Party	Mongolia
Period covered in this report	1 Jan. 2015 to 31 Dec. 2016
Department or agency preparing this report	Ministry of Nature, Environment and Tourism
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	

## GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

#### Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report?         Yes       No         If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat?       Yes         No       No
	If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)?       Yes X       No         If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced:       Yes X       No

#### **Objective 1.2** Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens. Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	$\mathbf{k}$		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries		<b>A</b>	
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		×	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		×	
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation		X	

**Objective 1.1** Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures. All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challer	nges faced	or issues o	vercome
If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting <sup>1</sup> ?	X		X

#### Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in <u>Resolution</u> <u>Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16)</u>.

1.2.2a	as your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?			
		Tick all applicable		
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of <u>Res olution Conf. 12.3</u> (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.		×	
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <u>Article VII</u> , paragraph 2.		¥	
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		×	
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.		×	
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures? If 'Yes', please provide details:		X	

#### **Objective 1.3** Implementation of the Convention at the national level is consistent with decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties. All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, Target 14 and Target 18.

### Indicator 1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevant reporting under Resolutions and Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standing Committee recommendations.

<u>1.3.1a</u>	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting requirements that are active during					
	the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolutions and Decisions of the					
	Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendations, and Notifications issued by					
	the Secretariat (see [ link to location on the CIT ES webs ite where the repor ting requir					
	<mark>em ents ar e</mark>					
	lis ted])?					
	Responses provided to ALL relevant reporting requirements					
	X Responses provided to SOME of the relevant reporting					
	requirements Responses provided to NONE of the relevant					
	reporting requirements					

No special reporting requirements applicable 🗌

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in this report in implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties?       Yes X No
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision(s), and, for each, what difficulties were / are being encountered?

#### **Objective 1.4** The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19.

1.4.1: The number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria contained in Resolution Conf. 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendment proposals.

1.4.1a	Have you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing on the CITES Appendices?	Yes 🛛 No
	If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online): The National Programs for Conservation of Rare species has been implemented For example, the National Program on Conservation of Gobi bear.	by the Governmen

### **Objective 1.5**Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings.<br/>Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.

- Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to:
  - a) the population status of Appendix-II species;
  - b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and
  - c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

Not

If Voo

<u>1.5.1a</u>	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been		Not Applicable	If Yes, <u>How</u>
-	undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes		many?
	No			
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	X		
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?	×		
	- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	<b>⊢</b> ¥		
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?	×		
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and <b>X</b> indigenous communities?			

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:	I
	<ul> <li>A brief summary of the results of the survey, study or other analysis (e.g. population status, decline / stable / increase, off-take levels etc), or provide links to published reference material.</li> <li>Equus ferus przewalskii</li> <li>Ursus arctos gobiensis</li> <li>Panthera uncial</li> <li>Saiga borealis</li> <li>Canis lupus</li> <li>Ursus arctos</li> <li>Ovis ammon 8. Falco cherrug</li> </ul>	
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies or other analyses used in making non-detriment findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that apply Revised harvest or export quotas Banning export & Stricter domestic measures Changed management of the species Discussion with Management X Authorities Discussion with other X stakeholders	
1.5.1c	Other (please provide a short summary): Do you have specific conservation measures or recovery Yes X plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed species? No Not Applicable No information If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including, if possible, an evaluation of their impact: The measures has taken to increase population of Mongolian wild horse, Gobi bear, and Mongolian saiga. In case for Snow Leopard, illegal trade responsibility is improved.	
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findings that can be shared? Yes No X If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretariat within this report:	
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a) x) of Res olution Conf. 16.7)       Yes         No do you use in making non-detriment findings?       Image: Construct of the provided structure concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends. X       Image: Constructure concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends. X         B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.       Image: Constructure concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends. X         D. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.       Image: Constructure concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends. X         D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities.X       Image: Consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts. X         F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example. X	

# Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a			Yes	No	No informa	tion
	De serve hanne de andere esta de la forma de la tricca de					
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with <u>Res olution Conf. 16.7</u> ? <b>NO X</b>					
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-d or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the on the internet:				found	
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follow	ina				
1.0.25	guidance been used?	ing	Pleas	se tick	all that ap	oply
	Virtual Co	ollege				
	IUCN Checklis	t X			Ó	
	Resolution Conf.	. 16.7				
	2008 NDF work	shop				
	Species specific guid	lance				
		Other				
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:					
1.5.2c		ase by c	case			
	detriment findings? A	nnually				
	E	very two	year:	s		
	L	ess freq	uently	,		
	A	mix of t	he ab	ove		
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment fir	ndings w	vould l	be cha	anged:	
	To clarify the situation of non-detriment finding's change					

#### Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:		Other,
	Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	please specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption?	Yes X No	
	If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detrime		

Objective	<b>1.6</b> Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.			
Indicator 1	.6.1: The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for co- management of shared CITES listed species by range States.			
1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species? Yes X No If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: China, Russia, South Korea			
Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for sh populations of CITES-listed species.				
1.6.2a	Do you have any cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species? Yes X No			
	If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference			

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

Link or reference to a published plan

1.6.3a	Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.	Iral or written dvice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external
		<u>o</u> t	ĔĞ	йШ	F	0	sources <sup>2</sup> ?
	Staff of Management Authority	X			X		
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs	x			x		
	Public						
	Other (please specify):						

to a published plan for each species.

Species Name (scientific)

Ursus arctos gobiensis

1.6.3b	b Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other Parties/International meetings						
	Other (please specify)						
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	ITES Pa	rties?		_	1
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Further detail / examples
	Information exchange		X				
	Monitoring / survey		X				
	Habitat management		X				
	Species management		X				n,
	Law enforcement		X				
	Capacity building						
	Other (please provide details)						

**Objective 1.7** Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade.

Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.7.1:

The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with: – an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;

- an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;
   formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network;
- a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and
- formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement
  - committee.

1.7.1a	Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:	Yes	No	No Information
	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?			X
	– formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?			$\mathbf{A}$
	– a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?			Å

	– formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement a details:	and provid	de addition	al

### Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	Yes No, but review is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do?		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do yo	ou find of value?	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	Yes No, but toolkit use is under consideration No No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used an equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that cou If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to m tools useful to you:	ıld be made:	

# Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available	No information	
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime <sup>3</sup> in your country?	Yes	
	recognized as serious crime <sup>°</sup> in your country?	No	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes:	ildlife trafficking offences t	o be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology <sup>4</sup> to s investigation of CITES offences?	support	the	Yes No No information	□ 2¥ n □			
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any sample collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic an another country) during the period covered in this rep	alysis f						
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facility for CITES-listed species, please indicate which species it applies to:							
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi- law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed s during the period covered in this report?			Yes No No informatio	n			
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any other Parties:	/ lessoi	ns lear	ned which migh	nt be helpful for			
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	offence		n 🗌				
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?			
	General crime <sup>6</sup>							
	Predicate offences <sup>7</sup>							
	Asset forfeiture <sup>8</sup>							
	Corruption <sup>9</sup>							
	International cooperation in criminal matters <sup>10</sup>							
	Organized crime <sup>11</sup>							
	Specialized investigation techniques <sup>12</sup>							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each is used for CITES offences? Please provide a brief summary, including any lessons learned which might be helpful for other Parties:					
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the legislative provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offences?	Yes No No information				
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs:					

### Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	YesX No No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence <sup>13</sup> to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Always Very often Sometimes Rarely Never No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	Yes No, but activities are under development No No information	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

## Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	×		
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offenc details:	es. If avail	able, please	e attach
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?	×		
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please att	ach details:	
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES- related offences?			
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detail	S:
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply
	<ul> <li>Return to country of export</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Public zoos or botanical gardens</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Designated rescue centres</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Approved private facilities</li> </ul>			
	– Euthanasia			
	<ul> <li>Other (please specify):</li> </ul>			
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca	ited specir	nens?	
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Parties	s?	

#### **Objective 1.8** Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support:	Yes	No
	The making of non-detriment findings?		
	NO Permit officers?		
	Enforcement officers?		
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work?	Yes No <b>X</b> No information	
	What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?		
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes? What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No <b>X</b> No information	

## GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

**Objective 2.1** Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

- **Objective 2.2** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.
- Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>14</sup> for your Management Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d. If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?		Yes No <b>X</b>	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>15</sup> ? If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		Yes No	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			
	– number of staff?			
	– a shortage of skills?			
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?			
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes <b>X</b> No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	<ul> <li>number of</li> </ul>	staff?				
	<ul> <li>a shortage</li> </ul>	of skills?				
	If 'Yes' to a sh	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?			
2.2.1c	Do you have a enforcement a	an approved service standa authority(ies)?	rd(s) <sup>47</sup> for your		Yes No	
	lf 'No', please	go to Question 2.2.1d.				
	If 'Yes', for wh standards?	ich services are there stand	lards, and what are those			
	lf 'Yes', do you	u have performance targets	for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?		Yes	
	If 'Yes', what a	are your performance target	is?		No	
		ease provide your performa ing the period covered in th				
	If you did not i a result of:	meet your performance targ	gets then was this shortfall	Yes		No
	<ul> <li>availability</li> </ul>	of funding?				
	- number of	staff?				
	<ul> <li>– a shortage</li> </ul>	of skills?				
	J. J	ortage of skills, which skills	do you need more of?			
2.2.1d			r answered 'No' to the first pa e of approved service standar			:
	Do you have s	sufficient of the following for	your authorities to function e	ffectively?		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforce Authorit		
	Funding?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	Yes 🗌 I	No X	
	Staff?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 I	No 🗌	
	Skills?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes 🗌 I	No 🗌	

### The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities: changed the budget for activities; hired more staff; Indicator 2.2.2:

- developed implementation tools; \_
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement. \_

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertaken during the period covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness of CITES	
	implementation at the national level?	Tick if applicable
	Hiring of more staff	
	Development of implementation tools	
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	
	Other (please specify):	

2.2.2b	budget for your:		Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)				
	Scientific Authority(ies)				
	Enforcement authorities				
2.2.2c	2cHave you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of yourManagement Authority(ies)?		Yes	No	Not applicable
	Scientific Authority(ies)?				
	Enforcement authorities?				
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority for the national level through the following		ng the effectiv	eness of CITES	implementation at
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff	X			
	Development of implementation tools	X			
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement	X			
	e-permitting	X			
	Other (please specify):				
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing		Yes	Under development	No
	Species information		X		
	Trade information		X		

#### The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other Indicator 2.2.3: mechanisms.

2.2.3a	Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick all that are applicable
	- Administrative procedures
	<ul> <li>Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introduction from the sea)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction from the sea         of CITES-listed species)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species</li> </ul>
	- Harvesting of CITES-listed species
	– Use of CITES-listed species
	<ul> <li>Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species</li> </ul>
	- Other (please specify):
2.2.3b	Is a fee schedule publicly available? Yes No
	If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:

2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlife conservation?			
		Entirely		
		Partly		
		Not at all		
		Not relevant		
2.2.3d		Yes	No	
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?			
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?			
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?			
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details:			
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?			
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:			

### Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures <sup>16</sup> such as those described in <u>CoP14 Doc 14.32</u> to implement the						
	Convention?	Yes	No				
	Due diligence						
	Compensatory mechanisms						
	Certification	$\mathbf{X}$					
	Communal property rights						
	Auctioning of quotas						
	Cost recovery or environmental charges						
	Enforcement incentives						
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summa further information:	ary or link to					
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated?	Not at all					
		Very little					
		Somewhat					
		Completely					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

**Objective 2.3** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes. Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a	you run during the period covered in this report? f			Without from the Secreta	Э	stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat	
			Ν	lone			
				1			
				2-5			
				6-10 1-20			
		1	ı More tha	-			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions	-					
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities	have ta	aken pla	ce?			
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ve?	-				
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority	Ň	×	×			
	Staff of Scientific Authority	X	×	$\mathbf{k}$	$\mathbf{F}$		
	Staff of enforcement authorities	X	X				
	Traders / other user groups	×			ď		
	NGOs	×	$\mathbf{k}$	$\mathbf{k}$	$\mathbf{x}$		
	Public	X			X		
	Other (please specify)						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

#### GOAL 3 CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

- **Objective 3.1** Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities. Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.
- Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes <b>X</b> No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased <b>X</b>	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?						Yes No No	information
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided Country(ies)	Species Management <sup>18</sup>	Habitat Management <sup>19</sup>	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)
	<ol> <li>Germany</li> <li>Japan</li> <li>Sauda Arab</li> </ol>							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

#### **Objective 3.2** Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

<u>3.2.1a</u>	Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following		Relevant
	activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?	Wider public	User Groups
	<ul> <li>Press conferences</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Press releases</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets X</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Television appearances</li> </ul>		
	– Radio appearances X		
	– Presentations		
	<ul> <li>Public consultations / meetings</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Market surveys</li> </ul>		
	– Displays		
	<ul> <li>Information at border crossing points</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Telephone hotline</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Website(s) – if so please provide link(s)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Other (specify):</li> </ul>		
	Please attach copies of any items or describe examples:		

#### Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?						
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary). Target group	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known	
	Staff of Management Authority			X			
	Staff of Scientific Authority			Č			
	Staff of enforcement authorities				X		
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with using the (	CITES w	ebsite?	Exce	ellent		
				Goo	d		
				Ave	rage X		
				Poo	r		
				Ve	ery Poor		
				N	o informatio	n 🗌	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? ( which authorities find which functions/tools mos					intered	

Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

Objective	3.3	Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development is enhanced.								
Indicator 3.	3.1	The number of Parties which report that they have a implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related convinultilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.	rentions and other							
3.3.1a	duplic natior (e.g. t Rams	measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce cation of activities between the national CITES authorities and hal focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, sar, WHC) <sup>20</sup> to which your country is party? s', please give a brief description:	Yes No No information							

### Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has y contributed towards?	our country	
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your coun implemented which integrate CITES issues?	itry	
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?		
	Agencies for trade?		
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?		
	Local authorities or communities?	Ň	
	Indigenous or local peoples?		
	Trade or other private sector associations?		
	NGOs?	X	
	Other (please specify)		
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?	Х	
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?	$\square$	
	Planning processes?		
	National accounting?	X	
		- D	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3: The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		Ministry of N.E.T
	Non-governmental organizations?		Mongolian professional fauna and flora organization united association

**Objective 3.4** The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets,* and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions. Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

of naturally occurring species in your country listed o	n the			
CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?	Y	fes	No	Not Applicable
Арр	endix I <b>X</b>			
Арре	endix II <b>X</b>			
Ар	pendix III			
If there are such studies that you are willing to share	please provid	de:		
Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a b	orief summary			
1. Uncia uncial The resp	onsibility syste	em is		
improved and conservation m	easures has t	aken		
2. Lunx lynx				
3. Onocolobus manul				
4. Canis lupus				
5. Equus przevalskii				
6. Saiga tatarica mongolica				
7. Falco rusticolus				
8. Falco peregrinus				
Do you have examples of specific examples of succ	ess stories or	Yes X	(	
emerging problems with any CITES listed species?		No		
		No	informat	ion 🗖
IT Yes', please provide details:				
	of naturally occurring species in your country listed of CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? App Appendices has stabilized or improved? App If there are such studies that you are willing to share, Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a b 1. Uncia uncial The responding improved and conservation me 2. Lunx lynx 3. Onocolobus manul 4. Canis lupus 5. Equus przevalskii 6. Saiga tatarica mongolica 7. Falco rusticolus 8. Falco peregrinus Do you have examples of specific examples of succes	Appendix I X Appendix II X Appendix III If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provid Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary 1. Uncia uncial The responsibility syste improved and conservation measures has t 2. Lunx lynx 3. Onocolobus manul 4. Canis lupus 5. Equus przevalskii 6. Saiga tatarica mongolica 7. Falco rusticolus 8. Falco peregrinus Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species?	of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? Yes Appendix I X	of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved? Yes No Appendix I X Appendix I X Appendix II X Appendix III C If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide: Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary 1. Uncia uncial The responsibility system is improved and conservation measures has taken 2. Lunx lynx 3. Onocolobus manul 4. Canis lupus 5. Equus przevalskii 6. Saiga tatarica mongolica 7. Falco rusticolus 8. Falco peregrinus Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or Yes X emerging problems with any CITES listed species? No No informat

Ngtificatio	Nas2019£306een incorporated into your country's National	Yes X	page 21
	Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	No No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP implementation Facility ? No information X	Yes No	

**Objective 3.5** Parties and the Secretariat cooperate with other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources, as appropriate, in order to achieve a coherent and collaborative approach to species which can be endangered by unsustainable trade, including those which are commercially exploited. Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12, Target 14 and Target 19. Indicator 3.5.1: The number of cooperative actions taken under established bilateral or multilateral agreements to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade. 3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or Yes multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from Х No being unsustainably exploited through international trade? No information If 'Yes', please provide details:

### Indicator 3.5.2: The number of times other relevant international organizations and agreements dealing with natural resources are consulted on issues relevant to species subject to unsustainable trade.

3.5.2a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities	Once	2-5 times	6-20 times	More than 20 times	No consultation	Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on
	Management Authority(ies)					$\bowtie$	
	Scientific Authority(ies)		×				
	Enforcement Authority(ies)					×	

#### **General feedback**

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

ltem		
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed	Enclosed	
Web link(s)	Not available	
	Previously provided	
Please list any materials annexed to the report, e.g. fee schedules	, awareness raising materials,	etc:
Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in	n Yes	
your country requiring attention or assistance?	No	
	No Information	
If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or a	assistance that is required.	
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with c	other Yes	
Parties?	No	
	No Information	
If 'Yes' please provide details / links:		X
How could this report format be improved?		

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

illegal a	nnual repor	t 2016									-	-			-			
Data of soliture	Species	Description of specimen	Quantity	Unit	Location of incident	Detecting agency		Reason for seizure	Means of transport	Method of concealment	alleged country of origin	Country of transit	Alleged final destination	estimated value in country(MN tugrug)	Nationality of offenders	Law under which charges were brought	Sanction	Disposal of confiscated specimens
01.05 02.02 03.01 03.14 03.21 05.03	Equus hemionus	BOD	10	no.	Dornogobi province Saikhandulaan , Ulaanbadrakh soum, Omnogobi province Nomgon soum	Police	illegal hunting		land	concealed a crime	MN					Article 203,		
04-Apr	Panthera uncia	BOD	1	no.	Omnogobi province, Nomgon soum	Police	illegal hunting		land	concealed a crime	MN					Article 203, Criminal Iaw		

	Saiga tatarica	BOD	6	no.	Hovd province, Chandmani soum		illegal hunting	land	concealed a crime	MN			MN	Criminal law		
2016. 01.13 01.19 02.01	Canis Iupus	SKU MEA SKI	10	no. no. no	Dornod province Khavirga, Sukhbaatar province Bichigt, Southgobi province Shivee khuren frontier port	Police	purported smuggling	port	concealed a crime	MN	CN		MN	Criminal law	punishable by a fine equal to 51 to 250 amounts of minimum salary, 251 to 500 hours of forced labor, with confiscation of property, incarceration	
18-Feb	Falco cherrug	LIV	61	no.	Ulaanbaatar, Songinokhairk han district	Police	illegal catching	land	concealed a crime	MN			MN	Criminal law	for a term of punishable by a fine equal to 51 to 250 amounts of	
12-Mar	Canis Iupus	SKI	2	no.	depot		purported smuggling	railwa y		MN	CN	30\$	CN	Article 290, paragraph 2.2 Customs law	30,000	

	Cistanche deserticol a	plant	120		Southgobi province Khanbogd soum, Gashuun sukhait frontier port		purported smuggling		concealed a crime	MN	CN		MN	Criminal law	punishable by a fine equal to 51 to 250 amounts of minimum salary, 251 to 500 hours of forced labor, with confiscation of property, incarceration for a term of more than 3 to 6 months or imprisonment for a term of 2 to 8 years.	material evidence of crime
09-Jan	Canis Iupus	MEA	0.3		depot	Customs of Zamiin- Uud		у	concealed in hand luggage	MN	CN			Article 290,paragr aph 2.2 Customs law		turned into the state property
12-Jan	Canis lupus	BOD	1	no.			· ·	ar	concealed on car's tire	MN	CN	300,000	MN	Criminal law	transferred to Police department of Sukhbaatar aimag	

22-Jan	Canis	BON	∆1	no.	Road complex	Customs	purported	autoc	concealed	MN	10	CN	12,300	MN	Article	50,000	turned into
	-	(knuckl	- 1	10.			smuggling	ar	on one's				12,000		290,paragr	55,000	the state
	•	`			1	Uud	sinugging								aph 2.2		
		ebone)				ouu			body						Customs		property
															0.000		
29-Jan	Canis	TEE	1	no.	Zamiin-Uud	Customs	purported	railwa	concealed	MN	-	CN	800	MN	law Article	30,000	turned into
	lupus		4	110.	depot	-	smuggling	V	on one's	IVIIN			000		290,paragr	50,000	the state
	iupus				depot	Uud	sinugging	,	body						aph 2.2		
						ouu			body						Customs		property
															law		
01-Feb	Canis	BOD	1	no.	Bichigt port	Customs	purported	autoc	concealed	MN	-	CN	150,000	CN	Article 175,	transferred to	
	lupus	DOD		110.	Dicinigt port	of Bichigt	smuggling	ar	on car	IVIIN			130,000		Criminal	Police	
	iupus					or bicnigt	sinugging	ai	Un cai						law	department of	
															law	Sukhbaatar	
25-Feb	Canis	snout	11 9	no	Road complex	Customs	purported	autoc	concealed	MN	0	CN	2200	MN	Article	province 30 000	turned into
		SKI		no.	1		smuggling	ar	on car				270 000		290,paragr	50,000	the state
	lupus			110.	1	Uud	Sinugging	ai	on car				210 000		aph 2.1		property
						ouu									Customs		property
															law		
28-Mar	Canis	TEE	q	no.	Zamiin-Uud	Customs	purported	railwa	in hand	MN	C	CN	1800		Article	50.000	turned into
_	lupus		Ŭ		depot		smuggling	v	luggage				1000	011	290,paragr	00,000	the state
	lupus				depot	Uud	Sindggiing	у	luggage						aph 2.2		property
						ouu									Customs		property
															law		
29-Mar	Cistanche	plant	0.25	ka	Khavirga	Customs	purported	autoc	concealed	MN	C	CN			Article	50,000	turned into
	deserticol	Plant	0.20				smuggling		in hand						290,paragr	00,000	the state
	a					province	Sindgginig		luggage						aph 2.2		property
	4					province			laggage						Customs		property
															law		
31-Mar	Canis	SKI	2	no.	Road complex	Customs	purported	autoc	concealed	MN	0	CN	60,000	MN	Article	50.000	turned into
-	lupus						smuggling	ar	on one's				,		290,paragr	,	the state
						Uud			body						aph 2.2		property
															Customs		F. 56 - 19
															law		
								-									

08-Apr	Canis	SKI	2	no.	Road complex	Customs	purported	autoc	concealed	MN		CN	60,000	MN	Article	30,000	turned into
	lupus				1	of Zamiin-	smuggling	ar	on one's						290,paragr		the state
						Uud			body						aph 2.2		property
									-						Customs		
															law		
14-Apr	Canis	BON	8	no.	Road complex	Customs	purported	autoc	concealed	MN		CN	2400	CN	Article	40,000	turned into
	lupus	(knuckl			1	of Zamiin-	smuggling	ar	on one's						290,paragr		the state
		ebone)				Uud			body						aph 2.2		property
									-						Customs		
															law		
22-Apr	Canis	snout	3	no.	Road complex	Customs	purported	autoc		MN		CN	1800	MN	Article	30,000	turned into
	lupus				1	of Zamiin-	smuggling	ar							290,paragr		the state
						Uud									aph 2.2		property
															Customs		
															law		
27-Jun	Pelecanu	cape	5	no.	Altanbulag	Customs	purported	autoc	concealed	ΚZ	RU	MN		MN	Article 175,		
	s crispus				port	of	smuggling	ar	on one's						Criminal		
						Selenge			body						law		
						province			-								