## CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN ENDANGERED SPECIES OF WILD FAUNA AND FLORA



Fifteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties Doha (Qatar), 13-25 March 2010

#### Summary record of the fifth plenary session

25 March 2010: 09h10-12h00

Chair: Committee I Chair: Committee II Chair: Secretariat:	G. Abdulla Mohammad (Qatar) J. Donaldson (South Africa) W. Dovey (New Zealand) W. Wijnstekers
	J. Barzdo D. Morgan
	J. Sellar
Rapporteurs:	L. Garrett
	J. Jorgenson
	C. Lippai
	J. Robinson

#### 46. <u>Tibetan antelope</u>

The Chair of Committee II reported that, following discussion, the Secretariat had withdrawn its two draft decisions in the Annex to document CoP15 Doc. 46, and the document had been noted. This was noted.

47. Saiga antelope

The Chair of Committee I reported that six draft new or revised decisions on *Saiga antelope* had been accepted by consensus, as found in document CoP15 Com. I. 3. These were <u>adopted</u>.

#### 48. Snake trade and conservation management

The Chair of Committee I reported that four draft decisions on *Snake trade and conservation management* had been accepted by consensus, as found in document CoP15 Com. I. 20. These were adopted.

## 49. Tortoises and freshwater turtles

The Chair of Committee I reported that five draft decisions on *Tortoises and freshwater turtles* had been accepted by consensus. These were contained in document CoP15 Com. I. 16, with a minor correction recorded in summary record CoP15 Com. I Rec. 12, and were <u>adopted</u>.

50. Hawksbill turtle

The Chair of Committee I reported that a draft decision on *Hawksbill turtle*, as contained in document CoP15 Com. I. 6, had been accepted by consensus.

Saint Lucia requested an opening of the debate as they had been unable to participate in the discussions on this matter, but had important issues that they wished to bring to the attention of the Parties. Antigua and Barbuda supported their request. Spain, on behalf of the European Union and its

Member States, opposed the opening of the debate and the matter was put to a vote (see Annex 1). The results were 40 in favour, 59 against and 30 abstentions and, accordingly, the debate was opened.

The Dominican Republic raised a point of order regarding technical problems that had prevented them from casting their vote. The Chair noted that this would not have had any effect on the outcome of the vote.

Saint Lucia expressed concern that the report in document CoP15 Doc. 50 had not been circulated to workshop participants and relevant Parties prior to its presentation at the present meeting. They proposed for consideration the following draft decision directed to the Secretariat:

The Secretariat shall collaborate with the Inter-American Convention for the Protection and Conservation of Sea Turtles (IAC), the Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment of the Wider Caribbean region (Cartagena Convention) and its Protocol Concerning Specially Protected Areas and Wildlife (SPAW Protocol), and range States in the wider Caribbean and the Western Atlantic region to:

- a) finalize the report of the regional workshop, allowing for feedback from participants and their national turtle management agencies, as well as range States of the region; and
- b) explore opportunities for cooperation, including development of joint proposals to donors, considering the final report of the regional workshop on hawksbill turtles.

This draft decision was adopted.

## 51. Humphead wrasse: additional management measures needed to combat IUU fishing

The Chair of Committee II reported that, after revision of the proposals by a working group, a series of draft decisions had been accepted. The final text in document CoP15 Com. II. 40 was <u>adopted</u>.

#### 52. Atlantic bluefin tuna

The Chair of Committee I reported that the document had been withdrawn and this was noted.

## 53. Conservation and management of sharks and stingrays

The Chair of Committee I reported that two draft decisions and a draft revision of Resolution Conf. 12.6 on *Conservation and management of sharks* had been rejected following a vote (52 in favour, 36 against and 11 abstentions).

Brazil, supported by Egypt, requested the opening of the debate on the draft decision directed to range States in document CoP15 Doc. 53. With no opposition from the floor, this was granted. The draft decision on freshwater stingrays elicited no discussion and was <u>adopted</u>.

New Zealand, supported by Australia, asked to open the debate on the draft amendments to Resolution Conf. 12.6 in document CoP15 Doc. 53. Chile and Gabon opposed the request, noting that there had already been extensive discussion on this issue and the matter proceeded to a vote (see Annex 2). The results were 55 in favour, 60 against and 29 abstentions and, accordingly, the debate was opened.

The representative of New Zealand, as former Chair of the Animals Committee Working Group on sharks, reported that document CoP15 Inf. 70 contained proposed additional changes to the Secretariat's proposed amendments to Resolution Conf. 12.6. Norway requested additional time be given to Parties to examine the proposed text in document CoP15 Inf. 70 and the Chair agreed to defer further discussion until the next plenary session.

## 54. Conservation of and trade in Coralliidae species

The Chair of Committee I reported that the document had been withdrawn by the United States of America and this was <u>noted</u>.

#### 55. Trade in epiphytic cacti (Cactaceae spp.)

The Chair of Committee I reported that the draft decision on Assessment of trade in epiphytic cacti and review of listing of Cactaceae spp. in Appendix II, in Annex 1 of document CoP15 Doc. 55 had been accepted by consensus. This was adopted.

#### 56. <u>Euphorbia spp.</u>

The Chair of Committee I reported that a draft decision on *Euphorbia* spp. in the Annex of document CoP15 Doc. 56 had been accepted by consensus and this was <u>adopted</u>.

## 57. Cedrela odorata, Dalbergia retusa, D. granadillo and D. stevensonii

The Chair of Committee I reported that a draft revision of Decision 14.146 and its associated Action plan for *Cedrela odorata, Dalbergia retusa, Dalbergia granadillo* and *Dalbergia stevensonii* had been accepted by consensus. The final text in document CoP15 Com. I. 2, with a minor correction noted in summary record CoP15 Com. I Rec. 12, was <u>adopted</u>.

## 58. Bigleaf mahogany

The Chair of Committee I reported that three draft decisions regarding the *Working group on bigleaf mahogany and other neotropical timber species* had been accepted by consensus and could be found in document CoP15 Com. I. 14, with minor corrections recorded in summary record CoP15 Com. I Rec. 12. He reported further that, in the English and Spanish versions of document CoP15 Com. I Rec. 12, the words "of one month" had been omitted in error from the accepted amendment, but that this would be corrected in the final version of that summary record. The draft decisions were <u>adopted</u>.

## 59. Taxus cuspidata

The Chair of Committee I reported that draft amendments to Resolution Conf. 11.11 (Rev. CoP14) on *Regulation of trade in plants* had been accepted by consensus. The text in document CoP15 Com. I. 5 was adopted.

## 60. Agarwood-producing taxa

The Chair of Committee I reported that two draft decisions *Regarding agarwood-producing taxa* and an amendment to Resolution Conf. 10.13 (Rev. CoP14) on *Implementation of the Convention for timber* had been accepted by consensus and could be found in document CoP15 Com. I. 4. These were <u>adopted</u>.

# 61. Report of the Central Africa Bushmeat Working Group

The Chair of Committee II reported that, following discussion of this item, the document from the Secretariat had been noted. He added that it had already been decided, under agenda item 19, that the related Decisions 14.73 and 14.74 would remain in effect. This was <u>noted</u>.

## 62. Periodic Review of the Appendices

The Chair of Committee I reported that the recommendations in document CoP15 Doc. 62 had been rejected by consensus. This was <u>confirmed</u>.

## 63. Criteria for the inclusion of species in Appendices I and II

The Chair of Committee I reported that three draft decisions on this subject had been accepted by consensus, as found in document CoP15 Com. I. 13. These were <u>adopted</u>.

#### 64. Cactaceae and Orchidaceae: review of annotations

The Chair of Committee II reported that the draft decisions in document CoP15 Doc. 64 Annex had been accepted by consensus and these were <u>adopted</u>.

## 65. Orchids: annotations for species included in Appendix II

The Chair of Committee II reported that the draft decisions in document CoP15 Doc. 65 Annex had been accepted and these were <u>adopted</u>.

## 66. Annotations for tree species included in Appendices II and III

The Chair of Committee II reported that the draft decisions in document CoP15 Doc. 66 Annex had been accepted and these were <u>adopted</u>.

# 67. Inconsistent implementation of Appendix-III timber listings annotated to include only the national populations of the listing countries

The Chair of Committee II reported that the draft revision of Resolution Conf. 9.25 (Rev. CoP14), as presented in document CoP15 Doc. 67, had been accepted with the amendments recorded in document CoP15 Com. II Rec.12. The final text in document CoP15 Com. II. 36 was <u>adopted</u>.

Argentina noted an error in the Spanish version of the paragraph preceding their declaration in summary record CoP15 Com. I Rec. 14 and firmly rejected the fourth paragraph of the declaration made by the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland as this had not been expressed orally during the session. To enable the text to be included, the Chair asked that the correct wording be provided by the United Kingdom in writing to the Secretariat. In response to the United Kingdom's request to read out the paragraph in question, the Chair asked that this also be provided in writing to the Secretariat, so that it could be included in summary record CoP15 Com. I Rec. 14.

68. Proposals to amend Appendices I and II

Proposal CoP15 Prop. 1 was <u>adopted</u> without discussion.

In agreement with the recommendations from Committee I, proposals CoP15 Prop. 2 and Prop. 3 were rejected.

The United Republic of Tanzania requested that the debate on proposal CoP15 Prop. 4 be opened to discuss the first part of the proposal only, as described in paragraph two on page three of summary record Com. I Rec. 11. This was supported by Uganda but opposed by the Gambia and Liberia. A vote was taken with 43 Parties in support, 55 against, and 42 abstentions (see Annex 3) and the debate was opened.

The United Republic of Tanzania expressed concern that consideration of their proposal had been influenced by a lack of clarity about whether the so-called "nine-year resting period" agreed on at CoP14 applied to all African elephant range States or only to those with populations in Appendix II. They proposed an amended proposal which would exclude paragraph b), a one-off sale of ivory stockpiles and would read as follows:

Transfer the population of the United Republic of Tanzania from Appendix I to Appendix II with an annotation to read:

"For the exclusive purpose of the following:

- a) trade in hunting trophies for non-commercial purposes;
- b) trade in raw hides;
- c) trade in live animals to appropriate and acceptable destinations, as defined in Resolution Conf. 11.20.

The Standing Committee can decide to cause the trade in a), b) and c) above to cease partially or completely in the event of non-compliance by exporting or importing countries or in the case of proven detrimental impacts of the trade on other elephant populations as may be proposed by the CITES Secretariat.

All other specimens shall be deemed to be specimens of species included in Appendix I and the trade in them shall be regulated accordingly."

The United Republic of Tanzania felt that this amended proposal was justified because of the steady increase of its wild elephant population by 3.5 % per annum to more than 110,000 individuals, surpassing their initial goal of 106,000 individuals, elephant populations were not restricted and covered more than 400,000 km<sup>2</sup> including 45 core protected areas, and, as per listing criteria a) and b), the populations qualified for an Appendix-II listing. They noted concerns raised by other Parties regarding the status of conservation practices in the United Republic of Tanzania, but felt that adequate explanation had been provided in Committee I, referencing information documents CoP15 Inf. 58 (Rev. 1) and CoP15 Inf. 59. They reiterated their strong commitment to conservation and stressed that enabling sustainable use of the species would increase the value of elephants to local communities. In addition, they referred to objectives of the African Elephant Action Plan (AEAP), one of which was to restock depleted elephant populations. They felt that populations within the United Republic of Tanzania were sufficient to restock other States' populations, but would require an Appendix-II listing to do so.

The amended proposal was supported by Botswana, Senegal, South Africa and Uganda. Botswana, Senegal and South Africa believed that elephant populations in the United Republic of Tanzania no longer met the biological criteria for an Appendix-I listing. Senegal stated that they placed great importance on elephant conservation. They also felt that it was important to encourage Parties that exercised good conservation management and involved local communities. Botswana also felt that Parties should stick to the principles of the Convention rather than emotive arguments. Uganda noted that the scope of the proposal had now been reduced. They recognized that the United Republic of Tanzania had the second largest elephant population in Africa and that this population was now at carrying capacity. In addition, they felt that transfer of the African elephant from Appendix I to Appendix II would assist in addressing this issue. They also requested clarification from the Secretariat regarding the nine-year resting period proposed in CoP14.

The Gambia, Ghana, Kenya and Rwanda opposed the amended proposal. Ghana noted that, as a member of the African Elephant Coalition (AEC), they did not think that the United Republic of Tanzania was acting in the best interests of the AEC and believed that decisions should be made based on science rather than on the politics and policies of trade. They felt that the proposal addressed wider economic and social matters and that discussion in Committee I had put trade ahead of species conservation. They urged increased cooperation with the AEAP and greater unity amongst African countries, but not at the expense of elephant conservation. The Gambia believed that the elephant population of the United Republic of Tanzania was relatively insignificant, considering all African range States, in terms of area and that the carrying capacity of elephants in Africa as a whole had not yet been reached.

Rwanda felt that most Parties were now tired of discussions on elephant proposals and were concerned that a transfer of populations from Appendix I to Appendix II would have negative repercussions on other elephant populations, increasing the threat of poaching and illegal trade. They believed that Parties had a duty to act on the precautionary principle integrated in Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP14) and to support species conservation over trade. Kenya drew attention to the report from the panel of experts which, they felt, had been very clear. They noted that, whilst it recognized that elephant populations in the United Republic of Tanzania were now the second largest in Africa, the criteria to transfer populations from Appendix I to Appendix II could not be based on biological criteria alone, and also required that issues of trade regulation be addressed. They believed that the nine-year resting period arising from CoP14 was not limited to four range States with populations in Appendix II and urged Parties to focus on the AEAP.

At the request of the United Republic of Tanzania, a secret vote was held. With 55 Parties in favour, 55 against and 34 abstentions, the amended proposal CoP15 Prop. 4 was <u>rejected</u>.

Zambia, requested that the debate on proposal CoP15 Prop. 5, as amended in Committee I, be opened, citing that confusion over the nine-year resting period from CoP14 had since been clarified by the Secretariat to only cover the four range States with populations in Appendix II, namely Botswana, Namibia, South Africa and Zimbabwe. The request was supported by Uganda and Zimbabwe, but opposed by Chad and Rwanda. A vote was taken with 49 Parties in favour, 47 opposed and 46 abstaining (see Annex 4) and the debate was therefore opened.

Zambia felt that the clarification over which populations were included in the nine-year moratorium from CoP14 justified their proposal. In addition they believed that their elephant populations did not meet the biological criteria for Appendix-I listing in Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP14), as noted by the Panel of Experts' report. They stated that this report had also highlighted enforcement and illegal trade control measures in place in Zambia, in addition to indicating that the management of ivory stock suggested that a level of off-take was sustainable. Zambia stated that more than USD 6,000,000 had been expended to

enhance the conservation status of their African elephant populations, as well as to promote community development in areas occupied by the species.

Malawi, Japan and Uganda supported the proposal. Uganda requested further clarification from the Secretariat regarding the decision to implement a nine-year moratorium from CoP14. The Secretariat confirmed that it had clearly stated that the moratorium on further proposals to trade ivory only applied to the four populations already in Appendix II. Japan believed that a transfer from Appendix I to Appendix II would contribute to sustainable use, improve the welfare of local communities in addition to supporting species conservation. Malawi felt that Zambia had clearly demonstrated effective management of wildlife populations, evident in increased population, good law enforcement, community involvement and the distribution of revenue from wildlife to local communities. They maintained that a transfer from Appendix I to Appendix I to Appendix I to appendix I was important to enable benefits to be invested in local conservation programmes in addition to allowing populations to be used to restock other depleted African elephant populations.

The Congo, Rwanda and Sierra Leone, opposed the proposal. As a member of the AEC, Sierra Leone felt that, if Zambia had no intention of trading in ivory, then a transfer from Appendix I to Appendix II was unnecessary. The Congo was concerned that discussions over economic factors were taking over the environmental aspects of CITES which should be focusing on ensuring survival of species. Rwanda felt that Zambia's elephant population should remain on Appendix I and referred to the report of the panel of experts, which had suggested that population estimates varied considerably, that poaching had increased by 250 % between 2002 and 2007, and that recent seizures of ivory were reported to have originated in Zambia.

Zambia requested a vote by secret ballot. With 59 Parties in favour, 47 against, and 38 abstaining, proposal CoP15 Prop. 5 was rejected.

The meeting was adjourned at 12h00.

34

38

30

19

5

3

MOTION: CoP15 Doc. 50 Reopen debate on the hawksbill turtle VOTE TOTALS: Yes : 40 : 59 No Abstain : 30 VOTE BREAKDOWN GROUP DETAILS RESULTS OF VOTE NAME SIZE Yes No Abstain Africa 42 12 12 10 5 6 Europe 41 29 4 Asia 32 16 8 C/S America & Carib, 26 16 1 2 Oceania 6 1 1 3 N America, 0 0 3 3 THE INDIVIDUAL RESULTS WERE AS FOLLOWS MIC CARD DELEGATE INFORMATION VOTE 1 AF Afghanistan 1 NΟ 3 DZ Algeria 3 Abstain 4 AG Antigua and Barbuda, 4 Yes 5 AR Argentina 5 Abstain 6 AM Armenia 6 No 7 7 AU Australia Abstain 8 AT Austria 8 No 9 9 AZ Azerbaijan No 10 10 BS Bahamas Yes 11 BD Bangladesh 11 No 14 14 BE Belgium No 16 16 BJ Benin No 17 17 BT Bhutan Abstain 18 18 BO Bolivia (Plurinational State of), No 20 20 BW Botswana Yes 21 21 BR Brazil 22 22 BN Brunei Darussalam, No 23 23 BG Bulgaria No Abstain 24 24 BF Burkina Faso, 25 25 BI Burundi 26 26 KH Cambodia No 27 27 CM Cameroon No 28 28 CA Canada Abstain 30 30 CF Central African Republic, Abstain 32 32 CL Chile Yes 33 33 CN China Yes 34 34 CO Colombia Yes 36 36 CG Congo No 37 37 CR Costa Rica, Yes 39 39 HR Croatia Abstain 40 901 CU Cuba Yes 41 41 CY Cyprus No 42 42 CZ Czech Republic, No 43 43 CD Democratic Republic of the Congo, No 44 44 DK Denmark No

46 46 DM Dominica

Yes

47	47	DO	Dominican Republic,	
48	48		Ecuador	Yes
49	49		Egypt	No
50	50		El Salvador,	-
	902		Equatorial Guinea,	No
52	52		Eritrea	Abstain
53	53	ΕE	Estonia	No
54	54	$\mathbf{ET}$	Ethiopia	
55	907		Fiji	
56	56		Finland	No
57	57	FR	France	No
58	58	GA	Gabon	No
59	59	GM	Gambia	Abstain
60	60	GE	Georgia	No
61	61	DE	Germany	No
62	62	GH	Ghana	Abstain
63	63	GR	Greece	No
64	64	GD	Grenada	Yes
65	65	$\operatorname{GT}$	Guatemala	Yes
66	66	GN	Guinea	Yes
67	67	GW	Guinea-Bissau	No
68	68	GΥ	Guyana	Yes
69	69	$_{\rm HN}$	Honduras	Abstain
70	70	HU	Hungary	No
71	71	IS	Iceland	Yes
72	72	IN	India	No
73	73	ID	Indonesia	No
74	74	IR	Iran (Islamic Republic of),	No
75	75	ΙE	Ireland	No
76	76	IL	Israel	
77	77	IT	Italy	No
78	78	JM	Jamaica	Yes
79	79	JP	Japan	Yes
80	80	JO	Jordan	Yes
82	82	KE	Kenya	Abstain
83	83	KW	Kuwait	Yes
84	84	KG	Kyrgyzstan	Abstain
85	85	LA	Lao People's Democratic Republic,	Abstain
86	86	LV	Latvia	No
88	88	LR	Liberia	Yes
89	89		Libyan Arab Jamahiriya,	
90	90		Liechtenstein	Yes
92	92	LU	Luxembourg	
93	93		Madagascar	Yes
94	94		Malawi	Yes
95	95		Malaysia	No
96	96		Mali	No
97	97		Malta	No
98	98		Mauritania	
99	99	-	Mauritius	Abstain
	100		Mexico	Abstain
	101		Monaco	Yes
	102		Mongolia	No
	103		Montenegro	
	104		Morocco	No
	105		Mozambique	Abstain
	106		Myanmar	No
	107		Namibia	Yes
	108		Nepal	Abstain
	109 110		Netherlands	No
	110		New Zealand,	No
	$\frac{111}{112}$		Nicaragua	Abstain
	112		Niger Nigeria	
113	112	тиG	MIRCI IQ	No

114 114		Norway	Abstain
115 115		Oman	Abstain
116 116		Pakistan	Abstain
117 117		Palau	Abstain
118 118		Panama	
121 121		Peru	Yes
122 122		Philippines	No
123 123		Poland	No
124 124		Portugal	No
125 125		Qatar	No
126 126		Republic of Korea,	Yes
127 127		Republic of Moldova,	
128 128	-	Romania	No
129 129		Russian Federation,	Yes
131 131		Saint Kitts and Nevis,	Yes
132 132	LC	Saint Lucia,	Yes
133 133	VC	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines,	
134 134		Samoa	Abstain
137 137		Saudi Arabia,	Yes
138 138		Senegal	Yes
139 139	RS	Serbia	Abstain
141 141		Sierra Leone,	
142 142	SG	Singapore	Abstain
143 143	SK	Slovakia	No
144 144	SI	Slovenia	No
147 147	ZA	South Africa,	Yes
148 148	ES	Spain	No
149 149	LK	Sri Lanka,	No
150 150	SD	Sudan	
151 151	SR	Suriname	
152 152	SZ	Swaziland	
153 153	SE	Sweden	No
154 154	CH	Switzerland	Abstain
155 155	SY	Syrian Arab Republic,	No
156 156	TH	Thailand	No
158 158	ΤG	Тодо	
160 160	TN	Tunisia	No
161 161	TR	Turkey	No
162 162	UG	Uganda	Yes
163 163	UA	Ukraine	Yes
164 164	AE	United Arab Emirates,	
165 165	GB	United Kingdom of Great Britain and	
		Northern Ireland,	No
166 166	ΤZ	United Republic of Tanzania,	Yes
167 167		United States of America,	Abstain
168 168		Uruguay	Yes
170 170		Vanuatu	Yes
172 172	VN	Viet Nam,	No
173 173		Yemen	Abstain
174 906	ZM	Zambia	Yes
175 175	ZW	Zimbabwe	Yes

MOTION: CoP15 Doc. 53 Reopen debate on *Conservation and management of sharks and stingrays* Regarding sharks only (12.6)

VOTE TOTALS:

Yes : 55 No : 60 Abstain : 29

VOTI	E BREA	AKD(	OWN							
			GROUP DET	AILS			RESULTS OF	VOTE		
			NAME		Yes	No	Abstain			
			Africa	42	6	23	9	38		
			Europe	41	31	6	3	40		
			Asia	32	8	12	12	32		
C/S	Amer	ica	& Carib,	26	6	16	3	25		
0/0	I MICE .	LCU	Oceania	6	4	1	1	29 6		
		N	America,	3	0	2	1	3		
		IN	America,	J	0	2	Ŧ	J		
THE INDIVIDUAL RESULTS WERE AS FOLLOWS										
			LEGATE INF				VOTE			
	01112	223		010 011 00						
1	1	AF	Afghanist	an			Abstain			
3			Algeria				Abstain			
4	4		Antigua a	nd Barb	uda.		No			
5			Argentina		uuu ,		No			
6			Armenia				Yes			
7			Australia				Yes			
8			Austria				Yes			
9	9		Azerbaija	n			Yes			
10	10		Bahamas	11						
				1-			Yes			
11	11		Banglades	n			Yes			
14	14		Belgium				Yes			
16	16		Benin				No			
17			Bhutan			5.)	Abstain			
18				Plurina	tional State	e oi),	No			
20			Botswana				No			
21			Brazil	_			Yes			
22	22		Brunei Da	russala	m ,		No			
23	23		Bulgaria				Yes			
24	24		Burkina F	aso,			Abstain			
25	25		Burundi				No			
26	26	KH	Cambodia				No			
27	27	СМ	Cameroon				No			
28	28	CA	Canada				No			
30	30		Central A	frican	Republic,		No			
32	32	CL	Chile				No			
33	33	CN	China				Abstain			
34	34	CO	Colombia				Yes			
36	36	CG	Congo				No			
37	37	CR	Costa Ric	a,			No			
39	39	HR	Croatia				Yes			
40	901	CU	Cuba				No			
41	41		Cyprus				Yes			
42	42		Czech Rep	ublic.			Yes			
43	43				lic of the C	longo .	Abstain			
44	44		Denmark		0 02 0110 0	,	Yes			
	••	210	2 0111101211				100			

10	4.0	-		
46	46		Dominica	No
47	47		Dominican Republic,	No
48	48		Ecuador	Yes
49	49		Egypt	Yes
50	50		El Salvador,	No
	902		Equatorial Guinea,	No
52	52		Eritrea	Abstain
53	53		Estonia	Yes
54	54		Ethiopia	Abstain
	907 56		Fiji	Yes
56 57	56 57		Finland France	Yes
	-			Yes
58 59	58 59	-	Gabon Gambia	No
60	60			Yes
61	61		Georgia Germany	Yes
62	62		Ghana	No
63	63	-	Greece	Yes
64	64	-	Grenada	No
65	65		Guatemala	NO
66	66	-	Guinea	NO
67	67		Guinea-Bissau	NO
68	68		Guyana	No
69	69		Honduras	Yes
70	70		Hungary	Yes
71	71		Iceland	No
72	72		India	Abstain
73	73	ID	Indonesia	No
74	74		Iran (Islamic Republic of),	No
75	75		Ireland	Yes
76	76	IL	Israel	Yes
77	77	IT	Italy	Yes
78	78	JM	Jamaica	Yes
79	79	JP	Japan	Yes
80	80		Jordan	Yes
82	82	KE	Kenya	Yes
83	83	ΚW	Kuwait	No
84	84		Kyrgyzstan	No
85	85		Lao People's Democratic Republic,	Yes
86	86		Latvia	Yes
88	88		Liberia	No
89	89		Libyan Arab Jamahiriya,	Abstain
90	90		Liechtenstein	No
92	92		Luxembourg	
93	93		Madagascar	Yes
94 95	94 95		Malawi	No
			Malaysia	No
96 97	96 97		Mali Malta	No
97	97 98		Maita Mauritania	Yes
98 99	98 99		Mauritius	Yes
	100		Mexico	Abstain
101			Monaco	Yes
	101		Mongolia	No
	102		Montenegro	NO
	104		Morocco	NO
	105		Mozambique	Abstain
	105		Myanmar	Abstain
	107		Namibia	No
	108		Nepal	Yes
	109		Netherlands	Yes
	110		New Zealand,	Yes
	111		Nicaragua	Abstain
112	112		Niger	No

113 113		Nigeria	No
114 114		Norway	No
115 115	-	Oman	Abstain
116 116		Pakistan	Abstain
117 117		Palau	Abstain
118 118		Panama	Abstain
121 121		Peru	No
122 122		Philippines	Yes
123 123		Poland	Yes
124 124		Portugal	Yes
125 125		Qatar	No
126 126		Republic of Korea,	Yes
127 127		Republic of Moldova,	Abstain
128 128	-	Romania	Yes
129 129		Russian Federation,	No
131 131		Saint Kitts and Nevis,	No
132 132		Saint Lucia,	No
133 133		Saint Vincent and the Grenadines,	Abstain
134 134		Samoa	Yes
137 137		Saudi Arabia,	Abstain
138 138		Senegal	No
139 139		Serbia	Yes
141 141		Sierra Leone,	No
142 142		Singapore	No
143 143		Slovakia	Yes
144 144		Slovenia	Yes
147 147		South Africa,	Yes
148 148		Spain	Yes
149 149		Sri Lanka,	No
150 150		Sudan	Yes
151 151		Suriname	
152 152		Swaziland	
153 153		Sweden	Yes
154 154		Switzerland	Abstain
155 155		Syrian Arab Republic,	Abstain
156 156		Thailand	No
158 158		Togo	
160 160		Tunisia	No
161 161		Turkey	No
162 162		Uganda	Abstain
163 163	-	Ukraine	Abstain
164 164 165 165		United Arab Emirates,	Abstain
102 102	GВ	United Kingdom of Great Britain and	37
100 100	-	Northern Ireland,	Yes
166 166		United Republic of Tanzania,	Abstain
167 167 169 169		United States of America,	No
168 168 170 170		Uruguay	No
$170 \ 170$ $172 \ 172$		Vanuatu	No
$172 \ 172$ $172 \ 172$		Viet Nam,	Abstain
173 173		Yemen	Abstain
174 906 175 175		Zambia	NO
T12 T12	ΔW	Zimbabwe	No

MOTION: CoP15 Doc. 68 Reopen debate on amendment proposal 4 on *Loxodonta africana* Transfer to Appendix II

VOTE TOTALS:

Yes : 43 No : 55 Abstain : 42

VOTI	E BREA	AKDO	OWN						
	GROUP DETAILS RESULTS OF VOTE								
			NAME S		Yes	No	Abstain		
			Africa	42	14	21	б	41	
			Europe	41	5	7	27	39	
			Asia		14	14	3	31	
C/S	Amer	ica	& Carib,		10	8	3	21	
-,-			Oceania	6	0	3	2	5	
		Ν	America,	3	0	2	1	3	
			JAL RESULTS				TOTTE		
MIC	CARD	DEI	LEGATE INFO	JRMAI LO.	IN		VOTE		
1	1	AF	Afghanista	an					
3	3		Algeria				No		
4			Antigua ar	nd Barb	uda,		Yes		
5			Argentina				Abstain		
6			Armenia				No		
7			Australia				No		
8		-	Austria				Abstain		
9			Azerbaijar	ı			No		
10			Bahamas	-			No		
11			Bangladesh	r			Abstain		
14			Belgium	-			Abstain		
16	16		Benin	-					
17		-	Bhutan				No Abstain		
18				lurina	tional State	of)	Yes		
20			Botswana	. 1 41 1114	cronar beace	. 01/,	Yes		
21			Brazil				Abstain		
22			Brunei Dar	ruggala	m		No		
23			Bulgaria	abbara	,		Abstain		
24	24		Burkina Fa	390			No		
25	25		Burundi	100,			Yes		
26	26		Cambodia				No		
27			Cameroon				Yes		
28	28	-	Canada				No		
30			Central Af	Frigan	Popublia		NO		
32			Chile		Republic,		NO		
33	33		China						
34	34		Colombia				Yes Abstain		
36	36		Congo Conto Dias				No		
37	37		Costa Rica	1,			No		
39	39		Croatia				No		
	901		Cuba						
41	41		Cyprus	1.1.1			Abstain		
42	42		Czech Repu		1' C · 1 -		Abstain		
43	43			c kepub	lic of the C	:ongo,	Abstain		
44	44	DK	Denmark				Abstain		

46	46	ъм	Dominica	
40	40 47			
48	48		Dominican Republic, Ecuador	Yes
40 49				Abstain
49 50	49 50		Egypt El Salvador,	No
	902		Equatorial Guinea,	Abstain
52	52		Eritrea	No
52	52		Estonia	Abstain
53	55		Ethiopia	No
-	907		Fiji	NO
55	56		Finland	Abstain
57	50		France	Abstain
57	57		Gabon	No
50 59	50 59	-	Gambia	NO
60	60	-		Yes
61	61		Georgia	Abstain
62	62		Germany Ghana	
63	63	-	Greece	No
63 64	63 64		Grenada	Abstain Yes
64 65	64 65			
66	65 66		Guatemala Guinea	No No
				-
67	67		Guinea-Bissau	No
68	68		Guyana	Yes
69 70	69 70		Honduras	No
70	70		Hungary	Abstain
71	71		Iceland	Yes
72	72		India	No
73	73		Indonesia	No
74	74		Iran (Islamic Republic of),	Abstain
75	75		Ireland	Abstain
76	76		Israel	No
77	77		Italy	Abstain
78	78		Jamaica	No
79	79		Japan	Yes
80	80		Jordan	No
82	82		Kenya	No
83	83		Kuwait	Yes
84	84		Kyrgyzstan	Yes
85	85		Lao People's Democratic Republic,	Yes
86	86		Latvia	Abstain
88	88		Liberia	No
89	89		Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Liechtenstein	Abstain
90	90			Yes
92	92		Luxembourg	Vee
93	93		Madagascar	Yes
94	94		Malawi	Yes
95	95		Malaysia	Yes
96 97	96		Mali	No
	97		Malta	Abstain
98	98		Mauritania Mauritius	No
99	99	-		Abstain
	100		Mexico	Abstain
	101		Monaco	No
	102		Mongolia	Yes
	103		Montenegro	No
	104		Morocco	No
	105		Mozambique	Yes
	106		Myanmar	No
	107		Namibia	Yes
	108		Nepal	No
	109		Netherlands	Abstain
	$\begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 111 \end{array}$		New Zealand,	No
	111		Nicaragua Niger	Yes No
112 1		тиС	TATACT	INO

		_		
	113		Nigeria	No
	114		Norway	Yes
	115		Oman	Yes
	116	ΡK	Pakistan	Yes
117	117	PW	Palau	Abstain
118	118	PA	Panama	Yes
121	121	ΡE	Peru	Yes
122	122	$\mathbf{PH}$	Philippines	Yes
123	123	PL	Poland	Abstain
124	124	$\mathbf{PT}$	Portugal	Abstain
125	125	QA	Qatar	Yes
126	126	KR	Republic of Korea,	No
127	127	MD	Republic of Moldova,	Yes
128	128	RO	Romania	Abstain
129	129	RU	Russian Federation,	No
131	131	KN	Saint Kitts and Nevis,	Yes
132	132	LC	Saint Lucia,	
133	133		Saint Vincent and the Grenadines,	
	134		Samoa	Abstain
137	137		Saudi Arabia,	Yes
	138		Senegal	Yes
	139		Serbia	No
	141		Sierra Leone,	No
	142		Singapore	Yes
	143		Slovakia	Abstain
-	144		Slovenia	Abstain
	147		South Africa,	Yes
	148		Spain	Abstain
	149		Spain Sri Lanka,	No
150			Sudan	Abstain
151			Suriname	Yes
	151		Swaziland	Yes
152			Swaziland	Abstain
	153		Sweden Switzerland	Abstain
	155		Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand	No
	156			No
	158		Togo	Ne
	160		Tunisia	No
161			Turkey	No
	162		Uganda	Yes
	163	-	Ukraine	Abstain
	164		United Arab Emirates,	No
102	165	GΒ	United Kingdom of Great Britain and	-1
1.00	1.00		Northern Ireland,	Abstain
	166		United Republic of Tanzania,	Yes
	167		United States of America,	No
	168		Uruguay	No
	170		Vanuatu	
	172		Viet Nam,	Yes
	173		Yemen	No
	906		Zambia	Yes
1/5	175	ΖW	Zimbabwe	Yes

MOTION: CoP15 Doc. 68 Reopen debate on amendment proposal 5 on *Loxodonta africana* Transfer to Appendix II

VOTE TOTALS:

Yes : 49 No : 47 Abstain : 46

VOTI	E BREJ	AKD	OWN								
	GROUP DETAILS RESULTS OF VOTE										
			NAME S		Yes	No	Abstain				
			Africa	42	17	19	3	39			
			Europe	41	5	6	28	39			
			Asia		12	14	5	31			
C/S	Amer	ica	& Carib,		13	5	7	25			
0,0	1	2000	Oceania	6	1	2	2	5			
		N	America,	3	1	1	1	3			
			1	U	-	-	_	0			
THE	THE INDIVIDUAL RESULTS WERE AS FOLLOWS										
MIC	CARD	DEI	LEGATE INFO	ORMATIO	N		VOTE				
1	1		Afghanista	an			Abstain				
3			Algeria				No				
4			Antigua an	nd Barb	uda ,		Yes				
5	5		Argentina				Abstain				
б	6	AM	Armenia				No				
7	7	AU	Australia				No				
8	8	AT	Austria				Abstain				
9	9	ΑZ	Azerbaija	n							
10	10	BS	Bahamas				Yes				
11	11	BD	Banglades	n			Yes				
14	14	ΒE	Belgium				Abstain				
16	16	BJ	Benin	Benin							
17	17	ΒT	Bhutan	Bhutan							
18	18	BO	Bolivia (I	lurina	tional State	of),	Yes				
20	20	BW	Botswana				Yes				
21	21	BR	Brazil				Abstain				
22	22	BN	Brunei Dai	russala	m,		No				
23	23	BG	Bulgaria				Abstain				
24	24		Burkina Fa	aso,			No				
25	25		Burundi	-							
26	26	KH	Cambodia				Abstain				
27	27	CM	Cameroon				Yes				
28	28	CA	Canada				No				
30	30	CF	Central At	Erican	Republic,		No				
32			Chile		-1,		No				
33	33		China				Yes				
34	34		Colombia				Abstain				
36	36		Congo				No				
37	37		Costa Rica	a.			Abstain				
39	39		Croatia	~ /			No				
	901		Cuba				Yes				
41	41		Cyprus				Abstain				
42	42		Czech Repi	ublic			Abstain				
43	43		_		lic of the C	'ongo	Abstain				
44			Denmark	- nepub	IIC OF CHE C	.011907	Abstain				
11											

46	46	DM	Dominica	Yes
47	47	DO	Dominican Republic,	Abstain
48	48		Ecuador	Yes
49	49			Yes
			Egypt	
50	50		El Salvador,	No
51	902		Equatorial Guinea,	Abstain
52	52	ER	Eritrea	No
53	53	ΕE	Estonia	Abstain
54	54		Ethiopia	No
-	907		Fiji	110
56	56		Finland	Abstain
57	57	FR	France	Abstain
58	58	GA	Gabon	No
59	59	GM	Gambia	Yes
60	60	GE	Georgia	No
61	61		Germany	Abstain
62	62	-	Ghana	No
63	63	-	Greece	Abstain
64	64	GD	Grenada	Yes
65	65	GT	Guatemala	No
66	66	GN	Guinea	No
67	67		Guinea-Bissau	Yes
68	68		Guyana	Yes
69	69	HN	Honduras	Abstain
70	70	HU	Hungary	Abstain
71	71	IS	Iceland	Yes
72	72	ΤN	India	No
73	73		Indonesia	No
				-
74	74		Iran (Islamic Republic of),	Abstain
75	75	ΙE	Ireland	Abstain
76	76	IL	Israel	No
77	77	IT	Italy	Abstain
78	78	JМ	Jamaica	No
79	79		Japan	Yes
			-	
80	80		Jordan	No
82	82		Kenya	No
83	83	KW	Kuwait	Yes
84	84	KG	Kyrgyzstan	Yes
85	85	LA	Lao People's Democratic Republic,	Yes
86	86		Latvia	Abstain
88	88		Liberia	No
89	89		Libyan Arab Jamahiriya,	Yes
90	90	LI	Liechtenstein	Abstain
92	92	LU	Luxembourg	
93	93	MG	Madagascar	Yes
94	94	MW	Malawi	Yes
95	95		Malaysia	Yes
96			Mali	
	96			No
97	97		Malta	Abstain
98	98	MR	Mauritania	No
99	99	MU	Mauritius	Abstain
100	100	MX	Mexico	Abstain
101	101	MC	Monaco	No
	102			No
			Mongolia	
	103		Montenegro	Yes
	104	MA	Morocco	No
105	105	ΜZ	Mozambique	Yes
106	106	MM	Myanmar	No
	107		Namibia	Yes
	108		Nepal	No
			-	
109			Netherlands	Abstain
110			New Zealand,	No
111	111	NI	Nicaragua	Yes
112	112	NE	Niger	No

113 113		Nigeria	No
114 114		Norway	Yes
115 115	OM	Oman	Yes
116 116	ΡK	Pakistan	Yes
117 117	PW	Palau	Abstain
118 118		Panama	Yes
121 121		Peru	Abstain
122 122	PH	Philippines	Yes
123 123	PL	Poland	Abstain
124 124	PT	Portugal	Abstain
125 125		Qatar	Yes
126 126	KR	Republic of Korea,	No
127 127	MD	Republic of Moldova,	Yes
128 128	RO	Romania	Abstain
129 129	RU	Russian Federation,	Yes
131 131	KN	Saint Kitts and Nevis,	Yes
132 132	LC	Saint Lucia,	Yes
133 133	VC	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines,	Yes
134 134	WS	Samoa	Abstain
137 137	SA	Saudi Arabia,	No
138 138	SN	Senegal	Yes
139 139	RS	Serbia	No
141 141	SL	Sierra Leone,	No
142 142	SG	Singapore	Abstain
143 143	SK	Slovakia	Abstain
144 144	SI	Slovenia	Abstain
147 147	ZA	South Africa,	Yes
148 148	ES	Spain	Abstain
149 149	LK	Sri Lanka,	No
150 150	SD	Sudan	
151 151	SR	Suriname	
152 152	SZ	Swaziland	Yes
153 153	SE	Sweden	Abstain
154 154	CH	Switzerland	Abstain
155 155	SY	Syrian Arab Republic,	No
156 156	TH	Thailand	Yes
158 158	ΤG	Тодо	
160 160	TN	Tunisia	No
161 161	TR	Turkey	No
162 162	UG	Uganda	Yes
163 163		Ukraine	Abstain
164 164	AE	United Arab Emirates,	No
165 165	GB	United Kingdom of Great Britain and	
		Northern Ireland,	Abstain
166 166	ΤZ	United Republic of Tanzania,	Yes
167 167		United States of America,	Yes
168 168		Uruguay	No
170 170		Vanuatu	Yes
172 172		Viet Nam,	
173 173		Yemen	No
174 906		Zambia	Yes
175 175		Zimbabwe	Yes