### Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision*: 2008-2020 and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

#### **CITES** vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Denmark
Period covered in this report	2015-2017
Department or agency preparing this report	Environmental Protection Agency
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	00000

# GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report?  Yes X No  If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes X No X Not Applicable  If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes X No  If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: EU legislation

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information			
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	Х					
	Are the procedures publicly available?		Х				
1.2.1b	Do you have:						
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	Х					
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		X				
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		X				
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		Х				
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		Х				
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challenges faced or issues overcome:						

	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹?	X	t bala vau	to do oo:		
	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain □□□□□□	wnat mign	it neip you	to do so.		
ndicator	1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified process. Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16).	ocedures	provided f	or in <u>Resoluti</u>		
1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the	•	Fick all app	olicable		
		Yes	No No	No information		
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.	X				
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <a href="Article VII">Article VII</a> , paragraph 2.	X				
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.	X				
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.	X				
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures?  If 'Yes', please provide details: Musical instruments, pet animals.	X				
<b>Objectiv</b> Indicator	by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, 7  1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant	Target 14 a	and Target	18. Resolutions a		
1.3.1a	Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standi  Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requ the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutio Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendation the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where listed])?	uirements t ns and De ns, and No	hat are accisions of tifications	ctive during the issued by		
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements X Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements  Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements  No special reporting requirements applicable					
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in th implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the C of the Parties?	is report ir Conference	)	Yes No X		
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision( were / are being encountered?	s), and, fo	r each, wh	nat difficulties		

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

1.4.1:	The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.					
1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species w on the CITES Appendices?	ould benefi	t from lis		□ No X	
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the long of that report to the Secretariat if the work is r			<i>i</i> :		
Objectiv	Pe 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 6				et 14.	
Indicator	The number of surveys, studies or other analysis on the sources of information cited in Resolvented to:  a) the population status of Appendix-II species b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appen c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring recovery plans.	lution Conf s; dix-II specie	es; and	on Non-detrime	ent findings	
1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?	
	- the population status of Appendix II species?		Χ			
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?		X			
	<ul> <li>the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?</li> </ul>		X			
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?		Х			
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?		Х			

**Objective 1.4** The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:					
	Species name (scientific)	A brief summary of the results or other analysis (e.g. population stable / increase, off-take level links to published reference ma	on status, de s etc), or pro	cline /		
	00000					
	0000					
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app	ly	-			
		Revised harve				
		Stricter	Banning domestic mea			
		Changed manager		_		
		Discussion with Mana		•		
		Discussion with o		_		
		er (please provide a short summa	ary): 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆			
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I lister			X		
	p.eg. ppen.e	Not Applicable		$\hat{\Box}$		
		No information				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl impact: □□□□□	uding, if possible, an evaluation	of their			
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment finding if 'Yes', please provide links or examples to a □ □ □ □ □ □ □	_				
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings		Yes	No		
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	ecies biology, life history,	Χ			
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments	s conducted.		X		
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	cations and at sites protected		X		
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	l and indigenous communities.		X		
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and international experts.	Χ			
	F. national and international trade informatio the CITES trade database maintained by UN Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publication trade and investigations of sales at marke example.	NEP World Conservation ons on trade, local knowledge	Х			

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No
		,	Yes	No	information
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?		Χ		
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where t on the internet: EU guidances				e found
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follo	wing			
	guidance been used?		Pleas	se tick	all that apply
	Virtual 0	College			
	IUCN Ch	necklist			
	Resolution Cor	nf. 16.7			
	2008 NDF wo	rkshop			
	Species specific gu	idance			X
		Other			
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:	Species+	/EU d	ecisior	ns□□□□
1.5.2c		Case by	case		X
	detriment findings?	Annually			
		Every two	•		
		Less freq			
		A mix of t	he ab	ove	
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment	findings \	would	be cha	anged:

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes	Х
		No	X
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:		Other,
	Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	please specify
	Anguilla anguilla	X□	0-quota
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	
	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	finding process:	

Objective	Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Targ	0				12 ar	nd Target 19.
Indicator 1	.6.1: The number of bilateral a management of shared CITE						pecifically provide for co-
1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any agreements for co-management of If 'Yes', please provide brief details countries are involved: Eurobats—agreement regarding small cetace migrating waterbirds, Birds Directinglants.	f shared sp s, including CMS agre ans, AEW	pecies?\ g the nan eement r A – CMS	es X Nones of the egardin Sagreer	o   ne agr g bats nent r	s, ASC egard	COBANS – CMS ling African Eurasian
Indicator 1	.6.2: The number of cooperative populations of CITES-listed		ent plans	s, includ	ing re	cover	y plans, in place for shared
1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative mana in place for shared populations of C				ecove	ry pla	ns, Yes X No 🗌
	If 'Yes', please list the species for w to a published plan for each specie		e plans a	re in pla	ice an	ıd pro	vide a link or reference
	Species Name (scientific) Link	or referen	nce to a p	oublishe	d plar	า	
	Phoceana phoceana http	s://www.as	scobans.	org/en/d	docum	nents/	action-plans
Indicator 1	.6.3: The number of workshops together to address the corspecies.  Have the CITES authorities <i>rece</i> activities provided by external source.  Please tick boxes to indicate which	eived or burces?	and ma	anagem	ent r	the fo	of shared, CITES listed
	target group  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidanc	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources <sup>1</sup> ?
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority				Х		European Commission
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						00000
	Other (please specify):						

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the to other range States?	provide	ers of a	ny of the	e follo	wing	capacity-building activities
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						00000
	Public						
	Other Parties/International meetings						
	Other (please specify)						
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?			
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Further detail / examples
	Information exchange				Х		
	Monitoring / survey				Х		
	Habitat management		Χ				
	Species management		Χ				
	Law enforcement				Х		
	Capacity building			Χ			
	Other (please provide details) □□□□						
Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade.  Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.  Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:							

- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan; formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network; a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information		
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	Χ				
	formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?	Х				
	– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?		Χ			
	– formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?		X			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details: Coordinated by The European Commission.					

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do? Report to the European Commission		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo	ou find of value? □□□□□	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes	er
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used ar equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be a specify improvement that could be a specify in the specific beautiful to specific beautiful to specify in the specific beautiful to specific beautiful to specify in the specific beautiful to specify in the specific beautiful to specify in the specific beautiful to specific beautiful to specify in the specific beautiful to specific beautiful to specify in the specific beautiful to specify in the specific beautiful to specify in the specific beautiful to specific beautiful to specify in the specific beautiful to specifi	ıld be made:	t

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

172-	De very have less and presentings in place for investigation	Vac				
1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating,	Yes	X			
	prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	No	Ц			
		No information				
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available					
	Statutory order no. 935 af 27/06/2016, fines/ up to one years imprisonment.					
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking	Yes				
	recognized as serious crime <sup>1</sup> in your country?	No	Χ			
		No information				
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or wildlife trafficking offences to be treated as serious crimes:					
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology <sup>2</sup> to support the	Yes	Χ			
	investigation of CITES offences?	No				
		No information				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report:					
If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-lis please indicate which species it applies to:						
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary <sup>3</sup>	Yes	Х			

<sup>1</sup> The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

<sup>3</sup> A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

	law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species		No		
	during the period covered in this report?			No information	n 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including an other Parties: □□□□□	y lesso	ns lear	ned which mig	ht be helpful for
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among			Yes	Х
	agencies for submitting information related to CITES		es to	No	
	INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?			No information	n 🗌
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?
	General crime <sup>1</sup>	Х			0
	Predicate offences <sup>2</sup>	Х			0
	Asset forfeiture <sup>3</sup>	Х			0
	Corruption <sup>4</sup>	Х			0
	International cooperation in criminal matters <sup>5</sup>	Х			0
	Organized crime <sup>6</sup>	Х			0
	Specialized investigation techniques <sup>7</sup>		Х		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which r				
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the le			Yes	
	provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offe	ences?		No	X
				No information	<del></del>
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major	capaci	ty-build	ling needs: □□	]000
Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.					
1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcer	ment ef	fort?	Always	

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	
		Very often	X
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

<sup>3</sup> Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	Χ
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence <sup>1</sup> to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	X
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	Х
		No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	X
		No information	

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

		1	1	1		
During the	During the period covered in this report:  Yes  No					
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?					
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offend details: □□□□□	es. If avai	lable, pleas	se attach		
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?	Х				
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, please attach details: 2015: 7 fines (1000-2000 DKK), 63 warnings 2016: 4 fines (500-2000 DKK), 1 fine 350.000 DKK, 32 warnings 2017: 5 fines (1000 GKK), 41 warnings					
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?	х□				
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result 2015: 1 fine 10.000 DKK $\Box\Box\Box\Box\Box$	ts? Please	attach deta	ils:		
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?	·	Ticl	k all that apply		
	<ul> <li>Return to country of export</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Public zoos or botanical gardens</li> </ul>			X		
•	Designated rescue centres					
	Approved private facilities					
	– Euthanasia					
	<ul> <li>Other (please specify): Dead specimens are used for education/kept at the agency</li> </ul>					
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confiscated specimens? It is usually not easy to dispose live animals due to the expenses when taking care of them.					
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with of	her Partie	s?			

**Objective 1.8** Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings?   X  Permit officers?   X  Enforcement officers?   X	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work?  What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	X
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes?	Yes No No information	X

What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for
capacity building? □□□□□

## GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

**Objective 2.1** Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>1</sup> for your Management Authority(ies)?	Yes No	X
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.	110	ш
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	Issuing permits, 4 weeks □ □ □ □ □	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>2</sup> ?	Yes	Х
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	No	$\Box$
		To issue permits in due time	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?	Yes No	X
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:	00000	
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes	No
	<ul><li>availability of funding?</li></ul>		Х
	<ul><li>number of staff?</li></ul>	X	$\Box$
	- a shortage of skills?		Χ
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?		
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your Scientific Authority(ies)?	Yes No	X
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?	Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes	No
	<ul><li>availability of funding?</li></ul>		
	<ul><li>number of staff?</li></ul>		
	Humber of Stair:		

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	<ul><li>a shortage</li></ul>	of skills?				
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?			
2.2.1c	Do you have a enforcement a	an approved service standa authority(ies)?	ard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your		Yes No	□ X
	If 'No', please	go to Question 2.2.1d.				
	If 'Yes', for wh standards?	ich services are there stan	dards, and what are those			
	If 'Yes', do yoι	ı have performance targets	s for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what a	are your performance targe	ts?		No	
		ease provide your performating the period covered in the				
	If you did not r a result of:	meet your performance tar	gets then was this shortfall	Yes		No
	<ul> <li>availability</li> </ul>	of funding?				
	<ul><li>number of</li></ul>	staff?				
	<ul><li>a shortage</li></ul>	of skills?				
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?			
2.2.1d			ır answered 'No' to the first pe e of approved service standa			S:
	Do you have s	sufficient of the following fo	r your authorities to function	effectively?		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enford Author		
	Funding?	Yes X No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No X	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
	Staff?	Yes X No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
	Skills?	Yes X No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
			***	*		······i
						<u> </u>

# Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities: - changed the budget for activities;

- hired more staff;
- developed implementation tools;
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertak covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable					
	Hiring of more staff	X					
	Development of implementation tools						
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement						
	Other (please specify): □□□□□						
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased			
	Management Authority(ies)		Χ				
	Scientific Authority(ies)		Χ				
	Enforcement authorities		X				
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable			

<b>〈</b>
(
ation at
Priority
lo
<b>〈</b>
<b>〈</b>
1

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick all that are applicable - Administrative procedures     - Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species)     - Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species)     - Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species     - Harvesting of CITES-listed species     - Use of CITES-listed species     - Other (please specify):   - Other (	i					
- Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea)  - Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species)  - Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species  - Harvesting of CITES-listed species  - Use of CITES-listed species  - Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species  - Other (please specify):                  2.2.3b Is a fee schedule publicly available? If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:              2.2.3c Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?    Entirely                        Partty	2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:  Tick all that are applications are applications.				
the sea)  Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea of CITES-listed species)  Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species  Harvesting of CITES-listed species  Use of CITES-listed species  Other (please specify):   If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:		Administrative procedures				
of CITES-listed species)  Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species  Harvesting of CITES-listed species  Use of CITES-listed species  Other (please specify): Other (please provide specifies): Other (please specify): Other			oduction from			
- Harvesting of CITES-listed species - Use of CITES-listed species - Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species - Other (please specify):			from the sea			
- Use of CITES-listed species - Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species - Other (please specify): □□□□□  2.2.3b Is a fee schedule publicly available? Yes No□ If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat: □□□□□□  2.2.3c Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?  Entirely □ Partly □ Not at all □ Not relevant □  2.2.3d Yes No Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees? X Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits? □□ Do you have case studies on charging or using fees? □□ If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: □□□□ Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES		Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species				
- Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species - Other (please specify):		Harvesting of CITES-listed species				
2.2.3b Is a fee schedule publicly available? Yes No If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:		Use of CITES-listed species				
2.2.3b   Is a fee schedule publicly available?   Yes   No   If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:		Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species				
If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:  2.2.3c		Other (please specify): □□□□□				
2.2.3c Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?    Entirely   Partly     Not at all     Not relevant     Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?   X     Do you fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?         Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?     X     If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:           Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES	2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🗌 N	o 🗌		
Entirely Partly Not at all Not relevant  2.2.3d  Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees? X Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?			etariat:			
Partly Not at all Not relevant  2.2.3d  Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees? Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits? Do you have case studies on charging or using fees? If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:	2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?				
Not at all Not relevant  2.2.3d  Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:			Entirely			
2.2.3d  Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:			Partly			
2.2.3d  Yes No  Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:			Not at all			
Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?  Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:			Not relevant			
Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?  Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: □□□□□  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES	2.2.3d		Yes	No		
Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?  If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: □□□□□  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES		Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		Χ		
If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: □□□□□  Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES		Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?				
Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES		Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?				
		If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: □□□□□				
				Х		

If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□	
---	--

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures <sup>1</sup> such as Convention?	those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the YesNo
	Due diligence	□X
	Compensatory mechanisms	□X
	Certification	□X
	Communal property rights	□X
	Auctioning of quotas	□X
	Cost recovery or environmenta	l charges⊡ X
	Enforcement incentives	□X
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use further information: □□□□□	other measures, please provide a summary or link to
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity b	een eliminated? Not at all 🗌
	Very little□	
	Somewhat ☐	
	Completely <u></u>	

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

**Objective 2.3** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this	Withou from th Secreta	е	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat			
			N	lone			
				1 2-5		∐ X	
				6-10			
				1-20			
			More tha	an 20			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions						
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities	s have ta	aken pla	ce? N	ational	traini	ng of police and customs
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?	7	T	<del>-</del>	T	1
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

### GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	X
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: □□□□□		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?							X information
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided  Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)
	0000							
	0000							
	0000							

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's		Relevant User
	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Groups
	<ul> <li>Press conferences</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Press releases</li> </ul>	X	
	<ul> <li>Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets</li> </ul>	X	Χ
	<ul> <li>Television appearances</li> </ul>	X	
	<ul> <li>Radio appearances</li> </ul>	X	
	<ul> <li>Presentations</li> </ul>		Χ
	<ul> <li>Public consultations / meetings</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Market surveys</li> </ul>		
	- Displays		Χ
	<ul> <li>Information at border crossing points</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Telephone hotline</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) cites.dk</li> </ul>	X	
	Other (specify): □□□□□		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples: □□□□□		

## Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the Cl	TES web	osite?				
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).  Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known	
	Staff of Management Authority	Χ					
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities					X	
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES w	vebsite?	Exce	ellent		
				Goo	d	Χ	
				Ave	rage		
				Poo	r		
				Very	/ Poor		
				No i	nformation		
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):						

### Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

**Objective 3.3** Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) <sup>1</sup> to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	x
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description: In a period the same staff/unit o	overed the MEAS	

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has yo contributed towards?	our country	0			
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?					
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No			
	Agencies for development?		X			
	Agencies for trade?		X			
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	Χ				
	Local authorities or communities?	Χ				
	Indigenous or local peoples?		X			
	Trade or other private sector associations?		X			
	NGOs?		X			
	Other (please specify)					
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No			
	National and local development strategies?		X			
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		X			
	Planning processes?		X			
	National accounting?		Χ			

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3		The number of Pa governmental organiz and capacity-building	ations to participa					
3.3.3a	works to / fro		capacity building		Tick if a	applicable	Whi organiza	
	Inter-g	governmental organiza	ations?					
	Non-g	overnmental organiza	itions?					
Objective		The contribution of C development goals s relevant <i>Aichi Biodi</i> Conference on Susta in wild fauna and flora This objective may a	set at WSSD, the versity Targets, a inable Developme is conducted at sulso be assessed	e Strategic Pla and the relevent is strengthe sustainable levent by a variety of	an for Bant outoned by ened by ene	iodiversity comes of tensuring that is beyond the	2011-2020 the United at internation	and the Nations onal trade
		including action taken Aichi Target 1, Targ Target 14, Target 17,	et 2, Target 3,	Target 4, Targ				arget 12,
Indicator 3		The conservation stimproved.	atus of species	listed on the	CITES	Appendices	s has stal	bilized or
3.4.1a	of natu	u have data which sho irally occurring specie Appendices has stab	s in your country l	isted on the	Yes	No	Not Ap	plicable
				Appendix I	X			
				Appendix II	X			
				Appendix III	Х		[	
		are such studies that		•	•			
	•	s name (scientific)	Link to the data	, or a briet sum	ımary			
3.4.1b	Do you	⊔ u have examples of sp ing problems with any	ecific examples o		es or	Yes No No informa	ation	 
	If 'Yes',	please provide detail	s: 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆			NO IIIIOIIII	auon	^
Indicator 3	T	The number of Parti Action Plan (NBSAP)			neir Natio		ersity Stra	
3.4.2a		CITES been incorporate versity Strategy and Ad				Yes No No info	ormation	x 
3.4.2b	Facilit	you been able to obta y (GEF) or other sourd nentation?				Yes No No info	ormation	

Objective .	3.5	agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited.  Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.								
Indicator 3.	5.1:	The number of cooperative agreements to prevent specie trade.								
3.5.1a	mult bein	tilateral agreements other than CI ng unsustainably exploited througl	your country taken action under established bilateral or Yes ateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from younsustainably exploited through international trade? No information s', please provide details:							
Indicator 3.	5.2:	The number of times other rele natural resources are consulted								
3.5.2a	that i	rage number of times per year international organizations or eements have been consulted CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations a issues consulted on	and	
		agement Authority(ies)								
		ntific Authority(ies)								
	Enfor	rcement Authority(ies)				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	00000		
Please pro	vide a	Ger any additional comments you woul		eedback o make, ii		ing com	ımen <sup>ı</sup>	ts on this format.		
Copy of fu	II text	of CITES-relevant legislation if ch	nanged		Enc	closed		Х		
Web		information.dk/Forms/R0710.aspx		link(s)		t availab viously				
Please list	any m	materials annexed to the report, e.	.g. fee s	schedule:	s, awa	areness	raisi	ng materials, etc:		
		raints to implementation of the Co quiring attention or assistance?	nvention	n arisen	in	Yes No No Ir	nform	X nation		
If 'Yes', ple	ase d	describe the constraint and the typ	ρe of att	ention or	assis	tance t	hat is	required. 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆		
Are there e Parties?	examp	ples of good practice you would lik	ke to sha	are with	other	No	nform	X nation	_	
If 'Yes' plea	ase pr	rovide details / links: □□□□□								
		report format be improved? As a Foorts of national wildlife some of the								

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.