Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision*: 2008-2020 and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Malaysia			
Period covered in this report	2015 – 2017			
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of Water, Land and Natural Resources			
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	 (i) Department of Wildlife and National Parks (ii) Department of Fisheries Malaysia (iii) Malaysian Timber Industry Board (iv) Department of Agriculture (v) Sabah Wildlife Department (vi) Sabah Fisheries Department (vii) Forest Department Sarawak (viii) Forest Research Institute Malaysia 			

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No I If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		\boxtimes	
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		\boxtimes	

			T				
Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		\boxtimes					
Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		\boxtimes					
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challe	nges faced	or issues	overcome:				
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?							
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explair	what migh	t help you	ı to do so:				
idicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified properties of the simplified properties. (Rev. CoP16).	ocedures p	provided f	or in <u>Resolutior</u>				
1.2.2a Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the	_	Гіск all ap	nlicablo				
	Yes	No	No information				
Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.		\boxtimes					
For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 2.		\boxtimes					
For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		\boxtimes					
For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.		\boxtimes					
Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:		\boxtimes					
Implementation of the Convention at the national level is the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevance of the Parties and/or Stand	Target 14 a	nd Target g under I	18. Resolutions and				
1.3.1a Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting re the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolut Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendati the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website whe listed])?	ions and Do ons, and No re the repo	ecisions o otifications <mark>rting requ</mark> i	of the s issued by irements are				
Responses provided to SOME of the Responses provided to NONE of the	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements						
No special re	eporting req	uirements	s applicable 🔲				

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covimplementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopte of the Parties?			æ] No 🖂
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or were / are being encountered?	Decision(s), and, f	or each, what d	ifficulties
Objective	1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation Aichi Target 1, Target12, Target 14 and Target 19.	needs of sp	ecies.		
	The number and proportion of species that have been fou Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the period				
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species von the CITES Appendices?	vould benef	it from li		No 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is Yes, eg. saltwater crocodile (<i>Crocodylus porosus</i>) f wild, now its population increased dramatically.	not availabl	e online	•	om the
Objective	1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis of Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 6				: 14.
Indicator 1	 .5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses the sources of information cited in Resolution Coa) the population status of Appendix-II species b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans. 	onf. 16.7 on s; dix-II specie	Non-de	triment findings	related to:
1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	\boxtimes			o o
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?		\boxtimes		
	- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?		\boxtimes		
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?		\boxtimes		
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?		\boxtimes		

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:						
	Species name (scientific)	A brief summary of the results of or other analysis (e.g. population stable / increase, off-take levels links to published reference mat	n status, de etc), or pro	cline /			
	Saltwater crocodile (Crocodylus porosus)	Since downgraded into Apperegulated harvest of its wild available, not only to red population but also to reduct the species and human while go to local community	populationuce its less to the conflict	n is now booming between			
	Sphyrna lewini (Scallop hammerhead shark)	Top 10 catches of sharks spe of Kota Kinabalu, Sabah	ecies in the	e district			
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app		g non-detrir	ment			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Revised harvest	•	•			
		Stricter do	Banning of mestic mea				
		Changed manageme					
		Discussion with Manage					
	Of	Discussion with oth her (please provide a short summ:		iders?			
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure	s or recovery Yes	3,				
	plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste	•					
		Not Applicable No information					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl impact:		f their				
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findi	ngs that can be shared? Yes 🗌 N	lo 🛛				
	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to	-	_				
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings		Yes	No			
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	pecies biology, life history,					
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.	\boxtimes				
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	cations and at sites protected	\boxtimes				
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	I and indigenous communities.	\boxtimes				
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	·					
	F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by Ut Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publication trade and investigations of sales at mark example.	NEP World Conservation ions on trade, local knowledge					

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a		Yes	No	No information				
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?							
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:							
	For Crocodylus porosus -surveys (population assessment) done in every two-five years. If the result indicated that the population density is reduced, then export/harvest quota would also be reduced, so that that harvest of wild population is sustainable							
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following	าต						
1.0.25	guidance been used?	•	se tick	all that apply				
	Virtual Coll	lege						
	IUCN Chec	klist		\boxtimes				
	Resolution Conf.	16.7		\boxtimes				
	2008 NDF works	shop	[\boxtimes				
	Species specific guida	ance		\boxtimes				
	0	ther		\boxtimes				
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:							
	seriousness/level of conflict between the species and humGermany NDFs for S. Lewini & S.mokkaran	nan						
1.5.2c		se by case						
	detriment findings?	nually						
	Ev	ery two year	rs					
		ss frequently	,					
	A mix of the above							
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment fin	dings would	be cha	anged:				

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how		
	quotas are set:		Other,
	Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	please specify
	22 Species of Hard Corals (Peninsular)	\boxtimes	
	Aquilaria spp		Forest Inventory
	Gonystylus app		inventory
	Crocodylus porosus Varanus Salvator		
	Python reticulatus		
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	
	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detrimen - Annual Export Quota been set at 10% of total popula	• .	

- Cautious Harvest Quota Determination (Aquilaria and Gonystylus)
 The export quota being set for the species is much less than the recruitment level to the environment

Objective	Parties cooperate in managing Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target					12 an	d Target 19.
Indicator 1.	6.1: The number of bilateral an management of shared CITES						pecifically provide for co-
1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any bil agreements for co-management of s If 'Yes', please provide brief details, countries are involved:	shared sp	oecies?\	∕es 🗌 N	10 🖂	eeme	ents, and which other
Indicator 1.	6.2: The number of cooperative mapopulations of CITES-listed sp		nt plans	s, includi	ng re	cover	y plans, in place for shared
1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative managin place for shared populations of Cl				ecove	ery pla	ans, Yes ☐ No ⊠
	If 'Yes', please list the species for what to a published plan for each species Species Name (scientific) Link		•				ovide a link or reference
	6.3: The number of workshops and o to address the conservation and	manage	ment ne	eds of s	hared	I, CITI	ES listed, species.
1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities <i>receiv</i> activities provided by external source		enetitea	from a	ny of	tne t	ollowing capacity-building
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external
	Staff of Management Authority				⊠		sources ¹ ? CITES, ICCWC, US,
	Staff of Scientific Authority						UK, EU, CHINA
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify):						

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the other range States?	Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)		
		Ō₩	as	正 器	Ė	 	De	etails
	Staff of Management Authority							
	Staff of Scientific Authority							
	Staff of enforcement authorities							
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other Parties/International meetings							
4.0.0-	Other (please specify)							
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	JIES P	arties?				
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always		ier detail / amples
	Information exchange			\boxtimes				
	Monitoring / survey		\boxtimes					
	Habitat management		\boxtimes					
	Species management		\boxtimes					
	Law enforcement				\boxtimes			
	Capacity building			\boxtimes				
	Other (please provide details)							
Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with: — an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan; — formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network; — a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and — formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.								
1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or co		-			Yes	No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy		······					Ш
	formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?							
	 a national enforcement strategy and/c 					\boxtimes		
	 formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee? 	on, such	as a na	itional		\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please spectetails: National and ASEAN Wildlife					and prov	vide additio	onal

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration	
		No	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do?		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo	ou find of value?	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating	Yes	
Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic	No, but toolkit use is unde	er	
	Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	consideration 🖂	
		No \square	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used ar equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that cou		
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to n tools useful to you:	nake the toolkit or equivaler	nt

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating,	Yes						
	prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	No 🔲						
		No information						
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available The International Trade in							
	Endangered Species Act 2008. Penalty: - for individual							
	offender, fine can be up to RM1 Million and 7 years							
	maximum imprisonment or both							
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking	Yes						
	recognized as serious crime¹ in your country?	No 🗆						
		No information						
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes:	ildlife trafficking offences to be)					
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the	Yes						
	investigation of CITES offences?	No 🗆						
		No information						
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report:							
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to:	ity for CITES-listed species,						

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report?	Yes No No informatio	□ □ N				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be hother Parties:						
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	omitting information related to CITES offences to No					
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?		
	General crime ²			\boxtimes			
	Predicate offences ³			\boxtimes			
	Asset forfeiture ⁴			\boxtimes			
	Corruption ⁵			\boxtimes			
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁶			\boxtimes			
	Organized crime ⁷			\boxtimes			
	Specialized investigation techniques ⁸			\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which						
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the le provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offer			Yes No No informati	□ □ on ⊠		
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major	capaci	ty-buil	ding needs:			

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

_

A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

³ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁴ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	Ш
		Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	\boxtimes
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	\boxtimes
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	\boxtimes
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	П
		No information	\boxtimes
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During th	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information				
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	\boxtimes						
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details:							
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?							
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	, please at	tach details	:				
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?	\boxtimes						
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	ts? Please	attach detai	ls:				
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?	<u> </u>	Tick	all that apply				
	 Return to country of export 							
	 Public zoos or botanical gardens 							
	Designated rescue centres			\boxtimes				
	Approved private facilities							
	– Euthanasia							
	 Other (please specify): Destruction of products (ivory) 			\boxtimes				
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca	ated speci	mens?					
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s?					

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? ☑ ☐ Permit officers? ☑ ☐ Enforcement officers? ☑ ☐	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your Management Authority(ies)?	Yes	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.	No	
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ?	Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	No	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?	Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:		No
	- availability of funding?		
	- number of staff?		
	- a shortage of skills?		
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?		
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)?	Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		_
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?	Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:		No
	- availability of funding?		
	- number of staff?		
	- a shortage of skills?		
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?		
2.2.1c	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your enforcement authority(ies)?	Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?					
	If 'Yes', what a	are your performance targ	ets?		No	
		ease provide your performing the period covered in	•			
	If you did not a result of:	Yes		No		
	– availability of funding?					
	number of					
	a shortage					
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skil	Is do you need more of?			
2.2.1d			our answered 'No' to the first pace of approved service standa			
	Do you have s	sufficient of the following f	or your authorities to function	effectively?		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforce Authorit		
	Funding?	Yes 🗌 No 🛚	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes 🗌 I	No 🖂	
	Staff?	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes 🗌 I	No 🛛	
	Skills?	Yes ☐ No 🏻	Yes ☐ No ⊠	Yes ☐ I	No 🖂	
			***************************************	3		······································

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities;
 hired more staff;
 developed implementation tools;
 purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertak covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable		
	Hiring of more staff			
	Development of implementation tools			
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementati	П		
	Other (please specify):	,	,	_
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)		\boxtimes	
	Scientific Authority(ies)		\boxtimes	
	Enforcement authorities		\boxtimes	
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority(ies)?		\boxtimes	
	Scientific Authority(ies)?		\boxtimes	
	Enforcement authorities?		\boxtimes	

2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?							
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority			
	Hiring of more staff		\boxtimes					
	Development of implementation tools		\boxtimes					
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement		\boxtimes					
	e-permitting							
	Other (please specify):							
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing	ļ.	Yes	Under development	No			
	Species information				\boxtimes			
	Trade information		\boxtimes					
	Non-detriment findings			\boxtimes				

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick all the	at are appli	cable
	Administrative procedures		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction the sea) 	on from	
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from of CITES-listed species) 	the sea	
	Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species		\boxtimes
	Harvesting of CITES-listed species		
	Use of CITES-listed species		
	Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species		
	Other (please specify):		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🛛 No	0 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat	:	
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife co	nservation	?
		Entirely	
		Partly	\boxtimes
		Not at all	
	No	t relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		\boxtimes
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures1 such as	those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the
	Convention?	YesNo
	Due diligence	
	Compensatory mechanisms	
	Certification	
	Communal property rights	
	Auctioning of quotas	
	Cost recovery or environmenta	l charges⊡ ⊠
	Enforcement incentives	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use further information:	other measures, please provide a summary or link to
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity b	een eliminated? Not at all
	Very little□	
	Somewhat⊡	
	Completely□	

-

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building activities ¹ have you run during the period covered in this report?				Without assistance from the Secretariat		stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			N	lone			
				1			
			,	2-5			
				6-10 1-20			
		ľ	ı More tha	_		H	
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities have taken place?						
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you have?						
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
	Staff of Scientific Authority		\boxtimes		\boxtimes		
	Staff of enforcement authorities		\square	\square	\square		
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						Awareness
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information				
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: EU Fund for NDFs for Sharks through SEAFDEC					
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased				

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?							Yes Do No information Do No Information		
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)		

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's		Relevant User
	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Groups
	- Press conferences	\boxtimes	
	- Press releases	\boxtimes	
	Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	\boxtimes	
	Television appearances	\boxtimes	
	Radio appearances		
	- Presentations		\boxtimes
	Public consultations / meetings		\boxtimes
	Market surveys		
	- Displays	\boxtimes	
	Information at border crossing points	\boxtimes	
	Telephone hotline		
	Website(s) – if so please provide link(s)		
	Other (specify):		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known		
	Staff of Management Authority		\boxtimes					
	Staff of Scientific Authority		\boxtimes					
	Staff of enforcement authorities					\boxtimes		
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES v	vebsite?	Exc	ellent			
				Goo	od	\boxtimes		
				Ave	rage			
				Poo	r			
	Very Poor							
				No i	nformation			
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? which authorities find which functions/tools mos					untered,		

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description:		

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?					
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your count implemented which integrate CITES issues?	try				
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with: Yes					
	Agencies for development?	\boxtimes				
	Agencies for trade?	\boxtimes				
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	\boxtimes				
	Local authorities or communities?	\boxtimes				
	Indigenous or local peoples?	\boxtimes				
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\boxtimes				
	NGOs?	\boxtimes				
	Other (please specify)					
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No			
	National and local development strategies?	\boxtimes				
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		\boxtimes			
	Planning processes?	\boxtimes				
	National accounting?		\boxtimes			

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3:	The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-
	governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training
	and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?	\boxtimes	SEAFDEC, ICCWC
	Non-governmental organizations?	\boxtimes	PEW Charitable Trust Fund

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the						
	CITES Appendices has stabili	zed or improved?	Yes	No 1	Not Applicable		
		Appendix I	\boxtimes				
		Appendix II	\boxtimes				
		Appendix III					
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:						
	Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary						
	Crocodylus porosus see item 1.5.1a						
0.4.4							
3.4.1b	The state of the s						
	emerging problems with any		No				
	If 'Vos' places provide details			No informatio	n 📙		
	If 'Yes', please provide details	o.					

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

Objective	3.5	Parties and the Secretariat co agreements dealing with natural collaborative approach to specie those which are commercially e Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target Target 19.	l resourd es which xploited	ces, as a can be	approp endan	riate, in gered l	orde oy un	r to achieve a coherent and sustainable trade, including
Indicator 3.	5.1:	The number of cooperative action to prevent species from being under the cooperative action.						
3.5.1a	3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:						Yes □ No □ No information □	
Indicator 3.	5.2:	The number of times other rele natural resources are consulted						
that agre		rage number of times per year international organizations or eements have been consulted CITES Authorities	anizations or en consulted ີ ຊື່		6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Man	agement Authority(ies)					\boxtimes	
	Scie	ntific Authority(ies)					\boxtimes	
	Enfo	rcement Authority(ies)					\boxtimes	
Please pro	vide a	Ger ny additional comments you woul	neral fee		ncludi	ng com	ment	s on this format.
		Item						
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if Web link(s)		of CITES-relevant legislation if ch	changed Enclosed Not available Previously provided					□ □ ded ⊠
Please lis	t any r	materials annexed to the report, e	g. fee s	chedule	es, awa	areness	raisi	ng materials, etc:
your coun	try rec	raints to implementation of the Co quiring attention or assistance? describe the constraint and the typ						nation
		ples of good practice you would li				Yes No		nation

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

If 'Yes' please provide details / links:

How could this report format be improved?