



Implementation report 2015 - 2017 AUSTRIA

Bundesministerium Nachhaltigkeit und Tourismus

Party	Austria
Period covered in this report	2015 - 2017
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry for Sustainability and Tourism Dept. Nature Conservation and Species Protection
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	

GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures. All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes No X If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable I If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)? Yes No X If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: Dependence on EU mechanism

- **Objective 1.2** Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens. Aichi Target 3.
- Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	\boxtimes		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	\bowtie		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	\square		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries			
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		\boxtimes	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		\boxtimes	
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?	\boxtimes		

If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challe	enge	s face	d oi	r issues	over	come:
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting ¹ ?						
If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain	ז wh	at mig	jht h	nelp you	i to d	o so:

Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in <u>Resolution</u> <u>Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16)</u>.

1.2.2a	2.2a Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?				
		-	Tick all applicable		
		Yes	No	No information	
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of <u>Resolution Conf. 12.3</u> (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.				
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.		\boxtimes		
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		\boxtimes		
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.				
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details: We are in the process of evaluating areas where use of simplified procedures has merit				

Objective 1.3 Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties.

All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where the reporting requirements are listed])?			
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements $oxed{eq}$			
	Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting requirements			
	Responses provided to NONE of the relevant reporting requirements			
	No special reporting requirements applicable			
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties? Yes □ No ⊠			
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?			

¹ e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

Objective 1.4 The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):	

Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.					14.	
 Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting country the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment finding a) the population status of Appendix-II species; b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the ir recovery plans. 					triment findings	related to:
1.5.1a		e any surveys, studies or other analyses been ertaken <u>in your country</u> in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the	population status of Appendix II species?		\boxtimes		
		trends and impact of trade on Appendix II cies?		\boxtimes		
		status of and trend in naturally-occurring pendix I species?		\boxtimes		
		impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I cies?		\boxtimes		
	relev	e the surveys, studies or analyses integrated vant knowledge and expertise of local and jenous communities?			\boxtimes	

	If there are such studies that you are willing Species name (scientific)	A brief summa or other analy stable / increa	e provide: ary of the results o sis (e.g. populatio ase, off-take levels hed reference ma	on status, de s etc), or pro	ecline /
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that app		ses used in makir Revised harve	st or export	
		Disc	Stricter of hanged manager ussion with Mana Discussion with o provide a short su	lomestic me nent of the s gement Autl ther stakeho	asures pecies horities olders?
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measure plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I liste If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incl	d species?	Yes No Not Applicable No information le, an evaluation o	of their	
1.5.1d	impact: NA Have you published any non-detriment findin If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to	-		No 🖂	
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings			Yes	No
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning sp distribution and population trends.	ecies biology, l	ife history,	\boxtimes	
	B. details of any ecological risk assessment	s conducted.		\boxtimes	
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest lo from harvest and other impacts.	cations and at	sites protected	\boxtimes	
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of loca	I and indigenou	s communities.		
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and internation	al experts.	\boxtimes	
	F. national and international trade informatio the CITES trade database maintained by UN Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publicati on trade and investigations of sales at mark example.	NEP World Con ions on trade, lo	servation ocal knowledge		

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a					No
			Yes	No	information
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with <u>Resolution Conf. 16.7</u> ?			\bowtie	
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making no or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where on the internet:				found
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follo	owing			
	guidance been used?	Ū	Plea	se tick	all that apply
	Virtual	College		[
	IUCN C	Checklist		[\boxtimes
	Resolution Co	Resolution Conf. 16.7		\bowtie	
	2008 NDF we	2008 NDF workshop		\boxtimes	
	Species specific g	uidance		[\boxtimes
		Other		[\boxtimes
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:	Guidanc	e dev	elopec	I nationally
1.5.2c	How often do you review and/or change your non-	Case by	case		\bowtie
	detriment findings?	Annually			\boxtimes
		Every two	o yeai	ſS	
		Less freq	uently	/	
		A mix of t	the ab	ove	
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detrimen	t findings	would	be cha	anged:
	New scientific evidence, new SRG findings				

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes	
		No	\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how		
	quotas are set:		Other,
		Population	please
	Species Name (scientific)	Survey?	specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will	Yes	
	ensure sustainable production and consumption?	No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	finding process:	
	We have set no export quotas given the minimal export	of specimens taken fror	n the wild

Objective 1.6 Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.			
Indicator 1.6.1: The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide management of shared CITES listed species by range States.			
	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes No If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved:		

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative in place for shared population	Yes 🗌 No 🔀					
	If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species.						
	Species Name (scientific)	Link or reference to a published plan					

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?							
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources ¹ ?	
	Staff of Management Authority	\square					Other EU MAs	
	Staff of Scientific Authority	\square					Other EU SAs	
	Staff of enforcement authorities	\square					Other EU EAs	
	Traders							
	NGOs							
	Public							
	Other (please specify):							

¹ Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders	\square	\square				Musical industry
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other Parties/International meetings						
	Other (please specify)						
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES P	arties?			
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Alwavs	Further detail / examples
	Information exchange			\square]
	Monitoring / survey]
	Habitat management]
	Species management		\square]
	Law enforcement			\square]
	Capacity building]
	Other (please provide details)						

Objective 1.7

Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:

- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;
- _ formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network;
- _
- a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement _ committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information			
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?	\square					
	 formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network? a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan? 						
	 formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee? 						
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details: Taskforce meetings (e.g. EU Action Plan) and cooperation in operational areas						

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No					
		No information					
	If 'Yes', what do you do?						
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo Internet, Management Authorities	ou find of value? Experienc	θ,				
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating	Yes					
	Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	No, but toolkit use is unde	r				
		No 🛛					
		No information					
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used an equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that cou						
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to make the toolkit or equivalent tools useful to you:						

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes X					
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available						
	Species Trade Act (2010), max. 5 years imprisonment, and if not court-relevant max. € 80,000						
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime ¹ in your country?	Yes No No information					
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes:	ildlife trafficking offences to be					
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology ² to support the investigation of CITES offences?	YesImage: Constraint of the second secon					
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITES-listed species that were collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility (located in your country and/or another country) during the period covered in this report: elephant tusks If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to: origin, age						

¹ The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

² Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary ¹ Yes Image: second sec							
	during the period covered in this report?	5	No No informatio	n 🗆				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties: Taskforce meetings, email, network meetings, via phone if short distances							
1.7.3e	eDo you have a standard operating procedure among relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?YesImage: Comparison No 							
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?			
	General crime ²	\square						
	Predicate offences ³	\square						
	Asset forfeiture ⁴	\square						
	Corruption ⁵	\square						
	International cooperation in criminal matters ⁶				Depends on potential max. penalty			
	Organized crime ⁷	\square						
	Specialized investigation techniques ⁸				Depends on potential max. penalty			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which it							
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the le provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offe		Yes No No informati	on 🗌				
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major	capaci	ty-buil	ding needs:				

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITESlisted species.

¹ A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

² General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

³ Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

⁴ Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

⁵ Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

⁶ International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

⁷ Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

⁸ Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always Very often	\square
		Sometimes	
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	\boxtimes
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence ¹ to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	\bowtie
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	\bowtie
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	\boxtimes
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	
		No information	

¹ Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information			
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?						
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offences. If available, please attach details: simplified penalties, seizures, expiration						
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?						
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available, seizures, expirations	please at	tach details	: penalties,			
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES- related offences?	\boxtimes					
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detai	ls: Fines			
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply			
	 Return to country of export 						
	 Public zoos or botanical gardens 			\boxtimes			
	 Designated rescue centres 			\boxtimes			
	 Approved private facilities 			\boxtimes			
	– Euthanasia						
	– Other (please specify):						
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca Costs are often a problem	ited specii	mens?				
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s?				

Objective 1.8 Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings? 🛛 🗌 Permit officers? 🔹 🔲 Enforcement officers? 🔄 🗌	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work? What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 2.1 Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) ¹ for your	Ye	_
	Management Authority(ies)?	No	o 🗌
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those		
	standards?		
	The Management authority shall decide on the issue of permits and certificates within one month of the date of submission of a complete application.		
		Ye	s 🖂
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards ² ?	N	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		
	Issue of permit/certificate within 2 weeks of application		
		Ye	s 🗌
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?	No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:	Permits/certificat es are, with rare exceptions, issued well within the 4 weeks	
		provided for in EC Reg. 865/2006	5
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:		No
		EC Reg. 865/2006	No ⊠
	a result of:	EC Reg. 865/2006	No
	a result of: – availability of funding?	EC Reg. 865/2006	No ⊠
	a result of: – availability of funding? – number of staff?	EC Reg. 865/2006	No ⊠ ⊠
2.2.1b	 a result of: availability of funding? number of staff? a shortage of skills? If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? Do you have an approved service standard(s)⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)? But see response to 2.2.1a If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those 	EC Reg. 865/2006	No X X X
2.2.1b	 a result of: availability of funding? number of staff? a shortage of skills? If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? Do you have an approved service standard(s)⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)? But see response to 2.2.1a If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards? 	EC Reg. 865/2006	
2.2.1b	 a result of: availability of funding? number of staff? a shortage of skills? If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of? Do you have an approved service standard(s)⁴⁷ for your Scientific Authority(ies)? But see response to 2.2.1a If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those 	EC Reg. 865/2006	

¹ For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

² For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	standards dur If you did not a result of: – availability – number of – a shortage		his report: gets then was this shortfall	Yes		No
2.2.1c	Do you have a enforcement a	an approved service standa authority(ies)?	ard(s) ⁴⁷ for your		Yes No	\square
	lf 'No', please	go to Question 2.2.1d.				
	If 'Yes', for wh standards?	ich services are there stan	dards, and what are those			
	If 'Yes', do you	u have performance targets	s for these standards48?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what a	are your performance targe	ts?		No	
	standards dur If you did not a result of: – availability – number of – a shortage If 'Yes' to a sh	of skills? ortage of skills, which skills	his report: gets then was this shortfall s do you need more of?	Yes		
2.2.1d			ir answered 'No' to the first pa e of approved service standa			
		-	••	-		5.
	Do you nave :	-	r your authorities to function e			
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforc Author		
	Funding?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🖂	No 🗌		
	Staff?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
	Skills?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🖂 No 🗌	Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
				L		

Indicator 2.2.2: The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities; hired more staff; _
- _
- _
- developed implementation tools; purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement. _

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES	
	implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable
	Hiring of more staff	
	Development of implementation tools	
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	
	Other (please specify):	

2.2.2b	During the period covered in this repor budget for your:	ring the period covered in this report, was the dget for your:		Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)				\square
	Scientific Authority(ies)			\square	
	Enforcement authorities			\square	
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to inc level of implementation of your		Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority(ies)?				\square
	Scientific Authority(ies)?				\square
	Enforcement authorities?				\square
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority f the national level through the following		ng the effectiv	eness of CITES	implementation at
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff	\boxtimes			
	Development of implementation tools			\square	
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement				
	e-permitting				\square
	Other (please specify): awareness in judiciary	\boxtimes			
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No
	Species information				\boxtimes
	Trade information		\square		
	Non-detriment findings			\square	

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for:	Tick all that are appl	icable
	 Administrative procedures 		
	 Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, o the sea) 	r introduction from	\boxtimes
	 Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introdu of CITES-listed species) 	iction from the sea	
	– Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species		\square
	 Harvesting of CITES-listed species 		
	 Use of CITES-listed species 		
	 Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species 		
	 Other (please specify): 		
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes 🖂 N	lo 🗌
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the <u>https://www.bmnt.gv.at/umwelt/natur-artenschutz/cites/formulare/gebue</u>		
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES o	r wildlife conservatior	ı?
		Entirely	
		Partly	
		Not at all	\boxtimes

		Not relevant	
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		\square
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		\boxtimes
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?		\square
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		\boxtimes
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures ¹ such as those described in <u>CoP14 Doc 14.32</u> to implement the Convention? YesNo
	Due diligence
	Compensatory mechanisms 🛛 🖂
	Certification
	Communal property rights
	Auctioning of quotas \Box
	Cost recovery or environmental charges 🗌 🖂
	Enforcement incentives \Box
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all
	Very little
	Somewhat
	Completely

¹ Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

- **Objective 2.3** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacitybuilding programmes. Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.
- Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this			e	Without from the Secreta	е	ance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat
			Ν	lone			
				1			
				2-5			
				6-10 1-20			
		N	ı Nore tha	-			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions						
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities capacity of our Scientific Authorities breeding claims						
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ave?					
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority				\square		
	Staff of enforcement authorities		\square		\square		
	Traders / other user groups	\boxtimes			\square		
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

¹ An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

- **Objective 3.1** Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities. Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.
- Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	a Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?					Yes No No	information	
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management ¹	Habitat Management ²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)
	Africa							Co-funding workshops

¹ Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

² Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's		Relevant User
	requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	Groups
	 Press conferences 		
	 Press releases 	\boxtimes	
	 Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets 	\boxtimes	
	 Television appearances 		
	 Radio appearances 		
	 Presentations 		\boxtimes
	 Public consultations / meetings 		\boxtimes
	 Market surveys 		
	– Displays		
	 Information at border crossing points 		
	 Telephone hotline 		
	 Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) <u>https://www.bmnt.gv.at/umwelt/natur-artenschutz/cites.html</u> 	\boxtimes	
	 Other (specify): 		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?					
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Staff of Management Authority					
	Staff of Scientific Authority		\square			
	Staff of enforcement authorities		\square			
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the	CITES w	vebsite?	Exc	ellent	\boxtimes
				Goo	d	
				Ave	rage	
				Poo	r	
				Very	/ Poor	
				No i	nformation	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? which authorities find which functions/tools mos					intered,

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective 3.3 Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) ¹ to which your country is party? If 'Yes', please give a brief description:	Yes No No information	
--------	---	-----------------------------	--

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has y contributed towards?	our country	two	
3.3.2b	.2b In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?			
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No	
	Agencies for development?		\square	
	Agencies for trade?			
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	\square		
	Local authorities or communities?		\square	
	Indigenous or local peoples?			
	Trade or other private sector associations?	\square		
	NGOs?	\square		
	Other (please specify)			
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No	
	National and local development strategies?		\square	
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?			
	Planning processes?		\square	
	National accounting?		\square	

¹ CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		
	Non-governmental organizations?	\boxtimes	IUCN-SULi

Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?	No	o Not Applicable				
	Appendix I						
	Appendix II						
	Appendix III						
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:						
	Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary						
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or	Yes	i	\boxtimes			
	emerging problems with any CITES listed species?						
			informatic	on 🗌			
	If 'Yes', please provide details: The continuing decline of high-value CITES-listed species	e					

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation?	Yes No No information	

- Objective 3.5 Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.
- Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade.

3.5.1a	Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade? If 'Yes', please provide details:	Yes No No information	
--------	---	-----------------------------	--

Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

3.5.2a						-	
	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)		\square				IUCN
	Scientific Authority(ies)						
	Enforcement Authority(ies)						

General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Item						
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed					
Web link(s)	Not available					
	Previously provided	\boxtimes				
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules, awareness raising materials, etc:						
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in	n Yes					
your country requiring attention or assistance?	No	\boxtimes				
	No Information					
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or assistance that is required.						
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with c	ther Yes					
Parties?	No	\boxtimes				
	No Information					
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:						
How could this report format be improved?						

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.