteria for determining a wildlife offence a serious crime includes the commission of a wildlife crimes by a multitude of suspects or if one of the suspects is a foreigner.</p> <p>Also if the wildlife offence is compounded with other crimes such as drug trafficking and money laundering.</p> <p>Also, if the crime has an International element such as transit, transshipment or even the tonnage of the wildlife products and the exact place of interception.</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>
1.7.3c	<p>Do you have capacity to use forensic technology² to support the investigation of CITES offences?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report:</p> <p>Several samples were taken for forensic and morphological analysis from the various cases of confiscations:</p> <p>249 Kgs of elephant tusks, 0.48 Kgs of a rhino horn, Assorted lion products, 1 python skin, 1 Leopard skin, 167 Kgs of pangolin scales & 2.2 Tons of East African sandalwood.</p> <p>for any court case related to specimens of CITES listed species must be forwarded for analysis in order to galvanize the prosecution's case due to the high standard of proof that is required owing to the stiff penalties by the Wildlife Act 2013.</p> <p><i>Use of DNA technology in identification of contraband wood chippings, wood stocks of <i>Osyris lanceolata</i> and other spp through the DNA laboratory at the National Museums of Kenya and creation of a DNA reference library.</i></p> <p>If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species,</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

please indicate which species it applies to:

The KWS forensic and genetics lab receives samples for forensic and morphological analysis however, the capability for analysis is limited.

Efforts are ongoing to build DNA databases for individual matching especially for rhino and elephants.

Currently this individual matching is being done in collaboration with VGL South Africa for Rhinoceroses, and University of Washington, Seattle, USA for elephants

All plant species

1.7.3d	<p>Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary¹ law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:</p> <p>"Operation Thunder" involving the wildlife law enforcement authority, Customs and Police worked with Interpol to search and arrest suspects involved in wildlife related crimes which includes poaching and illegal trophy trafficking.</p> <p>Different departments within KWS- security Division participated in "Operation Linda Punda in December 2019" and "Operation Linda Wanyama Pori 2020-2021" during the festive seasons of Christmas and New year.</p> <p>The operations were intelligence-led that yielded positive results in combating wildlife crimes related to CITES listed species</p> <p>The lessons learnt included; management of Proper chain of custody for exhibits, linking digital and oral evidence, and adoption of forensic analysis on samples drawn from CITES-listed species, to enhance prosecution of wildlife crime offenders.</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>																								
1.7.3e	<p>Do you have a standard operating procedure among relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No information <input type="checkbox"/></p>																								
1.7.3f	<p>Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="893 1164 965 1332">Yes</th> <th data-bbox="965 1164 1045 1332">No</th> <th data-bbox="1045 1164 1220 1332">No information</th> <th data-bbox="1220 1164 1436 1332">If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="893 1332 965 1377"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="965 1332 1045 1377"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1045 1332 1220 1377"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1220 1332 1436 1377">Often</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="893 1377 965 1478"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="965 1377 1045 1478"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1045 1377 1220 1478"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1220 1377 1436 1478">Always if the product is CITES protected</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="893 1478 965 1523"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="965 1478 1045 1523"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1045 1478 1220 1523"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1220 1478 1436 1523">Once</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="893 1523 965 1568"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="965 1523 1045 1568"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1045 1523 1220 1568"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1220 1523 1436 1568">Often</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="893 1568 965 1601"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="965 1568 1045 1601"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1045 1568 1220 1601"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td data-bbox="1220 1568 1436 1601">Often</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Often	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Always if the product is CITES protected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Once	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Often	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Often
Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Often																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Always if the product is CITES protected																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Once																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Often																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Often																							

¹ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

² General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

³ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁴ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

⁵ Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

⁶ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

	Organized crime ¹	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Often
	Specialized investigation techniques ²	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Often
	<p>If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:</p> <p>The Penal Code of Kenya contains the offences that can be used together with predicate offence where the accused person is suspected to have committed the offence e.g aiding and abetting, Conspiracy to commit a crime, among others;</p> <p>For asset forfeiture – Kenya now uses civil forfeiture & Criminal Forfeiture under the penal code Proceeds of Crime and Money Laundering (POCAMLA) Act, 2008. This has been used successfully to confiscate property suspected to be proceeds of crime;</p> <p>The Country has an agency- Ethics and Anti- Corruption Commission (EACC) that is solely dedicated and deals with corruption matters and the Bribery Act, 2010 among others are in place to handle cases of corruption;</p> <p>The Mutual Legal Assistance Act, no 36 of 2011 helps with international cooperation between Kenya and other Countries. This Act is used if the Country needs any form of assistance from corresponding Country.</p> <p>The Prevention of Organised Crimes Act no.6 of 2010, deals with crimes that are organised and could cover international networks. This is used together with the main legislation that deals with the predicate crime;</p> <p>Specialized investigation techniques have been adopted which include the use of digital extraction devise (celebrite) used in investigating wildlife cybercrimes for CITES –related species to provide evidence to prove cases beyond reasonable doubt;</p> <p>The forfeiture clause in the Wildlife Conservation and Management Act, 2013 stipulates forfeiture for items used in the commission of wildlife crimes/offences;</p> <p>The Directorate of Criminal Investigation office can invoke any ancillary legislation to address offence committed regarding corruption, predicate offences, general crime, organised crimes and international cooperation in criminal matters.</p>				
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs:				

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Very often	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Sometimes	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Rarely	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Never	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>

¹ Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

² Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always <input type="checkbox"/> Very often <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sometimes <input type="checkbox"/> Rarely <input type="checkbox"/> Never <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but activities are under development <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but activities are under development <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the period covered in this report:		Yes	No	No Information
1.7.5a	<p>Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1.7.5b	<p>Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?</p> <p>If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, please attach details: 27 cases were prosecuted. The types of the offences involved possession/ dealing in elephant tusks, rhino horns, pangolin scales, python skins, leopard skins, lion parts and Sandal wood. For being in possession of wildlife trophy of a critically endangered animal and Dealing in trophy of a critically endangered species</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1.7.5c	<p>Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?</p> <p>If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the results? Please attach details: Forfeiture of items (Motor vehicles and Motor cycles) used in the commission of wildlife crimes. Acquittals of some suspects due to lack of sufficient evidence Freezing of assets to enable asset recoveries</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1.7.5d	<p>How were any confiscated specimens disposed of? Tick all that apply</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Return to country of export <input type="checkbox"/> – Public zoos or botanical gardens <input type="checkbox"/> – Designated rescue centres <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – Approved private facilities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – Euthanasia <input type="checkbox"/> – Other (please specify): All trophies involving a CITES related offences are kept in safe custody of the national wildlife authority (Kenya wildlife Service) who is the sole custodian of all government wildlife trophies. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <p>Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens? NO since KWS as the sole custodian of wildlife in Kenya and mandated as such by the Act of Parliament has adequate capacity for storage and disposal of any confiscated wildlife specimens, products or a live animal(s).</p> <p>Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties? Procedures and practise for proper storage and chain of custody on exhibits linked to CITES related species. Digital evidence submission in courts to support CITES-Species relates cases. Intensified education and awareness amongst local communities to counter wildlife crimes.</p>			

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place.
[Aichi Target 1](#), [Target 12](#) and [Target 19](#).

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: The making of non-detriment findings? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Permit officers? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement officers? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building? Sensitization of relevant officers in CITES authorities on existence and usefulness of the Virtual College	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.
[Aichi Target 1](#), [Target 2](#), [Target 3](#), [Target 12](#), [Target 19](#) and [Target 20](#).

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your Management Authority (ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards? If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets? Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Standard operating procedures for issuance of import, export and re-export permits Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> >90% compliance on set regulations and guidelines. Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
--------	---	--

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	<p>If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:</p> <p>If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - availability of funding? - number of staff? - a shortage of skills? <p>If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?</p>	<p>95% compliance on set regulation and guidelines</p> <p>Performance contracts stipulates collaboration to support other government institutions</p> <p>Yes No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>
2.2.1b	<p>Do you have an approved service standard(s)⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)?</p> <p>If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.</p> <p>If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?</p> <p>If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards⁴⁸?</p> <p>If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?</p> <p>If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:</p> <p>If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall as a result of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - availability of funding? - number of staff? - a shortage of skills? <p>If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Provide scientific advise sought on 90% of applications</p> <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Scientific advise sought on 80% of applications</p> <p>Yes No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>
2.2.1c	<p>Do you have an approved service standard(s)⁴⁷ for your enforcement authority(ies)?</p> <p>If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.</p> <p>If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?</p> <p>If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards⁴⁸?</p> <p>If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?</p> <p>If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Standard operating procedures for issuance of import, export and re-export permits</p> <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Specimen identification should be completed within 14 days and results relayed</p> <p>No</p> <p>Performance contracts stipulates collaboration</p>

2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?				
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Development of implementation tools	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e-permitting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please specify):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No
	Species information		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Trade information		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Non-detriment findings		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	Tick all that are applicable	
	– Administrative procedures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	– Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	– Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	– Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	– Harvesting of CITES-listed species	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	– Use of CITES-listed species	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	– Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	– Other (please specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available? If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?	Entirely <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Partly <input type="checkbox"/>
		Not at all <input type="checkbox"/>	Not relevant <input type="checkbox"/>
2.2.3d	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: <i>Fees is charged for issuance of Wildlife user right Permits (both CITES and Non-CITES) such as for Export/Import/re-export permits, Authority to keep/ farm wildlife, Wildlife capture Permit for Research</i>		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: .		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	<p>Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention?</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Due diligence</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Compensatory mechanisms</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Certification</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Communal property rights</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Auctioning of quotas</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cost recovery or environmental charges</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Enforcement incentives</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:</p>		Yes	No	Due diligence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Compensatory mechanisms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Communal property rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Auctioning of quotas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cost recovery or environmental charges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Enforcement incentives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Yes	No																							
Due diligence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Compensatory mechanisms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Certification	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Communal property rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Auctioning of quotas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Cost recovery or environmental charges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Enforcement incentives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
2.2.4b	<p>Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Very little <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Somewhat <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Completely <input type="checkbox"/></p>																								

¹ Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and fauna and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.
 Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building activities ¹ have you run during the period covered in this report?	Without assistance from the Secretariat	Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat				
	None	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
	2-5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
	6-10	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
	11-20	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
	More than 20	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Please list the Resolutions or Decisions involved: Res. Conf. 11.17: MEA Implementation reporting conducted by UNEP/CITES and University of Massachusetts, Boston and Training is the application of DNA technology in wildlife crime.							
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities have taken place? identification of CITES listed marine species (Sharks) specimens to support combatting illegal trade						
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you have?						
Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity.		Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
Staff of Management Authority		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	e-permitting infrastructure
Staff of Scientific Authority		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Staff of enforcement authorities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Traders / other user groups		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
NGOs		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Public		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other (please specify)		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

¹ An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3 CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.
[Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.](#)

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>
If 'Yes', please provide brief details: <i>USAID-PEER grants for creation of DNA library for plants in trade in Kenya and Tanzania and Support of EU through the project entitled "EU Support to CITES: supporting sustainable management of endangered tree species.</i>			
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Remained stable	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Decreased	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?							Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
								No	<input type="checkbox"/>
							No information	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided									
	Country(ies)	Species Management ¹	Habitat Management ²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)	
	Uganda	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

² Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally.
 Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Relevant User Groups
	– Press conferences	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Press releases	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Television appearances	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Radio appearances	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Presentations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Public consultations / meetings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Market surveys	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Displays	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	– Information at border crossing points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	– Telephone hotline	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
– Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) http://www.kws.go.ke/content/statement-kenya%E2%80%99s-achievements-cites-cop18-geneva	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
– Other (specify): Presentations of the findings from USAID-PEER project to stakeholders, policy makers, and CITES scientific and management authorities. <i>The average number per household was 3.5 (2019 census). Our ethnobotanical survey is pointing to 4.5 members per household.</i> Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?					
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).					
	Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Staff of Scientific Authority	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Staff of enforcement authorities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the CITES website?	Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
		Good	<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Average	<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>			
		Very Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>			
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):					

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party? If 'Yes', please give a brief description: Creation of MEAs implementation and coordination unit at the CITES National MA and Ministry of Environment and Forestry	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?	2	
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?	1	
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Agencies for trade?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Local authorities or communities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Indigenous or local peoples?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Trade or other private sector associations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	NGOs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Other (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Planning processes?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	National accounting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

¹ CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EU- UNEP/CITES - CTSP
	Non-governmental organizations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	USAID-PEER, IFAW, FFW

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions.

[Aichi Target 1](#), [Target 2](#), [Target 3](#), [Target 4](#), [Target 5](#), [Target 6](#), [Target 7](#), [Target 12](#), [Target 14](#), [Target 17](#), [Target 18](#) and [Target 19](#).

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?	Yes	No	Not Applicable
	Appendix I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Appendix II	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Appendix III	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:				
Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary				
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 'Yes', please provide details: Implementation of the National Ivory Action Plan (NIAP) Implementation of National Recovery and Action Plans for black rhinoceros and white rhinoceros leading to reduced poaching (zero poaching in 2020) Using technology to combat illegal trade in ivory and rhino horn. This has resulted to improved national conservation status of the two species.				

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>

3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		No information	<input type="checkbox"/>

Objective 3.5 Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited.
 Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

3.5.1a	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details: Kenya is party to Lusaka Agreement and undertakes joint operations with other state parties to combat illegal trade. Kenya also cooperates with neighbouring states (Tanzania) to undertake cross border operations on illegal wildlife trade. <i>USAID PEER grant enabled Kenya to develop an initial list of plants in trade and to establish a DNA reference library to help with their rapid identification.</i>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No information <input type="checkbox"/>
--------	--	---

Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

3.5.2a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Scientific Authority(ies)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Enforcement Authority(ies)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Item		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Web link(s)	Not available	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Previously provided	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules, awareness raising materials, etc:		
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No Information	<input type="checkbox"/>
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or assistance that is required. Setting up infrastructure for e-permitting and capacity building.		
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with other Parties?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No Information	<input type="checkbox"/>
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		

Elephant ivory and rhino horn stockpile management practices., Embracing emerging technologies in wildlife conservation and management especially addressing Illegal wildlife trade and trafficking

How could this report format be improved? Adequate at present

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.