Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2008-2020* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

CITES vision statement

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Azerbaijan
Period covered in this report	2015-2017
Department or agency preparing this report	CITES Management Authority
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	Department for Reproduction and Protection of Aquatic Bioresources

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this
	report? Yes No X
	If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes \(\square\) No \(\square\) Not Applicable \(\square\)
	If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation
	guidelines)? Yes X No 🗌
	If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	Χ□		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	X 🗌		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	Χ□		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		Χ□	
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		Χ□	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		Χ□	
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		Χ□	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challen	ges faced	or issues	overcome:

	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?			X 🗌					
	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain what might help you to do so:								
Indicator	1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified pro	ocedures	orovided f	or in <u>Resolutio</u>					
1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the	-							
		7	ick all app						
		Yes	No	No information					
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.			Χ□					
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with Article VII , paragraph 2.		Χ□						
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.	Χ□							
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.	Χ□							
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:			Χ□					
Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions add by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18. Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendation									
1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?								
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements No special reporting requirements applicable								
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes No X								
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(were / are being encountered?	s), and, fo	r each, wh	nat difficulties					

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Aichi Target 1, Target 14 and Target 19.

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices? Yes X \sum No \sum				
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):				
	The Scientific Authority conducted a study to research the state of stocks included in CITES Appendix. In particular, they considered the status of sturgeon stocks.				

Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings.

Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.

Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to:

a) the population status of Appendix-II species;

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species.

- b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and
- c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?			X 🗌	
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?	X			
	the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	X			
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?			Χ□	
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?			X 🗆	

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:							
		or other analy stable / increa	ary of the results on vsis (e.g. population ase, off-take levels whed reference managers	n status, de etc), or pro	cline /			
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply							
			Revised harves	•	·			
			Stricter dom	Banning ex	•			
			Sincter don Changed managem					
			sion with Manager		·			
		Discus	Discussion with of					
	Oth	er (please pro	ovide a short sumn		ласто. 🗀			
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measures plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed	•	Yes		Χ□			
	plans for flaturally occurring Appendix Flisted	эрсоюз:	No Not Applicable					
			No information					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, inclu impact: We have 11 State Nature reserves ar Action Strategy approved by the President fo endangered species are listed in the Red Boo	nd 10 Nationa r the conserva	l Parks. In addition ation of biodiversity	, we have a v. Also rare a	and			
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment finding	gs that can be	e shared? Yes 🗌 N	No 🗶 🗌				
	If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the	ne Secretariat	within this report:					
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a) do you use in making non-detriment findings?		ion Conf. 16.7)	Yes	No			
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning spedistribution and population trends.	ecies biology,	life history,	X 🗌				
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments	conducted.						
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest loc from harvest and other impacts.	ations and at	sites protected	X 🗌				
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local	and indigenoเ	us communities.		Χ□			
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional a	and internation	nal experts.	X 🗌				
	F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by UN Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publication trade and investigations of sales at market example.	EP World Cor ons on trade, l	nservation ocal knowledge		Χ□			

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No		
		•	Yes	No	information		
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?				Χ□		
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making nor or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where on the internet:			0 '	e found		
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following	owing					
	guidance been used?		Pleas	se tick	all that apply		
	Virtual (College					
	IUCN C	IUCN Checklist					
	Resolution Co	Resolution Conf. 16.7					
	2008 NDF wo	orkshop					
	Species specific gu	uidance					
		Other					
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:						
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non-	Case by o	case				
	detriment findings?	Annually sturgeons	3)	(for	X 🗆		
		Every two	-	S			
		Less freq	•				
	A mix of the above						
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment	t findings v	would	be cha	anged:		

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes	
		No	X 🗌
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:		Other,
	Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	please specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption? If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	Yes No finding process:	
	•	.	

Objective	1.6	Parties cooperate in managing Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target					12 ar	nd Target 19.
Indicator 1	.6.1:	The number of bilateral and management of shared CITES						pecifically provide for co
1.6.1a	1.6.1a Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes X ☐ No ☐ If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: The Commission for the conservation and rational use of aquatic biological resources and management of shared stocks of such resources of the Caspian sea.							
Indicator 1	.6.2:	The number of cooperative ma populations of CITES-listed spe		nt plans	s, includi	ing re	cover	ry plans, in place for share
1.6.2a Do you have any cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species? Yes X □ No □ If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference						Yes X 🗌 No 🗌		
	to a published plan for each species. Species Name (scientific) Link or reference to a published plan Acipenser Panthera pardus Gazella							
Indicator 1	t s	The number of workshops and ogether to address the consespecies. The the cites authorities receives	rvation	and ma	anagem	ent n	needs	of shared, CITES listed
	activ	vities provided by external source	es?					
	targ	ase tick boxes to indicate which et group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external
			Δğ	— (g	П .	<u> </u>	О	sources ¹ ?
	ł	f of Management Authority						
	ł	f of Scientific Authority f of enforcement authorities						
	Trac							
	NG							
	ł							
	Pub	lic				Ш		

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the to other range States?	provid	ers of a	ny of the	e follo	wing ca	pacity-bui	lding activities
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	De	etails
	Staff of Management Authority							- Cano
	Staff of Scientific Authority							
	Staff of enforcement authorities							
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other Parties/International meetings							
1000	Other (please specify)	<u> </u>						
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	JIES P	arties?	T			
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always		ier detail / amples
	Information exchange				X			-
	Monitoring / survey							
	Habitat management							
	Species management				X			
		_ _						
	Law enforcement							
	Capacity building							
	Other (please provide details)							
Objective Indicator 1	.7.1: The number of Parties that have – an international enforceme – formal international cooper	6, Targe e, are co ent strate ration, so	et 7, Targovered begy and/ uch as a	get 9, Ta by, or en or action an intern	rget 1 ngaged n plan nationa	0, Targed with:		
п	 a national enforcement stra formal national interagence committee. 	cy coope	eration,			ational i	nterageno	y enforcemer
	Do you have, are you engaged in, or co					Yes	No	No Information
-	- an international enforcement strategy	and/or a	action pl	lan?		X 🗌		
[-	formal international cooperation, such enforcement network?	as an ir	nternatio	onal				Χ□
-	 a national enforcement strategy and/o 	r action	plan?					X 🗌
	– formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national							ΥΠ

Ī	interagency enforcement committee?			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement details:	and prov	ride additio	onal

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do?		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo	ou find of value?	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes	er
		No X	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used ar equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be specified to the specific to your specific to your.	uld be made:	nt
	tools useful to you:		

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No No information	X 🗆
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available		
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	Yes No No information	X
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: Article 256-261 of the Criminal Code.	ildlife trafficking offences to	o be
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the investigation of CITES offences?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITE collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility		nd/or

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

	another country) during the period covered in this report:				
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to:				
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report? If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any other Parties:	species	5	Yes No No information med which might	
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	offence		Yes No No information	X 🗆
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?
	General crime ²			Χ□	
	Predicate offences ³			Χ□	
	Asset forfeiture ⁴			X 🗌	
	Corruption ⁵			X 🗌	
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁶			X 🗌	
	Organized crime ⁷			X 🗌	
	Specialized investigation techniques8			Χ□	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which r				
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the leprovisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offer.	ences?		Yes No No information	on X
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major	capaci	ty-build	ding needs:	

A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

³ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials

⁶ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	Ш
		Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	X 🗌
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	ΧΠ
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	X 🗌
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	Χ□
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	X 🗌

-

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the period covered in this report:		Yes	No	No Information
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	Χ□		
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offend details:	es. If avai	lable, pleas	e attach
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?		X 🗆	
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please at	tach details	:
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?		X 🗆	
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detai	ls:
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply
	 Return to country of export 			X 🗌
	 Public zoos or botanical gardens 			X 🗆
	 Designated rescue centres 			X 🗌
	 Approved private facilities 			
	 Euthanasia 			
	Other (please specify):			
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca	ited specir	mens?	
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s?	

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? X Permit officers? Enforcement officers?	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building? We would like to receive more information about the Rules of Procedure, Resolutions, Reports and educational materials for employees staff of management authority.	Yes No No information	X
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	X

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your Management Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.	Yes No	^
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	Yes, in the order of the Cabinet of Ministers clearly describes the procedures for receiving documents and issuing permits.	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	Yes No	=
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?	Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes	No
	– availability of funding?		X 🗌
	– number of staff?		X 🗌
	a shortage of skills?		X 🗌
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?		
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	Yes No	^
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ⁴⁸ ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	Yes No	=
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes	No

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	- availability	of funding?			X 🗌
	- number of	staff?			X 🗆
	a shortage	of skills?			ΧΠ
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	s do you need more of?		
2.2.1c	enforcement a	• ' '	ard(s) ⁴⁷ for your	Yes No	
	•	go to Question 2.2.1d. ich services are there stan	dards, and what are those		
	-	u have performance targets are your performance targe		Yes No	
		ease provide your performating the period covered in the			
	a result of:		gets then was this shortfall	Yes	No
	•	of funding?			
	number ofa shortage				
	_	ortage of skills, which skills	s do you need more of?		
2.2.1d			ur answered 'No' to the first pa e of approved service standar		
	Do you have s	sufficient of the following fo	r your authorities to function e	effectively?	
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforcement Authority(ies)	
	Funding?	Yes No No	Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
	Staff?	Yes No	Yes No No	Yes No	
	Skills?	Yes No No	Yes No	Yes No	
Indicator 2	– cha – hire – dev	anged the budget for activit ed more staff; reloped implementation too			
2.2.2a	covered in this i	report to enhance the effect at the national level?	indertaken during the period ctiveness of CITES	Tick if ap	plicable

Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement

Development of implementation tools

Other (please specify):

2.2.2b	During the period covered in this repor budget for your:	t, was the	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)			Χ□	
	Scientific Authority(ies)			X 🗌	
	Enforcement authorities				
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to inclevel of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority(ies)?			Χ□	
	Scientific Authority(ies)?				
	Enforcement authorities?				
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority fethe national level through the following		ng the effectiv	eness of CITES	implementation at
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff				
	Development of implementation tools				
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	x 🗆			
	e-permitting	X 🗌			
	Other (please specify):				
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing	J.	Yes	Under development	No
	Species information		Χ□		
	Trade information				X 🗆
	Non-detriment findings				x 🗆
L. P. d	O O O O The second on of Postine seising of	1.6.01	TEO: 1		

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	Tick all that are appli	icable
	 Administrative procedures 		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, o the sea) 	r introduction from	
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introdu of CITES-listed species) 	uction from the sea	
	 Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species 		
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 		
	 Use of CITES-listed species 		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 		
	Other (please specify):		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes ☐ N	lo 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the	Secretariat:	
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES of	r wildlife conservation	?
		Entirely	
		Partly	

		Not at all	
		Not relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention? YesNo Due diligence Compensatory mechanisms
	Certification X
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all
	Very little X □
	Somewhat
	Completely

⁻

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

Objective 2.3 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this				Without assistance from the Secretariat			Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			N	lone		X 🗌		X 🗌
				1 2-5				
			(2-3 6-10				
			1	1-20				
		N	Nore tha	an 20				
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	involve	d:					
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities	have ta	aken pla	ce?				
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ve?	ſ	т		T		
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)		Details
	Staff of Management Authority	X 🗌	X 🗌	Χ□] X			
	Staff of Scientific Authority	X 🗆	X 🗆	ΧC] X			
	Staff of enforcement authorities							
	Traders / other user groups							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other (please specify)							

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	X
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details: WWF; FAO; TGS.		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	X

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?							information \square
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)
								-

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally.

Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following		Relevant
J.Z. 1a	activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's		User
	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Groups
	- Press conferences		
	- Press releases		
	Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets	X 🗌	
	Television appearances		
	Radio appearances		
	- Presentations		
	Public consultations / meetings		
	Market surveys		
	- Displays		
	Information at border crossing points		
	Telephone hotline		
	Website(s) – if so please provide link(s)	XΠ	
	https://www.facebook.com/birpencereixracadestekmerkezi/videos/2138935356330253/	_	
	- Other (specify):		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known	
	Staff of Management Authority		Χ□	X 🗌			
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES v	vebsite?	Exce	ellent		
				Goo	d		
				Ave	rage	X	
				Poo	r		
				Very	Poor		
				No i	nformation		
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc):						

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	X
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description:		

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has yo contributed towards?	our country	0
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your count implemented which integrate CITES issues?	ry	5
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?	X 🗌	
	Agencies for trade?	Χ□	
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	X 🗌	
	Local authorities or communities?	X 🗌	
	Indigenous or local peoples?		Χ□
	Trade or other private sector associations?	X 🗌	
	NGOs?		
	Other (please specify)		
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?	x 🗌	
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		Χ□
	Planning processes?	X 🗌	
	National accounting?		Χ□

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3	.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating governmental organizations to participate in and/or full and capacity-building activities.			
3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if appli	icable orç	Which ganizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?			
	Non-governmental organizations?			
Objective	development goals set at WSSD, the <i>Strategic Pla</i> relevant <i>Aichi Biodiversity Targets</i> , and the relevance Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthe in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable level. This objective may also be assessed by a variety of including action taken to implement many of the CITE Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.	an for Biodi ant outcome ned by ensu els. If means be S resolutions let 5, Targe	es of the Uring that interest eyond the res and decision 6, Target	-2020 and the United Nations renational trade porting format, ons. 7, Target 12,
3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? Appendix I Appendix II Appendix III If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief sum Gazella Panthera pardus		No N	ot Applicable
3.4.1b	Phasianus Do you have examples of specific examples of success storic emerging problems with any CITES listed species? If 'Yes', please provide details: Reintroduction of Gazella	No		X 🗆
Indicator 3	.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into th Action Plan (NBSAP).	eir National	Biodiversity	Strategy and
3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?		Yes No No informat	ion
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environ Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of implementation?		Yes No No informat	X ion

Objective	3.5	Parties and the Secretariat or agreements dealing with natur and collaborative approach to including those which are commachined to the Target 2, Target 4, Target Target 19.	ral resou species nercially	urces, as which exploite	s approcants approcants approcants approcess. Since the contraction of	opriate e enda	, in o anger	order to achieve a coherent ed by unsustainable trade
Indicator 3.	.5.1:	The number of cooperative agreements to prevent specie trade.						
3.5.1a	3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details: The 1st Commission for the conservation and rational use of aquatic biological resources and management of shared stocks of such resources of the Caspian sea.					the and	Yes X No No information	
Indicator 3.	.5.2:	The number of times other rele natural resources are consulted						
3.5.2a	that agre	rage number of times per year international organizations or ements have been consulted ITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	☐ 6-20 times	☐ More than 20 times	☐ No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Scien	ntific Authority(ies)						
		rcement Authority(ies)						
Please pro	vide a	Genny additional comments you wou	neral fee			ng com	nment	ts on this format.
Copy of fu Web link(s		of CITES-relevant legislation if ch	nanged		Not	losed availab viously		ded X
Please list	any m	naterials annexed to the report, e	g. fee s	chedule	s, awa	ireness	raisii	ng materials, etc:
your coun	try req	raints to implementation of the Couiring attention or assistance? escribe the constraint and the type					nform	Ш
-		oles of good practice you would li				Yes No	nform	X 🗆
If 'Yes' ple	ase pr	ovide details / links:						

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

How could this report format be improved?