Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision*: 2008-2020 and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Estonia
Period covered in this report	2015-2017
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of the Environment
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Environmental Inspectorate, The Tax and Customs Board

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No S If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable
	If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes \sum No \sum If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: Legislative process is time-consuming

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information		
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes				
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\boxtimes				
1.2.1b	Do you have:					
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\boxtimes				
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		\boxtimes			
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		\boxtimes			
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		\boxtimes			
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challenges faced or issues overcome: □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□					

	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹? ☐ ☐ ☐							
	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so: Common platform/system agreed with other Parties							
Indicator 1	1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified pro	ocedures p	provided f	or in <u>Resolution</u>				
1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the	-	Гick all ap	plicable				
		Yes	No	No information				
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.		\boxtimes					
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.		\boxtimes					
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		\boxtimes					
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.		\boxtimes					
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details: □□□□□		\boxtimes					
Objective	the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, To	arget 14 a	nd Target g under I	18. Resolutions and				
1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting req the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolution Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendation the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where listed])?	ons and Dens, and No	ecisions o	f the s issued by				
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements No special reporting requirements applicable							
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in the implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the of the Parties? If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision	Conferenc	e `	Yes				
	were / are being encountered?	, o,, ana, n	o, caon, w	mat dimodities				

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

1.4.1:	The number and proportion of species that have been four Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the period				
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species on the CITES Appendices?		☐ No ⊠		
	e):				
Objectiv	e 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target				t 14.
Indicator	 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyse the sources of information cited in Resolution Co a) the population status of Appendix-II species b) the trends and impact of trade upon Append c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans. 	onf. 16.7 on s; dix-II specie	Non-de	etriment finding	s related to:
1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	\boxtimes			
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?		\boxtimes		
	 the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species? 	\boxtimes			
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?			\boxtimes	
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?		\boxtimes		

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:					
		A brief summary of the results of the survey, study or other analysis (e.g. population status, decline / stable / increase, off-take levels etc), or provide				
	Species name (scientific)	links to published re	ference mat	erial.		
	Canis lupus, Ursus arctos, Lynx lynx, birds of prey, Ciconia nigra (regular population monitoring)					
	00000					
	00000					
	00000					
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app		ed in making	g non-detri	ment	
		Re	vised harves			
			06:1:1:1:1	-	export 🗌	
		Change	Stricter do d managem	omestic me	=	
			ı with Manag		•	
			ssion with oth			
	Othe	er (please provide a si	nort summar	y): 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆		
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measures plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed					
		Not A	Applicable			
		No ir	formation			
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, inclinimpact: □□□□□	uding, if possible, an	evaluation of	f their		
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment finding if 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the property of the provided in the	~		lo 🛚		
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings	a) x) of Resolution Co s?	nf. 16.7)	Yes	No	
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	ecies biology, life hist	ory,			
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments	s conducted.		\boxtimes		
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	cations and at sites p	rotected			
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local	l and indigenous com	munities.	\boxtimes		
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and international exp	erts.	\boxtimes		
	F. national and international trade informatio the CITES trade database maintained by UN Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publication trade and investigations of sales at market example.	NEP World Conservations on trade, local kr	ion owledge			

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No		
			Yes	No	information		
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?			\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-detriment findings, or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the information can be found on the internet:						
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follow	wing					
	guidance been used?		Plea	se tick	all that apply		
	Virtual C	ollege					
	IUCN Ch	ecklist			\boxtimes		
	Resolution Con	f. 16.7			\boxtimes		
	2008 NDF wor	kshop					
	Species specific gui	dance			\boxtimes		
		Other					
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:						
1.5.2c		Case by	case				
	detriment findings?	Annually					
		Every two	•				
		Less freq		*			
	<i>,</i>	A mix of t	the ab	ove			
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment	findings v	would	be cha	anged:		

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how		
	quotas are set:		Other,
		Population	please
	Species Name (scientific)	Survey?	specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	
	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	t finding process:	

Objective	Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Ta	-					12 an	d Target 19.
Indicator 1.	6.1: The number of bilateral management of shared Cl							pecifically provide for co-
1.6.1a	1.6.1a Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes ☐ No ☒ If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: □□□□□□						ents, and which other	
Indicator 1.	6.2: The number of cooperative populations of CITES-liste			nt plans	, includir	ng red	covery	y plans, in place for shared
1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative m in place for shared populations					ecove	ery pla	ans, Yes ⊠ No □
	If 'Yes', please list the species for to a published plan for each species Actional Single Species Actions.	ecies.				ace ar	nd pro	ovide a link or reference
	Species Name (scientific)	Link oı	r referer	nce to a	publishe	ed pla	n	
	00000							
1.6.3a	6.3: The number of workshops a to address the conservation Have the CITES authorities reactivities provided by external s	and m	nanager	ment ne	eds of sl	hared	, CITI	ES listed, species.
	Please tick boxes to indicate w target group and which activity. Target group		Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?
	Staff of Management Authority							European Commission,
	otali or Managomone, tamoney					Ш	Ш	other Member States
	Staff of Scientific Authority		\boxtimes					
	Staff of enforcement authorities	6	\boxtimes					International workshop on enforcement of CITES legislation and cultural goods "Detecting crime against endangered species and cultural goods on the EU Eastern border"
	Traders							
	NGOs		\boxtimes					International NGO-s
	Public							

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

	Other (please specify): □□□□□							
1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the other range States?	provide	<i>rs</i> of any	of the f	ollowi	ng cap	acity-buildi	ng activities to
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)		
			ğ <u>H</u>	E &		ļ <u>.</u>		etails
	Staff of Management Authority	\boxtimes						
	Staff of Scientific Authority Staff of enforcement authorities						10000 10000	
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other Parties/International meetings							
	Other (please specify)					$\parallel \; \equiv \; \parallel \; \parallel$		
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	CITES P	arties?	<u> </u>			
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	ex	ner detail / amples
	Information exchange							
	Monitoring / survey			\boxtimes			Eastern monitorir	border
	Habitat management							ig
	Species management							
	Law enforcement			\boxtimes			INTERP(operation	
	Capacity building						worksho	ps
	Other (please provide details)		······································					
Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with: — an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan; — formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network; — a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and — formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.								
1.7.1a I	Do you have, are you engaged in, or co	overed b	y:			Yes	No	No Information
_	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?					\boxtimes		
	formal international cooperation, such enforcement network?	as an ir	nternatio	nal		\boxtimes		
_	a national enforcement strategy and/o	r action	plan?			\boxtimes		
-	formal national interagency cooperation interagency enforcement committee?	n, such	as a na	tional		\boxtimes		

If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details:, EU Action Plan against Wildlife Trafficking, EU-Twix, EC Enforcement Group, Yearly Action Plan (Environmental Inspectorate), Nature Conservation Development Plan

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do? Report on the Action Plans		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do y	ou find of value? □□□□□	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes	er
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used a equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that co	uld be made:	t

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No	
		No information	\Box
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available Penal Code		
	§ 357. Violation of requirements for protection of		
	protected natural objects		
	(1) Violation of the requirements for the use or protection of a protected natural object, if significant damage is thereby caused to the protected natural object,		
	is punishable by a pecuniary punishment or up to five years' imprisonment.		
	(2) The same act, if significant damage is thereby caused to the protected natural object through negligence,		
	is punishable by a pecuniary punishment or up to three years' imprisonment.		
	(3) An act provided for in subsection (1) or (2) of this section, if committed by a legal person,		
	is punishable by a pecuniary punishment.		
4 7 01	Associated from the second sec	V	
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	Yes	
	recognized as serious crime in your country?	No	\Box

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

			No informatio	n 🗌				
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or wildlife trafficking offences to be treated as serious crimes: It depends on the damage caused to the environment by destroying or damaging specimens of protected species. Damage which exceeds 4000 euros is significant damage and considered as serious crime.							
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology¹ to support the Yes							
	investigation of CITES offences?			No				
			No informatio	_				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: Canis lupus, DNA analysis was made at Estonian Forensic Science Institute If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to:							
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-			Yes	\boxtimes			
	law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report?	specie	S	No				
				No informatio				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including an other Parties: Interpol operations (Thunder)	y lesso	ns lear	ned which mig	ht be helpful for			
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among			Yes				
	agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?		es to	No 🖂				
	INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	1	1	No informatio				
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?			
	General crime ³		\boxtimes					
	Predicate offences ⁴		\boxtimes					
	Asset forfeiture ⁵		\boxtimes					
	Corruption ⁶		\square					
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁷		\boxtimes					
	Organized crime ⁸							

¹ Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

² A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

⁴ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁵ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

	Specialized investigation techniques ¹		\boxtimes		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is brief summary, including any lessons learned which m				
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the leg)	Yes	\boxtimes
	provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offer	provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences?		No	
				No information	on 🗌
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs: □□□□□				

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	\boxtimes
		Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	\boxtimes
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ² to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	\boxtimes
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	\boxtimes
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

² Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

		1	1	1	
During the	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information	
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offend details: Details are sent by the annual illegal trade reports	es. If avai	lable, pleas	e attach	
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?	\boxtimes			
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please at	tach details	: 00000	
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES- related offences?				
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	ts? Please	attach detai	ls: □□□□□	
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?	·	Tick	all that apply	
	 Return to country of export 				
	 Public zoos or botanical gardens 			\boxtimes	
	Designated rescue centres				
	Approved private facilities				
	– Euthanasia				
	 Other (please specify): Destruction; use for trainings, education awareness raising 	ational pur	poses,		
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca □□□□□□	ated speci	mens?		
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s?		

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? Permit officers? Enforcement officers?	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s)1 for your		Yes	\boxtimes
Z.Z. 1d	Do you have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your Management Authority(ies)?		res No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		110	ш
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those	There are ru	les	
	standards?	for the procedure		
		of permitting		
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard		Yes	
	targets?		No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service			
	standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	a result of: availability of funding?	les		
	number of staff?			
	a shortage of skills? If 'Vee' to a shortage of skills which skills do you need more of?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	availability of funding?			
	number of staff?			
	a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? □□□□□□			
2.2.1c	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your		Yes	\boxtimes
	enforcement authority(ies)?		No	
1	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

		If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards? Guidelines for the enforcement activities/procedures				
	If 'Yes', do you	u have performance targets	s for these standards48?		Yes	\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', what a	are your performance targe	ets?		No	
			Yearly priorit	ies		
		ease provide your performating the period covered in the				
	If you did not i a result of:	f you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:				No
	availability	of funding?				
	number of	staff?		\boxtimes		
	a shortage	of skills?				
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	s do you need more of?			
2.2.1d			ır answered 'No' to the first μ e of approved service stand			s:
	Do you have s	sufficient of the following fo	r your authorities to function	effectively?		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)		ement ity(ies)	
	Funding? Yes No Yes No x			Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
	Staff?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes ☐ No x☐	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
	Skills?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
	·········					······································
<u> </u>	•					

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities;
 hired more staff;
 developed implementation tools;

- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES				
	implementation at the national level?			Tick if applicable	
	Hiring of more staff				
	Development of implementation tools				
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementati	t 🗌			
	Other (please specify): □□□□□				
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased	
	Management Authority(ies)		\boxtimes		
	Scientific Authority(ies)		\boxtimes		
	Enforcement authorities		\boxtimes		
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable	
	Management Authority(ies)?				
	Scientific Authority(ies)?		\boxtimes		
	Enforcement authorities?				

2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities?							
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority			
	Hiring of more staff		\boxtimes					
	Development of implementation tools			\boxtimes				
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement			\boxtimes				
	e-permitting			\boxtimes				
	Other (please specify): □□□□□							
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No			
	Species information		\boxtimes					
	Trade information				\boxtimes			
	Non-detriment findings			\boxtimes				
<u>, </u>	•				-			

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick all t	hat are appli	cable
	Administrative procedures		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduct the sea) 	tion from	
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from of CITES-listed species) 	n the sea	
	Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species		\boxtimes
	Harvesting of CITES-listed species		
	Use of CITES-listed species		
	Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species		
	Other (please specify): □□□□□		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🛛 N	o 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretaria State Fees Act (§133) https://www.riigiteataja.ee/en/eli/506082018002/consolide		
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife	conservation	?
		Entirely	
		Partly	\boxtimes
		Not at all	
	N	lot relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		\boxtimes
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: □□□□□		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the
	Convention? YesNo
	Due diligence
	Compensatory mechanisms
	Certification
	Communal property rights
	Auctioning of quotas
	Cost recovery or environmental charges
	Enforcement incentives
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information: □□□□□□
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all ☐
	Very little□
	Somewhat⊡
	Completely

-

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this	od covered in this report?			Withou from the Secreta	е	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	-	(1: More tha				
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities Seminars/trainings for enforcement office		aken pla	ce? P	articipa	tion a	nt Tourist Fair,
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?	,				÷
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority	\boxtimes					
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities	\boxtimes			\boxtimes		
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)					Ш	

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?							Yes		
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)		

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally.

Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Relevant User Groups
	- Press conferences		
	- Press releases	\boxtimes	
	Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	\boxtimes	
	Television appearances	\boxtimes	
	Radio appearances	\boxtimes	
	- Presentations		\boxtimes
	Public consultations / meetings		
	Market surveys		
	- Displays	\boxtimes	
	Information at border crossing points	\boxtimes	
	Telephone hotline	\boxtimes	
	Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) https://www.envir.ee/et/cites; https://www.emta.ee/et/ariklient/toll-kaubavahetus/keelud-ja-piirangud/ohustatud-taime-ja-loomaliigid-citesi-isendid		
	Other (specify): □□□□□		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known		
	Staff of Management Authority	\boxtimes						
	Staff of Scientific Authority			\boxtimes				
	Staff of enforcement authorities				\boxtimes			
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES v	vebsite?	Exc	ellent			
				Goo	d	\boxtimes		
				Ave	rage			
				Poo	r			
	Very Poor							
	No information							
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):							

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC)¹ to which your country is party? If 'Yes', please give a brief description: □□□□□□	Yes No No information	
--------	--	-----------------------------	--

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has your country contributed towards?				
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?				
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No		
	Agencies for development?				
	Agencies for trade?				
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?				
	Local authorities or communities?				
	Indigenous or local peoples?				
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\boxtimes			
	NGOs?				
	Other (please specify)				
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No		
	National and local development strategies?	\boxtimes			
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?				
	Planning processes?	\boxtimes			
	National accounting?	\boxtimes			

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.		rties cooperating / collaboratir cations to participate in and/or fur activities.			
3.3.3a	Has funding been provided workshops, training or other to / from:	or received to facilitate CITES r capacity building activities	Tick if app	plicable or	Which ganizations?
	Inter-governmental organiza	ations?		J	
	Non-governmental organiza	ıtions?] 00	
Objective Indicator 3.	development goals so relevant Aichi Biodiv Conference on Sustain in wild fauna and flora This objective may al including action taken Aichi Target 1, Target 18 a	CITES to the relevant Millennium set at WSSD, the Strategic Playersity Targets, and the relevationable Development is strengther a is conducted at sustainable levels also be assessed by a variety of to implement many of the CITES 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Tand Target 19.	an for Biod ant outcomened by ensels. of means be S resolution Target 6, Ta	diversity 2011 mes of the Usuring that interpreted the representation of the Usuring that interpreted the representation of the repr	2-2020 and the United Nations ernational trade eporting format, ons. t 12, Target 14,
3.4.1a	of naturally occurring species CITES Appendices has stabi	Appendix I Appendix II Appendix III t you are willing to share, please	•	No N	Not Applicable
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of spemerging problems with any lf 'Yes', please provide detail	,	1	Yes No No information	n 🗆
Indicator 3.	.4.2: The number of Parties Plan (NBSAP).	s incorporating CITES into their N	National Bic	odiversity Strat	tegy and Action
3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporate Biodiversity Strategy and Ac	ted into your country's National ction Plan (NBSAP)?		Yes No No informa	tion
3.4.2b		ain funds from the Global Environ ces to support CITES aspects of		Yes No No informa	tion U

Objective :	3.5	Parties and the Secretariat coagreements dealing with natura collaborative approach to specie those which are commercially e Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target Target 19.	I resourd es which exploited	ces, as a can be	appropi endan	riate, in gered l	orde by un	r to achieve a coherent and sustainable trade, including
Indicator 3.	5.1:	The number of cooperative action to prevent species from being under the cooperative action.						
3.5.1a	.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details: □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□						Yes No No information	
Indicator 3.	5.2:	The number of times other rele natural resources are consulted						
3.5.2a	that agre	rage number of times per year international organizations or eements have been consulted CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Man	agement Authority(ies)						
	Scie	ntific Authority(ies)		\boxtimes				IUCN
	Enfo	rcement Authority(ies)						
Please pro	vide a	Gerny additional comments you wou	n eral fee		ncludir	ng com	ment	s on this format.
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if c			changed Enclosed Not available Previously provided					ded
Please list	t any r	materials annexed to the report, e	e.g. fee s	schedule	es, awa	reness	raisi	ng materials, etc:
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance? No No Inform If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or assistance that is					<u>—</u>			
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with other Yes Parties? No No Information or assistance that								
If 'Yes' ple	ase p	rovide details / links: □□□□□						

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

How could this report format be improved? $\Box \Box \Box \Box \Box$