A. General information

| Party | CANADA | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Period covered in this report: 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2006 | | | |
| Details of agency preparing this report | CANADIAN ENVIRONMENT | WILDLIFE CANADA | SERVICE, |
| Contributing agencies, organizations or individuals | Wildlife Er Environment Ca | nforcement anada | Directorate, |

B. Legislative and regulatory measures

| 1 | Has information on CI been provided under the Project? If yes, ignore question | ne CITES | National | • | Yes (fu Yes (p No No info | artly) | n/unknown | |
|---|--|--|-----------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------------|-------|
| 2 | If any CITES-relevant I the following details: | egislation | has beer | n planned, drafte | ed or ena | icted, p | lease provid | le |
| | Title and date: | | 5 | Status: | | | | |
| | Brief description of co | ntents: | | | | | | |
| 3 | Is enacted legislation a | Yes | | | | | | |
| | languages of the Convention? | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | No info | ormatio | n | |
| 4 | If yes, please attach a | ve text or legislation attached | | | | | | |
| | key legislative provisio | y legislative provisions that were gazetted. provided previously | | | | | | |
| | | | | | not a later | vailable | , will send | |
| 5 | Which of the following domestic measures ad with Article XIV of the | opted for | CITES-lis | , , | | | ick all applic | able |
| | | Tł | ne condit | ions for: | The co | mplete | prohibition | of: |
| | Issue | Yes | No | No information | Yes | No | No informa | ation |
| | Trade | | | | | | | |
| | Taking | | | | | | | |
| | Possession | | | | | | | |
| | Transport | | | | | | | |
| | Other (specify) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Additional comments | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Canada does not implement the exemptions for pre-Convention specimens (Article VII- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2), captive-bred specimens and artificially propagated plants (Article VII-4 and 5). These | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | measures were adopted at the time the Wild Animal and Plant Protection and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Regulation of International and Interprovincial Trade Act (WAPPRIITA) was put into | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | place; no changes occurred to measures/regulations under WAPPRIITA during the | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | reporting period. Inter-provincial transport of specimens illegally taken in a Province or | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Territory is also addressed by Re | egulatior | s. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | What were the results of any review or assessment of the Tick all applicable | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | effectiveness of CITES legislation, with regard to the following | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | items? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Item Adequate Partially Inadequate No information | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D (OITE) II III | | | Inadequa | ate | | | | | | | |
| | Powers of CITES authorities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Clarity of legal obligations | | | Ц_ | | | Щ | | | | | |
| | Control over CITES trade | | | | | Ш | | | | | | |
| | Consistency with existing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | policy on wildlife management | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | and use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Coverage of law for all types | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of offences | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Coverage of law for all types | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of penalties | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Implementing regulations | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Coherence within legislation | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| | Other (please specify): | | | | | | Ш | | | | | |
| | Please provide details if available | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | was done in 2005-2006 with r | - | | - | | | • | | | | | |
| | pre-convention and captive-br | - | | | | | - | | | | | |
| | However, past analysis has adequate for all measures. | snown | our ao | mestic | iegisi | lation, WAF | PRIIIA, to be | | | | | |
| | adequate for all measures. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | If no review or appearant has | ام مماره | | ممام ممم | | Yes | | | | | | |
| / | If no review or assessment has for the next reporting period? | taken pia | ace, is c | one piani | neu | | | | | | | |
| | lor the next reporting period: | | | | | No No in | <u>\</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | INO IN | formation | | | | | |
| _ | Please provide details if available | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Has there been any review of le | - | | | g sut | ojects Li | ck all applicable | | | | | |
| | in relation to implementation of | tne Con | /ention | : | | | | | | | | |
| | Subject | | | ` | ⁄es | No | No information | | | | | |
| | A t | | | | | \square | | | | | | |
| | Access to or ownership of natural | rai resou | rces | | | | | | | | | |
| | Harvesting | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Transporting of live specimens | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Handling and housing of live spe | ecimens | | | Ш | | | | | | | |
| | Please provide details if available | e: | | | | | | | | | | |

| 9 | Please provide details of any additional measures taken: |
|---|--|
| | |

C. Compliance and enforcement measures

| | | Yes | No | info | No ormation | | | |
|---|---|---------------|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Have any of the following compliance monitoring operat | ions been (| underta | ken? | | | | |
| | Review of reports and other information provided by traders and producers: | | | | | | | |
| | Inspections of traders, producers, markets | | | | | | | |
| | Border controls | | | | | | | |
| | Other (specify) | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related violations? | | |] | | | | |
| 3 | If Yes, please indicate how many and for what types of attach details. Some fines given for minor infractions | violations? | If avai | lable, | please | | | |
| 4 | Have any significant seizures, confiscations and forfeitures of CITES specimens been made? | | | | | | | |
| 5 | If information available: Number | | | | | | | |
| | Significant seizures/confiscations | In 2005 | - 1500 |) seiz | ures | | | |
| | X Total seizures/confiscations | In 2006 | 2006 4800 seizures | | | | | |
| | If possible, please specify per group of species or attach details. | illegal sh | (Increase in 2006 due to illegal shipments of Hoodia products) | | | | | |
| 6 | Have there been any criminal prosecutions of significant CITES-related violations? | | | | 1100 | | | |
| 7 | | | | | letails | | | |
| | If Yes, how many and for what types of violations? If a as Annex. See Annex 1 | /ailable, ple | ase att | acn c | 2014110 | | | |
| 8 | | /ailable, ple | ease att | ach d | | | | |
| 8 | as Annex. See Annex 1 Have there been any other court actions of CITES- | he results? | | | demonstration and the second statements of the | | | |
| | as Annex. See Annex 1 Have there been any other court actions of CITES-related violations? If Yes, what were the violations involved and what were t | he results? | Please | attac | demonstration of the control of the | | | |
| 9 | as Annex. See Annex 1 Have there been any other court actions of CITES-related violations? If Yes, what were the violations involved and what were t as Annex. See Annex 1 – Same information as for 7 above | he results? | Please | attac | h details | | | |
| 9 | as Annex. See Annex 1 Have there been any other court actions of CITES-related violations? If Yes, what were the violations involved and what were tas Annex. See Annex 1 – Same information as for 7 about How were the confiscated specimens usually disposed of | he results? | Please | attac | h details | | | |
| 9 | as Annex. See Annex 1 Have there been any other court actions of CITES-related violations? If Yes, what were the violations involved and what were t as Annex. See Annex 1 – Same information as for 7 above How were the confiscated specimens usually disposed of a Return to country of export Public zoos or botanical gardens Designated rescue centres | he results? | Please | attac | h details | | | |
| 9 | as Annex. See Annex 1 Have there been any other court actions of CITES-related violations? If Yes, what were the violations involved and what were tas Annex. See Annex 1 – Same information as for 7 about How were the confiscated specimens usually disposed of Return to country of export Public zoos or botanical gardens Designated rescue centres Approved, private facilities | he results? | Please | attac | h details | | | |
| 9 | as Annex. See Annex 1 Have there been any other court actions of CITES-related violations? If Yes, what were the violations involved and what were t as Annex. See Annex 1 – Same information as for 7 above. How were the confiscated specimens usually disposed of a Return to country of export. Public zoos or botanical gardens. Designated rescue centres. Approved, private facilities. Euthanasia | he results? | Please | attac | h details | | | |
| 9 | as Annex. See Annex 1 Have there been any other court actions of CITES-related violations? If Yes, what were the violations involved and what were tas Annex. See Annex 1 – Same information as for 7 about How were the confiscated specimens usually disposed of Return to country of export Public zoos or botanical gardens Designated rescue centres Approved, private facilities | he results? | Please | attac | h details | | | |

| 11 | Has detailed information been provided to the Secretariat on significant cases of illegal trade (e.g. through an | Yes No | |
|----|--|---------------------|------|
| | ECOMESSAGE or other means), or information on convicted | Not applicable | |
| | illegal traders and persistent offenders? | No information | |
| | Comments: | | |
| 12 | Have there been any cooperative enforcement activities with | Yes | |
| | other countries | No | |
| | (e.g. exchange of intelligence, technical support, investigative assistance, joint operation, etc.)? | No information | |
| 13 | If Yes, please give a brief description: Work with Interpol, Mexand Africa | kico, US, Europe, A | Asia |
| 14 | Have any incentives been offered to local communities to | Yes | |
| | assist in the enforcement of CITES legislation, e.g. leading to the arrest and conviction of offenders? | No | |
| | the arrest and conviction of offenders? | No information | |
| 15 | If Yes, please describe: | | |
| | | | |
| 16 | Has there been any review or assessment of CITES-related | Yes | |
| | enforcement? | No | X |
| | | Not applicable | |
| | | No information | |
| | Comments: | | |
| 17 | Please provide details of any additional measures taken: | | |
| | | | |

D. Administrative measures

D1 Management Authority (MA)

| 1 | Have there been any changes in the designation of or contact information for the MA(s) which are not yet | Yes No | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--------|
| | reflected in the CITES Directory? | No information | |
| 2 | If Yes, please use the opportunity to provide those changes he | ere. | |
| 3 | If there is more than one MA in your country, has a lead MA been designated? | Yes No No information | |
| 4 | If Yes, please name that MA and indicate whether it is identifi | ed as the lead MA | in the |

Tick if applicable

| | CITES Directory. | | |
|-------|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | The CITES Management Authority at the Canadian Wildlife Se Canada, Conservation Service Delivery & Permitting Division, i identified as such in the CITES Directory. | | is |
| 5 | How many staff work in each MA? | | |
| | The lead MA has a staff of 6; MAs in the Canadian provinces variable staff depending on levels of decentralization. | and territories have | • |
| 6 | Can you estimate the percentage of time they spend on CITES-related matters? | Yes No | |
| | If yes, please give estimation: | No information | 一百日 |
| | Variable in the provinces & territories; 100% for lead MA Staff at Environment Canada | | |
| 7 | What are the skills/expertise of staff within the MA(s)? | Tick if appl | icable |
| | - Administration | | |
| | - Biology | | \boxtimes |
| | - Economics/trade | | |
| | - Law/policy | | \boxtimes |
| | - Other (specify) | | |
| | - No information | | |
| 8 | Have the MA(s) undertaken or supported any research | Yes | |
| | activities in relation to CITES species or technical issues | No | \boxtimes |
| | (e.g. labelling, tagging, species identification) not covered in D2(8) and D2(9)? | No information | |
| 9 | If Yes, please give the species name and provide details of the involved. | kind of research | |
| 10 | Please provide details of any additional measures taken: | | |
| D2 Sc | ientific Authority (SA) | | |
| 1 | Have there been any changes in the designation of or contact | Yes | |
| | information for the SA(s) which are not yet reflected in the | No | |
| | CITES Directory? | No information | |
| 2 | If Yes, please use the opportunity to provide those changes here | e. | |
| 3 | Is the designated Scientific Authority independent from the | Yes | |
| | Management Authority? | No | |
| | The lead Scientific and Management Authorities are found within the same Branch in the Canadian Wildlife Service but scientific non-detriment assessments are made independently of the management authority. | No information | |

What is the structure of the SA(s)?

| | - Government institution | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|------------------|---|--------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Academic o | r research insti | tution | | | | | | | | | |
| | - Permanent | committee | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - Pool of indiv | viduals with ce | rtain expertise | | | | | | | | | |
| | - Other (specify) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | How many staff work in each SA on CITES issues? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Environment Canada (lead) has 5 Scientific Authority staff; SAs in the province territories and other Federal agencies have variable staff | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Can you estimate the percentage of time they spend on Yes CITES-related matters No | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | give estimation | <u>:</u> | | | No informa | ation \square | | | | | |
| | | _ | | other ac | encies: | INO IIIIOIIII | | | | | | |
| | Variable for provinces, territories and other agencies; approximately 75% for the lead SA staff at Environment Canada | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | What are the s | kills/expertise | of staff within th | ne SA(s)? | | Tick | if applicable | | | | | |
| | Botany | | | | | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Ecology | | | | | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Fisheries | | | | | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | Forestry | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Welfare | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Zoology | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | – Other (spe | ecify) Physica | Il science and | d enviror | nmental | | X | | | | | |
| | assessment | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| | No informat | tion | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | = | | oeen undertaken | by the SA | A(s) in | Yes | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | relation to CIT | ES species? | | | | No | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | No informa | ation 🗌 | | | | | |
| 9 | If Yes, please (involved. | give the specie | s name and prov | ride details | of the k | ind of resea | arch | | | | | |
| | Species name | Populations | Distribution | Off take | Legal trade | Illegal trade | Other (specify) | | | | | |
| | Goldenseal <i>Hydrastis</i> canadensis | | Province of Ontario | | | | Studies on status | | | | | |
| | American ginseng <i>Panax</i> quinquefolius | | Provinces of Ontario and Quebec | | | | Study on Market Trends | | | | | |
| | 3 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | etc. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.0. | | <u> </u> | | | No informa | etion \square | | | | | |
| 1 | Have one pro | not proposals fa | or cojontific roses | arob boos | | Yes | | | | | | |
| 1 0 | | | or scientific resea under Resolution | | .2? | 162 | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | No No information | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|------------|--|-------------|--|
| 1 | Please provide deta | ails of a | any additi | onal mea | sures | taken: | No illioillation | <u> </u> | |
| | nforcement Authorit | ies | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Has the Secretari | at beer | informed | d of any e | enforc | ement | Yes | \boxtimes | |
| | authorities that have been designated for the receipt of | | | | | | No | | |
| | confidential enfor | cemen | No information | | | | | | |
| 2 | If No, please designate them here (with address, phone, fax and email). | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Is there a speciali | Yes | | | | | | | |
| | enforcement (e.g | | | - | | | No | | |
| | Customs, the pol | ice, pui | olic prose | cutor s of | rrice) : | | Under consideration | | |
| | | | | | | | No information | | |
| 4 | If Yes, please state which is the lead agency for enforcement: Wildlife Enforcement Directorate, Environment Canada | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Please provide de | tails of | any addi | tional me | asure | s taken | : | | |
| | To what out on in | | | | | | Tiels if empli | -1-1- | |
| 1 | To what extent is | | | | | | Tick if applic | | |
| | Monitoring andMonitoring andunder Other) | - | _ | _ | | | ee comment | | |
| | Permit issuance | e | | | | | | \boxtimes | |
| | - Not at all | - | | | | | | | |
| | - Other (specif | • | | • | | | mputerized; | | |
| 2 | however few on | | | | | | Tiple if applie | مامه | |
| _ | Do the following | authon | lies nave | access ic | me | mternet | :? Tick if applic | able | |
| | Authority | Yes, continuous and unrestricted | Yes, but only through a dial-up connection | Yes, but only through a different office | Some offices only | Not at all | Please provide details wh appropriate | iere | |
| | Management Authority | | | | | | | | |

| | Scientific Authority | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | Enforcement Authority | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Is there an electro | nic info | rmation | system p | orovidir | ng inforr | mation \ | 'es | \boxtimes |
| | on CITES species | ? | | | | | ľ | No | |
| | | | | | | | • | No | |
| | | | | | | | i | nformation | |
| 4 | If Yes, does it pro | vide inf | formation | on: | | | | Tick if appli | cable |
| | Legislation (nat | tional, r | egional o | r interna | tional) | ? | | | \boxtimes |
| | Conservation s | tatus (r | national, | regional, | interna | ational)? | | | |
| | - Other (please s | pecify) | ? | | | | | | |
| 5 | Is it available thro | ugh the | Internet | : | | | ١ | 'es | Х |
| | | | | | | | N | lo | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | lot applicable | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | lo | |
| | | | | | | | iı | nformation | |
| | Please provide UF to information or species is found a | n the (| Canadian | status | | | • | | |
| 6 | Do the authorities publications? | indicat | ed have | access to | o the f | ollowing | J | Tick if applic | cable |
| | Pub | olication | 1 | | | gement nority | Scientific Authority | | |
| | 2005 Checklist of (book) | f CITES | Species | | | \leq | | | |
| | 2005 Checklist of Annotated Appen | | • | | | \leq | | | |
| | Identification Man | nual | | | | \leq | | | |
| | CITES Handbook | | | | | \leq | | | |

| 7 | If not, what problems have been encountered to access this infe | ormation? | |
|----|--|----------------|-------------|
| 8 | Have enforcement authorities reported to the Management Authority on: | Tick if app | licable |
| | – Mortality in transport? | | |
| | Seizures and confiscations? On occasion | | \boxtimes |
| | Discrepancies in number of items in permits and number of it actually traded? | tems | |
| | Comments: In Canada, original permits presented to Custom forwarded to the Lead MA in Environment Canada. Actual im or exports should be indicated on the permits returned Customs | ports | |
| 9 | Is there a government website with information on CITES and | Yes | \boxtimes |
| | its requirements? | No | |
| | | No information | |
| | If Yes, please give the URL: www.cites.ec.gc.ca | | |
| 10 | Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better accessibility to and understanding of the Convention's requirements to the wider public? | Tick if appli | cable |
| | - Press releases/conferences | | |
| | Newspaper articles, radio/television appearances | | |
| | - Brochures, leaflets | | \boxtimes |
| | - Presentations | | \boxtimes |
| | - Displays (at Airports) | | \boxtimes |
| | Information at border crossing points | | |
| | Telephone hotline | | |
| | - Other (specify) | | |
| | Please attach copies of any items. | | |
| | Copies of pamphlets produced are still unchanged from what they were in 2003-2004. | | |
| 11 | Please provide details of any additional measures taken: | | |

D5 Permitting and registration procedures

| 1 | Have any changes in perr signatures of officials em | | _ | ation and | | Yes No | |
|---|--|----------------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | permits/certificates been | Not applicable | H | | | | |
| | | | | | | No information | H |
| | If no, please provide deta | ils of any: | | | | 140 imormation | ш |
| | Changes in permit forr | nat: | | | | • | |
| | Changes in designation | n or signatures | of releva | nt official | s: | • | |
| 2 | To date, has your country | developed wr | itten perr | nit proced | dures | Tick if applicable |) |
| | for any of the following? | | | V | l NI- | No information | |
| | Dormit inquence/seconton | | | Yes | No | |)[] |
| | Permit issuance/acceptan | ce | | | | | |
| | Registration of traders | | | | | | |
| | Registration of producers | OTEC de como | | | | :!: 41 4 | |
| 3 | Please indicate how many period? (Note that actual | | | | | • | |
| | question refers to issued | | ca iii tiio | Aimaari | орог Б | y some rantes. Th | 113 |
| | - 1 | Import or | | D- | | | |
| | Year 1 (2005) | introduction | Export | Re- export | Other | Comments | |
| | | from the sea | | | | | |
| | How many documents were issued? | | | | | Out of a tota 9229 permits iss | |
| | Were issued: | | | | | 1 | rmits |
| | | 0 | 7921 | 1021 | 287 | · | ltiple |
| | | | | | | shipments result | |
| | | | | | | ~18,000 addit | ional |
| | | | | | | shipments. | |
| | How many applications were denied because of | | | | | | |
| | serious omissions or | 0 | | 80 | | | |
| | misinformation? | | | | | | |
| | Year 2 (2006) | | I | | | | |
| | How many documents | | | | | Out of a tota | ıl of |
| | were issued? | | | | | 7638 permits iss | sued, |
| | | 0 | 6894 | 411 | 333 | i · | rmits |
| | | | | | | | Itiple |
| | | | | | | shipments result ~ 15,000 addit | |
| | | | | | | shipments. | ioriai |
| | How many applications | | | | į | | |
| | were denied because of | 0 | | 8 | | | |
| | serious omissions or | serious omissions or | | | | | |
| | misinformation? | | | | | | |
| 4 | Were any CITES docume | | | | ed and | Yes | Ц |
| | replaced because of serio | us omissions o | r misinto | rmation? | | No | |

| | | | | No information | \boxtimes |
|----|--|---|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 5 | If Yes, please give the reasons for this. | | | | · |
| 6 | Please give the reasons for rejection of CITES docum other countries. | ents froi | m | Tick if appli | cable |
| | Reason | Yes | No | No information | on |
| | Technical violations | | | | |
| | Suspected fraud | | | | |
| | Insufficient basis for finding of non-detriment | | | | |
| | Insufficient basis for finding of legal acquisition | | | | |
| | Other (specify) | | | | |
| 7 | management may use these tools in their manageme | for wil ent pract | dlife tices | Yes No No information | |
| | and these are reflected in the issuance of pe WAPPRIITA. | rmits u | nder | | |
| 8 | How many times has the Scientific Authority been re Scientific Authority is routinely consulted in determi consultations annually are too numerous to count. | - | - | | lings; |
| 9 | Has the MA charged fees for permit issuance, registricelated CITES activities? There are no permit fees for CITES permits in Canada and Territories may have fees for permits to harvest of species under their management schemes; these are applicable to harvest of any species irrespective of word it is CITES-listed. Issuance of CITES documents: Licensing or registration of operations that product species: Harvesting of CITES-listed species: Use of CITES-listed species: Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species: Importing of CITES-listed species: Other (specify): | a. Provin CITES often hether o | or | Tick if appli | Cable |
| 10 | If Yes, please provide the amounts of such fees. | | | | |
| 11 | Have revenues from fees been used for the implement CITES or wildlife conservation? - Entirely: - Partly: - Not at all: | ntation o | f | Tick if appli | cable |
| | Not relevant: | | | | \boxtimes |
| | Comments: | | | | |
| 12 | Please provide details of any additional measures take | en: | | | |

| D6 Ca | pacity building | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------------|--|--------|--|--|
| 1 | Have any of the following activities been undertaken to enhance effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level? | | | | | | | | | |
| | Increased budget for activities | | Impro | vemen | t of r | ation | nal | | | |
| | Hiring of more staff | | | ase of oring/e | | | equipment for [nt | | | |
| | Development of implementation tools | | Comp | uteriza | tion | | | \leq | | |
| | - Other (specify) | - | - | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Have the CITES authorities received or benefited from any of the following capacity building activities provided by external sources? | | | | | | | | | |
| | Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group | Oral or written advice/guidance | Technical assistance | Financial assistance | Training | Other (specify) | What were the external sources? | | | |
| | Staff of Management Authority | | | | | | interpretation advice routinely sough from CITES Secretariat, Web site | t S | | |
| | Staff of Scientific Authority | | | | | | | | | |
| | Staff of enforcement authorities | | | | | | | | | |
| | Traders | | | | | | | | | |
| | NGOs | | | | | | | | | |
| | Public | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other (specify) | | | | | | | | | |

| 3 | Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity building activities? | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------------|---|--|
| | Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity. Target group | Oral or written advice/guidance | Technical assistance | Financial assistance | Training | Other (specify) | Details | |
| | Staff of Management Authority | | | | | | Advice and training provided to extended network of MAs/SAs from Environment Canada | |
| | Staff of Scientific Authority | \boxtimes | | | | | 5 - A - B - B - B - B - B - B - B - B - B | |
| | Staff of enforcement authorities | | | | | | | |
| | Traders | | | | | | | |
| | NGOs | | | | | | | |
| | Public | | | | | | *************************************** | |
| | Other parties/International meetings | | | | | | | |
| | Other (specify) | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Please provide details of any addition | nal me | asures | taken: | | | | |
| D7 Col | llaboration/co-operative initiatives | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Is there an inter-agency or inter-sec | ctoral c | ommit | tee on | CITE | S? | Yes | |
| | | | | | | | No 🖂 | |
| | | | | | | | No information | |
| 2 | If Yes, which agencies are represe meet? | ented a | nd hov | v often | doe | s it | | |

| 3 | If No, please ind Management A MAs, SAs, Cus | uthority | to ensure | e co-ordina | _ | | - | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | Daily | Weekly | Monthly | Annually | None | No information | Other (specify) | | |
| | Meetings | | | | | | | Frequency varies depending on issues as they arise | | |
| | Consultations | | \boxtimes | | | | | | | |
| 4 | At the national collaborate with | | ave there | been any 6 | efforts to | Tick i | applicable | Details if available | | |
| | Agencies for de | evelopm | | | | | | | | |
| | Provincial, state | e or terr | | | | | | | | |
| | Local authoritie | s or co | | | | | | | | |
| | Indigenous peo | ples | | \boxtimes | | | | | | |
| | Trade or other p | orivate | sector ass | | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | NGOs | | | | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| | Other (specify) | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | To date, have any Memoranda of Understanding or other formal arrangements for institutional cooperation related to CITES been agreed between the Management Authority and the following agencies? | | | | | | | | | |
| | Scientific Authority | | | | | | | | | |
| | Customs | | | | | | | | | |
| | Police | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other border authorities (specify) Canada Border Services Agency | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other government agencies : Most provinces and territories | | | | | | | | | |
| | Private sector b | odies | | | | | | | | |
| | NGOs | | | | | | | | | |
| | Other (specify) | | | | | | | | | |

| 6 | Have Government staff participated in any regional activities related to CITES? | Tick if appli | cable |
|----|---|-------------------|-------------|
| | Workshops | | |
| | Meetings | | \boxtimes |
| | Other (specify) | | |
| 7 | Has there been any effort to encourage any non-Party to | Yes | |
| | accede to the Convention? | No | \boxtimes |
| | | No information | |
| 8 | If Yes, which one(s) and in what way? | | |
| 9 | Has technical or financial assistance been provided to | Yes | \boxtimes |
| | another country in relation to CITES? | No | |
| | | No information | |
| 10 | If Yes, which country(ies) and what kind of assistance was pronumber of CITES Identification Guides offered | ovided? Mexico, a | large |
| 11 | Has any data been provided for inclusion in the CITES | Yes | |
| | Identification Manual? | No | \boxtimes |
| | | No information | |
| 12 | If Yes, please give a brief description. | | |
| 13 | Have measures been taken to achieve co-ordination and | Yes | \boxtimes |
| | reduce duplication of activities between the national authorities for CITES and other multilateral environmental | No | |
| | agreements (e.g. the biodiversity-related Conventions)? | No information | |
| 14 | If Yes, please give a brief description. | | |
| | Consultation and coordination occur between Federal statementation to ensure consistency in Canadian approach, p to CBD | | |
| 15 | Please provide details of any additional measures taken: | | |

D8 Areas for future work

| 1 | Are any of the following activities needed to enhance effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level and what is the respective level of priority? | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|------------|-------------|--|--|
| | Activity | High | Medium | Low | | |
| | Increased budget for activities | | | | | |
| | Hiring of more staff | | | | | |
| | Development of implementation tools | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Improvement of national networks | | | | | |
| | Purchase of new technical equipment for monitoring and enforcement | | | | | |
| | Computerization | | | | | |
| | Other (specify) | | | | | |
| 2 | Were any difficulties encountered in implementing specific | Yes | | | | |
| | Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the Conference of the | No | | \boxtimes | | |
| | Parties? | No info | rmation | | | |
| 3 | If Yes, which one(s) and what is the main difficulty? | | | | | |
| | While not pointing to one resolution specifically, generally in resolutions can become difficult particularly as new resolution consideration of past decisions/resolutions — leading to resolutions and Parties' implementation. | ons are | adopted v | vithout | | |
| 4 | Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention | Yes | | | | |
| | arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance? | | | \boxtimes | | |
| | | No info | rmation | | | |
| 5 | If Yes, please describe the constraint and the type of attention required. | or assis | tance that | is | | |
| 6 | Have any measures, procedures or mechanisms been | Yes | | | | |
| | identified within the Convention that would benefit from | No | | | | |
| | review and/or simplification? | | rmation | \boxtimes | | |
| 7 | If Yes, please give a brief description. | | | | | |
| 8 | Please provide details of any additional measures taken: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

E. General feedback

Please provide any additional comments you would like to make, including comments on this format.

Thank you for completing the form. Please remember to include relevant attachments, referred to in the report. For convenience these are listed again below:

| Question | Item | | |
|----------|--|---------------|-------------|
| B4 | Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation | Enclosed | |
| | | Not available | |
| | | Not relevant | \boxtimes |
| С3 | Details of violations and administrative measures imposed | Enclosed | |
| | | Not available | |
| | | Not relevant | |
| C5 | Details of specimens seized, confiscated or forfeited | Enclosed | |
| | | Not available | |
| | | Not relevant | |
| C7 | Details of violations and results of prosecutions | Enclosed | \boxtimes |
| | | Not available | |
| | | Not relevant | |
| C9 | Details of violations and results of court actions | Enclosed | |
| | | Not available | |
| | | Not relevant | |
| D4(10) | Details of nationally produced brochures or leaflets on CITES | Enclosed | |
| | produced for educational or public awareness purposes | Not available | |
| | | Not relevant | |
| | Comments: NOTE, shortly Canada's report on implementation of our domestic legislation, WAPPRIITA, for 2005 and 2006 will be available on the CITES in Canada website at www.cites.ec.gc.ca | | |

ANNEX 1

IN 2005

Environment Canada carried out 405 investigations into poaching or trafficking incidents involving international or interprovincial movement of wildlife, under the provisions of the applicable federal, provincial/territorial, or foreign legislation. Most of these investigations concluded with the confiscation and forfeiture of goods and issuance of a ticket.

Many significant cases in 2005, including some that are precedents, were prosecuted or completed successfully in 2005. Examples follow:

Illegal Interprovincial Transport

On March 14, 2005, a resident of the city of Lévis, Quebec, pleaded guilty in the Court of Quebec, Criminal and Penal Division to 45 charges relating to the purchase, sale, and possession of black bear gall bladders for the purpose of illegal interprovincial trade. He was sentenced to pay \$47 456 in fines and court costs, representing one of the highest fines ever imposed in Canada for possession of bear parts.

Following interventions carried out under Operation America, the individual was charged with 25 counts under section 8(b) of WAPPRIITA. He was found guilty of possessing black bear gall bladders for trafficking purposes between February 2001 and November 2002. He was also found guilty, under the provincial *Act Respecting the Conservation and Development of Wildlife*, of 20 charges relating to the purchase or sale of black bear gall bladders.

Illegal Import of Turtles and Tortoises

On October 25, 2005, an individual was sentenced to pay three fines of \$500 each on two counts of importing turtles and tortoises without permits under WAPPRIITA and one count under the federal *Customs Act* in Surrey Provincial Court. In addition, he was ordered to pay \$6500 to the Environmental Damages Fund (up to \$2000 going towards the Vancouver Aquarium Marine Science Centre for the care of the seized animals, with the rest going towards public education on the trade in protected species). In a plea agreement he pled guilty to two counts under WAPPRIITA and one count under the *Customs Act*. The forfeited animals have been placed in a zoo certified by the Canadian Association of Zoos and Aquariums.

Illegal Import of Orchids

On June 28, 2005, an individual pled guilty in the Ontario Court of Justice, Provincial Division in Mississauga, Ontario to one count under WAPPRIITA, section 6(2). On January 18, 2005, the person unlawfully imported 51 live orchids and three live Euphorbia plants into Canada from the Philippines without a CITES permit. The person received a \$1000 fine, plus a \$125 victim surcharge. The undeclared plants, which were found by a Canada Border Services Agency inspector in the subject's luggage at Pearson International Airport, were transferred to the Canadian Food Inspection Agency for disposal.

Illegal Importation of Asian Medicines

On October 14, 2005, a company pled guilty in the Ontario Court of Justice, Provincial Division in Mississauga, Ontario on charges of illegally importing traditional Asian medicines said to contain derivatives made from CITES listed plants. On June 2, 2005, the company imported 6600 packages of pills containing Gastrodia orchids and Saiga antelope from China without the required CITES export permit. The company was fined a total of \$1500 and the medicines, valued at approximately \$3700, were forfeited to the Crown.

IN 2006

Environment Canada carried out 350 investigations into poaching or trafficking incidents involving international or interprovincial movement of wildlife, under the provisions of the applicable federal, provincial/territorial, or foreign legislation. Most of these investigations concluded with the forfeiture and confiscation of goods and the issuance of a ticket.

Following are some examples of the many significant cases in 2006, including some that are precedents that were prosecuted or completed successfully.

Illegal Interprovincial Transport

- **R. v. four individuals**. The special investigation units of the Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment and Manitoba Conservation conducted an extensive investigation into the illegal trade of trophy-class big-game antlers by targets identified on both sides of the Manitoba–Saskatchewan border and into the United States. The following occurred after a two-year undercover investigation (2004–2005):
 - The first individual was convicted of 22 counts under Saskatchewan's *Wildlife Act*, 1998 and seven counts under WAPPRIITA. The person pleaded guilty to all 29 counts against him. He was fined \$34 000 for the convictions under the Saskatchewan legislation and \$7000 for the convictions under WAPPRIITA. He was sentenced to two years in a federal penitentiary and received a five-year hunting suspension.
 - The second individual was convicted of three counts under Saskatchewan's Wildlife Act, 1998, six counts under Manitoba's Wildlife Act and Wild Animal Parts Regulation and three counts under WAPPRIITA. The person appeared in court in both Saskatchewan and Manitoba and was fined \$5000 for the convictions under the Saskatchewan legislation, \$20 125 for the convictions under the Manitoba legislation, and \$22 125 for the convictions under WAPPRIITA. His sentences included a five-year hunting suspension from Saskatchewan, a two-year revocation of his wildlife dealer permit in Manitoba, and a WAPPRIITA court order that prohibited him from obtaining export, import, or interprovincial transportation permits for any animals or animal parts for two years.
 - The third individual was convicted of one count under Saskatchewan's *Wildlife Act*, 1998, four counts under Manitoba's *Wildlife Act*, and two counts under WAPPRIITA. The person appeared in court in both Saskatchewan and Manitoba and was fined \$3000 for the convictions under the Saskatchwan legislation, \$6900 for the convictions under the Manitoba legislation, and \$11 500 for the convictions under WAPPRIITA. He received a five-year hunting suspension in Saskatchewan, and a two-year revocation of his wildlife dealer permit in Manitoba, as well as a WAPPRIITA court order that prohibited him from obtaining export, import, or interprovincial transportation permits for any animals or animal parts for two years.
 - The fourth individual was convicted of two counts under Saskatchewan's Wildlife Act, 1998, and appeared in court in Saskatchewan, where he was ordered to pay \$4000 in fines.

The total fines for this case were \$113 650.

Illegal Hunting

In 2006, following a joint investigation by staff at Alberta Sustainable Resource Development and Environment Canada headquarters and Quebec Region, five Quebec residents were convicted of hunting illegally in Alberta and Saskatchewan; three were also found guilty of WAPPRIITA offences for illegally transporting unlawfully harvested wildlife between provinces. The file generated significant media attention in both Quebec and Alberta.

Illegal Import of a Tortoise

In 1999, wildlife enforcement officers seized an Egyptian tortoise (one of the most endangered tortoises in the world) that was being brought into Canada in contravention of CITES. The tortoise was purchased by a teenaged boy in a pet store in Israel with what the boy thought was the appropriate paperwork. However, the paperwork he received only satisfied the requirements of the Israeli agriculture ministry on export of the animal; he did not have the required CITES permits. He reported the tortoise to the Canada Border Services Agency on import and was very cooperative during the investigation. Due to all circumstances, it was decided by the officer, his manager, and the Department of Justice that charges would not be appropriate in this case.

The Halifax media covered the seizure thoroughly when it occurred. Eventually, the enforcement officers found a suitable home for the tortoise, named "Anthony," and donated it to Oaklawn Farm Zoo, a small zoo in the Annapolis Valley of Nova Scotia. The zoo obtained a mate for him, named "Cleopatra." They successfully bred, and in 2006 three eggs hatched – a Canadian first. The Egyptian tortoise breeding program at Oaklawn Farm Zoo is the only breeding program of its kind in Canada.

Illegal Import of Rugs

A Toronto-based company and one of its co-owners were fined \$2250 in the Ontario Court of Justice (Provincial Division) after being found guilty on November 28, 2006, on charges of illegally importing from Ethiopia rugs made from monkey skins.

An investigation conducted by Environment Canada's Wildlife Enforcement Division established that the accused unlawfully imported seven rugs in October 2004 made from skins of the black-and-

white colobus monkey. These rugs were declared on customs documents as plastic rugs, and the accused later testified that he believed the rugs were made from goat skins.

The black-and-white colobus monkey is abundant in Ethiopia, but the trade in rugs made from this species is illegal there. Nevertheless, these types of rugs, used by Ethiopians as decorations, good luck symbols, and for religious ceremonies, continue to be sold locally. The rugs in this case, made from approximately 100 columbus monkey skins, had an estimated commercial value of between \$16 000 and \$18 000. This monkey is a threatened species listed under Appendix II of CITES. It is also protected under WAPPRIITA and its regulations. The accused did not obtain the Ethiopian CITES export permit required to legally import the monkey skin rugs into Canada.

The accused and the company were jointly charged under WAPPRIITA. The accused was ordered to pay a fine of \$1000 plus a mandatory victim surcharge of \$125. The company was assessed identical penalties. The illegally imported rugs were forfeited to the Crown.

Illegal Import of Sturgeon Meat

November 14, 2006, a company was convicted of one count under section 6(2) of WAPPRIITA in the Ontario Court of Justice, Criminal Division in Brampton, Ontario following the entry of a guilty plea accompanied by an Agreed Statement of Facts. The charge related to the unlawful import into Canada of sturgeon caviar from the Republic of Turkey in April 2005. A Republic of Turkey CITES re-export permit which accompanied the import did not accurately describe the sturgeon eggs present in the import. The latter was established through extensive use of DNA evidence. The corporation was fined \$3000 and ordered to forfeit all caviar seized by Wildlife Enforcement Directorate during the course of this investigation – valued at \$305 000. Similar charges against the company's president were withdrawn by the Crown following conviction.

Other Illegal Activities and Importation under WAPPRIITA

The weight-loss industry put significant pressure on CITES enforcement resources in 2006. A sudden influx of illegal shipments of hoodia gordonii, an Appendix II plant from southern Africa used as an appetite suppressant, began in May 2006 in Toronto and Vancouver and then spread to Montréal and Calgary. In all, nearly 3000 shipments were intercepted and detained by Environment Canada and Canada Border Services Agency in 2006. The companies selling the threatened species placed radio advertisements in major metropolitan markets across the country extolling the alleged virtues of the plant and enticing customers to call toll-free numbers

to order the weight-loss pills. Located outside Canada (most in the United States), the companies did not include with the packages the required CITES re-export permits, showing that the plants were obtained legally. A media release, issued by Environment Canada in August 2006 to inform the public and promote compliance, did little to stem the influx of the illegal product. Subsequent media interest happened during and after the Christmas season. In addition to the impact the illegal trade has had on the hoodia species, the result of the flood of the illegal product has been a backlog in inspections of up to one year in some locations.

In another case, on October 17, 2006, an individual was convicted of one count under subsection 6(2) of WAPPRIITA following a guilty plea and a Joint Submission on Conditional Sentence. The person's unlawful activities focused on the possession, transportation, import, and export of numerous artifacts containing or wholly consisting of CITES Appendix I and Appendix II animal parts. The person made extensive use of eBay, a popular Internet auction site, to buy and sell endangered species throughout the world. His unlawful activities became the subject of a joint investigation undertaken by Environment Canada and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service. The investigation culminated with the person's arrest in the City of New York by special agents of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service. The person was originally charged in Canada with 44 counts under WAPPRIITA and faced similar charges in the United States. The guilty plea in Canada resulted in a 12-month conditional jail sentence, four months of which was to be served under house arrest followed by an additional four months to be served under curfew; forfeiture of all artifacts seized as a result of a search warrant executed by Environment Canada; 200 hours of community service; immediate reporting to Environment Canada of all transactions that the accused might enter into relating to the import, export, possession, transportation, offer for sale, sale, or purchase of any endangered species of flora or fauna, or parts or derivatives thereof; and the maintenance of legible records of all transactions relating to endangered species, in addition to making available to the Wildlife Enforcement Directorate for inspection any computers used in relation to such transactions.