

Party	Iraq
Period covered in this report	2018-2020
Department or agency preparing this report	CITES division
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	department of marshes and sustainable development of natural ecosystem, technical directorate, Ministry of Health and Environment

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	<p>Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report?</p> <p>Yes No</p> <p>If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable</p> <p>If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:</p> <p>yes, CITES division has prepared a national plan to raise awareness and training course for incharge employees at Ministry of Agriculture, customs, national security, ministry of trade, airports, borders guards etc...</p> <p>the training course has improved the skills of employees to recognize CITES species, verification of documents and CITES License</p> <p>unfortunately, the process of training has hold after March 2020 because of CoV19 pandemic and lockdowns,</p> <p>our technical team trying to communicate through electronic methods like Zoom or webinar to start process of training again</p>
1.1.1b	<p>Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)?</p> <p>Yes No</p> <p>If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:</p> <p>Iraq has legislations to protect biodiversity including CITES species also we hand over our national legislation to systemize and manage CITES species to Ministry of Justice to make revision and send it later to Iraq parliament to vote</p> <p>CITES law in Iraq has oblige to follow instructions issued by the convention secretariat and the necessary changes, we just waiting this law get ratify from Iraq parliament, that will facilitate our work</p>

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	*		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	*		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			

	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	*		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		*	
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		*	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		*	
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		*	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challenges faced or issues overcome:			
	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting?	*		
	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: CITES division has requested IT division to start the process of preparing and providing all requirements to make this work successful, we delay because of CoV19 pandemic issue			

Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in

1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?	Tick all applicable		
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.	*		
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 2.	*		
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.	*		
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.	*		
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:		*	

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.

[All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.](#)

Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	<p>Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed)?</p> <p>Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements</p> <p>Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements</p> <p>Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements</p> <p>No special reporting requirements applicable</p>
--------	---

1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties?
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species.
Aichi Target 1, Target12, Target 14 and Target 19.

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): First of all Iraq has adopted 9 targets out 20 targets of Aichi, ministry of health and environment has mentioned all details at sixth national report of Iraq to the convention on biological diversity (published at CBD Website) also scientific committe are preparing report after review the list of species (processing is draft and evluation of report is going on)

Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings.
Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.

Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to:

- the population status of Appendix-II species;
- the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and
- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	*			3
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?	*			2
	- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?		*		
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?				
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?	*			

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide: studies is going on once get over will send	
	Species name (scientific)	A brief summary of the results of the survey, study or other analysis (e.g. population status, decline / stable / increase, off-take levels etc), or provide links to published reference material.
	study of species II in Iraq	study of status population (study is going on) key biodiversity areas 52 sites registered as important arra for biodiversity
	study of hubara in Iraq	survey study at 52 key biodiversity areas study is going on
	study of species i and II trade in Iraq	statistical survey study at Iraq markets
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies or other analyses used in making non-detriment findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply Revised harvest or export quotas Banning export Stricter domestic measures * Changed management of the species Discussion with Management Authorities * Discussion with other stakeholders? * Other (please provide a short summary):	
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measures or recovery plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed species?	Yes * No Not Applicable * No information
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including, if possible, an evaluation of their impact: According to current Iraq regulations and the CITES bill that was submitted to the Legislation Committee for final scrutiny before submitting it to the Iraqi Parliament for ratification, we have put in place a lot of restrictions on CITES I types either for hunting from nature or trade and also strong penalties on the same regard	
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findings that can be shared? Yes No* If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretariat within this report:	
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a) x) of Resolution Conf. 16.7) do you use in making non-detriment findings?	Yes No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends.	*
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.	*
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locations and at sites protected from harvest and other impacts.	*
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities.	*

	E. consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts.	*	
	F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example.	*	

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a		Yes	No	No information
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 17.7?			
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:			
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following guidance been used?	Please tick all that apply		
	Virtual College		*	
	IUCN Checklist		*	
	Resolution Conf. 16.7		*	
	2008 NDF workshop		*	
	Species specific guidance		*	
	Other			
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:			
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non-detriment findings?		Case by case Annually Every two years Less frequently A mix of the above *	
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment findings would be changed:			

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?		Yes No *		
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set: Species Name (scientific)		Population Survey?		Other, please specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption?		Yes No *		
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment finding process:				

--	--

Objective 1.6 Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources.

Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.6.1: The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for co-management of shared CITES listed species by range States.

1.6.1a	<p>Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species? Yes No *</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved:</p>
--------	--

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species? Yes	
	If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species.	
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan
	grey parrot	draft in process
	hubara	draft
	falcons	draft

e3

1.6.3 a	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources?
	Target group						
	Staff of Management Authority	*	*		*		
	Staff of Scientific Authority	*	*		*		
	Staff of enforcement authorities	*	*		*		
	Traders	*			*		
	NGOs	*			*		
	Public				*		
	Other (please specify):						

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other States?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
		Target group					
	Staff of Management Authority			Iraq ministry of environment and IFAW			
	Staff of Scientific Authority	*	*				
	Staff of enforcement authorities	*	*				
	Traders	*	*				
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other Parties/International meetings						
Other (please specify)							
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with other CITES Parties?						
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Further detail / examples
Information exchange				*			
Monitoring / survey			*				
Habitat management		*					
Species management			*				
Law enforcement							
Capacity building							
Other (please provide details)							

Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade.

Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:

- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;
- formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network;
- a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and
- formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	*		
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?	*		
	– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	*		
	– formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?	*		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details: International cooperation with INTERPOL and neighboring countries. as a national strategy, We have a solid plan to control CITES species, there is a national committee from Ministry of Environment, Ministry of interior, national security, borders gurads, customs, Ministry of Ministry of trade, ministry of justice, police etc...			

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)? national committee have regular meetings, we are studying all cases related with our strategy to protect CITES species and systmize the legal trade in Iraq	Yes * No, but review is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do?		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do you find of value?		
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes No, but toolkit use is under consideration * No No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used and how useful the toolkit or equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be made:		
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to make the toolkit or equivalent tools useful to you:		

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime? If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available	Yes* No No information	
--------	---	------------------------------	--

	law no 10 protection of biodiversity and wildlife species law no 37 ministry of environment law draft law of CITES species protection			
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime in your country?	Yes * No No information		
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or wildlife trafficking offences to be treated as serious crimes: any sever hunting of wildlife or illegal trade of CITES species considered as crime in Iraq, shipment will get Confiscating the shipment and bringing the convicted person to justice			
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology to support the investigation of CITES offences?	Yes No * No information		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to:			
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes* No No information		
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: its available with draft of CITES law not published still			
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	Yes * No No information		
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes * No No information		If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?
	General crime	*		
	Predicate offences		*	
	Asset forfeiture			*
	Corruption		*	
	International cooperation in criminal matters	*		
	Organized crime	*		
	Specialized investigation	*		

	techniques			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: we have regular meetings with Interpol at ministry of Interior and we are following up update came from their end			
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences? Iraq courts belong Ministry of Justice	Yes *	No	No information
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs:			

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	* always
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Yes * No No information	yes
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	always
1.7.4d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	yes
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	yes

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the period covered in this report:		Yes	No	No Information
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	yes		
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details: when Iraq police Confiscation of any illegal shipment, shipment has to kept at proper place till reback to country of origin, if country of origin doesnt accept to back shipment, The smuggler bears all financial expenses necessary for the food and treatment of animals or any other damage to the environment and society			
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?	yes		
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, please attach details: 3 times The smuggler bears all financial expenses necessary for the food and treatment of animals and And he shall be responsible before the Iraqi judiciary for any other damage to the environment and society			
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?	yes		
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the results? Please attach details: as mentioned at point 1.7.5b			
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?	Tick all that apply		
	– Return to country of export	yes		
	– Public zoos or botanical gardens	yes		
	– Designated rescue centres	yes		
	– Approved private facilities	yes		
	– Euthanasia	no		
	– Other (please specify):	no		
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens? Do you have good practice that you would like to share with other Parties?	no no yes iraq and kuwait collaboration		

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place.
Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes No The making of non-detriment findings? Permit officers? * Enforcement officers?		
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your	Yes	

	capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	No No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

[Aichi Target 1](#), [Target 2](#), [Target 3](#), [Target 12](#), [Target 19](#) and [Target 20](#).

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) for your Management Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	Yes No	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?	Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes	No
	– availability of funding?		
	– number of staff?		
	– a shortage of skills?		
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?		
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes	No
	– availability of funding?		

	– number of staff?		
	– a shortage of skills?		
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?		
2.2.1c	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your enforcement authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes	No
	– availability of funding?		
	– number of staff?		
	– a shortage of skills?		
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?		
2.2.1d	Please only complete this question if you answered 'No' to the first part of question 2.2.1a, 2.2.1b, or 2.2.1c, relating to the existence of approved service standards for your authorities:		
	Do you have sufficient of the following for your authorities to function effectively?		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)
	Funding?	Yes No	Yes No
	Staff?	Yes No	Yes No
	Skills?	Yes No	Yes No

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities;
- hired more staff;
- developed implementation tools;
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable
	Hiring of more staff	*
	Development of implementation tools	*
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	*
	Other (please specify):	

2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)		*	
	Scientific Authority(ies)	*		
	Enforcement authorities	*		
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	
	Management Authority(ies)?		*	
	Scientific Authority(ies)?		*	
	Enforcement authorities?		*	
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?			
	Activity	High	Medium	Low
	Hiring of more staff	*		
	Development of implementation tools	*		
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	*		
	e-permitting	*		
	Other (please specify):			
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing	Yes	Under development	No
	Species information		*	
	Trade information	*		
	Non-detriment findings	*		

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	Tick all that are applicable
	– Administrative procedures	
	– Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea)	yes
	– Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species)	NO
	– Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species	No
	– Harvesting of CITES-listed species	NO
	– Use of CITES-listed species	NO
	– Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species	NO
	– Other (please specify):	
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available? Ministry of Health and Environment had issued internal regulations to collect fee from beneficiaries	Yes

	for import, export and re-export, it is not published over internet but all traders have a copy of the voucher given to the them.		
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?		
	Entirely	*	
	Partly		
	Not at all		
	Not relevant		
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?	*	
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?	*	
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?	*	
	<p>If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:</p> <p>The fees collected from the beneficiaries go to the budget of the Ministry of Environment, and we have a plan to improve the implementation of CITES activities in Iraq, and the Ministry of Environment has funded all activities for the same purpose, and activities that involve a training course for responsible agencies such as employees of the Ministry of Agriculture, National Security, Customs, Police and Border Guards</p> <p>Also ministry of Environment funded conferences, celebrations of World Wildlife Day and the media also we are using all financial facilities in order to raise awareness in the community</p>		
	<p>Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?</p> <p>If 'Yes', please provide brief details:</p> <p>process is going on</p>		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	<p>Do you use incentive measures such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention?</p> <p>Due diligence</p> <p>Compensatory mechanisms</p> <p>Certification</p> <p>Communal property rights</p> <p>Auctioning of quotas</p> <p>Cost recovery or environmental charges</p> <p>Enforcement incentives</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No *</p>
	<p>If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:</p>	
2.2.4b	<p>Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated?</p> <p>Very little</p> <p>Somewhat</p> <p>Completely</p>	<p>Not at all</p>

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.
[Aichi Target 12](#), [Target 19](#) and [Target 20](#).

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building activities have you run during the period covered in this report?	Without assistance from the Secretariat	Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat				
	None 1 2-5 6-10 11-20 More than 20	6-10	NO				
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions involved: General approach of CITES species I, II, II, CITES licenses						
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities have taken place?						
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you have?						
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority	*	*	*	*		
	Staff of enforcement authorities	*	*	*	*		
	Traders / other user groups	*	*	*	*		
	NGOs	*	*	*	*		
	Public	*	*	*	*		
	Other (please specify)						

GOAL 3 CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.
[Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.](#)

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	yes
--------	--	---	-----

	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: IFAW training course for our team supported by IFAW		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	Remained stable

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2 a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?						Yes No No information	no
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided	Species Management	Habitat Management	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)
	Country(ies)							

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally.
Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Relevant User Groups
	– Press conferences	*	*
	– Press releases	*	*
	– Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	*	*
	– Television appearances	*	*
	– Radio appearances	*	*
	– Presentations	*	*
	– Public consultations / meetings	*	*

	– Market surveys	*	*
	– Displays	*	*
	– Information at border crossing points	*	*
	– Telephone hotline	*	*
	– Website(s) – if so please provide link(s)	*	*
	– Other (specify):	*	*
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known	
		Staff of Management Authority					
		Staff of Scientific Authority					
Staff of enforcement authorities					*		
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the CITES website?	Excellent Good Average Poor Very Poor No information		excellent			
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):						

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	YES
--------	--	-----------------------------	-----

	If 'Yes', please give a brief description:
--	--

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?	-	
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?	2	
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?	*	
	Agencies for trade?	*	
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	*	
	Local authorities or communities?	*	
	Indigenous or local peoples?	*	
	Trade or other private sector associations?	*	
	NGOs?	*	
	Other (please specify)		
	3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes
National and local development strategies?		*	
National and local poverty reduction strategies?		*	
Planning processes?		*	
National accounting?		*	

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?	*	Iraq Ministry of Environment
	Non-governmental organizations?	*	IFAW

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions. [Aichi Target 1](#), [Target 2](#), [Target 3](#), [Target 4](#), [Target 5](#), [Target 6](#), [Target 7](#), [Target 12](#), [Target 14](#), [Target 17](#), [Target 18](#) and [Target 19](#).

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?	Yes	No	Not Applicable
	Appendix I	*		
	Appendix II	*		
	Appendix III	*		
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide: study is going on			
	Species name (scientific)	Link to the data, or a brief summary		
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species? If 'Yes', please provide details: Iraq and Kuwait collaboration to control smuggled falcons in both side	Yes	No	No information

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	yes
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	No

Objective 3.5 Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited.

Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

3.5.1a	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:	Yes No No information	no
--------	---	-----------------------------	----

Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

3.5.2a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)		*				
	Scientific Authority(ies)						
	Enforcement Authority(ies)						

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Item		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed Web link(s)	Enclosed Not available Previously provided	not available
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules, awareness raising materials, etc: will send later all materials in the same regard		
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance?	Yes No No Information	no
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or assistance that is required.		
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with other Parties?	Yes No No Information	no
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		
How could this report format be improved?	its great and really i found improve in this report format and information	

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.