#### Implementation report format

| Party  | Latvia  |
|--|---|
| Period covered in this report                        | 2018-2020   |
| Department or agency preparing this report           | Nature Conservation Agency of Latvia (CITES Management Authority) |
| Contributing departments, agencies and organizations | State Revenue Service Customs Board                               |

# GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

| 1.1.1a | Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report? Yes ☐ No ☒  |
|--------|--|
|        | If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes ☐ No ☐Not Applicable ☐   |
|        | If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:   |
|        | Latvia directly implement EU level legislation amendments which includes also provisions agreed on previous COP.   |
| 1.1.1b | Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)?  Yes  No |
|        | If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: Latvia directly implement EU level legislation amendments.   |

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

|        |   | Yes         | No          | No<br>information |
|--------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 1.2.1a | Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?                                  | $\boxtimes$ |             |                   |
|        | Are the procedures publicly available?  | $\boxtimes$ |             |                   |
| 1.2.1b | Do you have:  |             |             |                   |
|        | Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?  | $\boxtimes$ |             |                   |
|        | Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries |             | $\boxtimes$ |                   |
|        | Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?                                      |             | $\boxtimes$ |                   |

| Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?   | $\boxtimes$  |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?   |  | $\boxtimes$   |   |
| If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide information on challen   | ges faced  | or issues   | overcome:   |
| If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹?   | $\boxtimes$  |   |   |
| If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain a Number of CITES permits issued in Latvia per year is too low maintenance of comprehensive IT system for e-permitting as we see necessity for EU level e-permitting system rather than Nevertheless, we are exploring possibilities for more efficient issuing authority and customs even though currently it takes receive permit (in case when assessment from Scientific Author other countries is not necessary). | to inves<br>nd due to<br>n nationa<br>t data exc<br>s on avera | t in estab<br>Schenge<br>I level sys<br>change bo<br>age less t | lishment and n agreement stem. etween han a week to |

Indicator 1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified procedures provided for in Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16).

| 1.2.2a | Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the following?  |             |              |                   |  |
|--------|---|-------------|--------------|-------------------|--|
|        |   | 7           | Гіск all app | olicable          |  |
|        |   | Yes         | No           | No<br>information |  |
|        | Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.   | $\boxtimes$ |              |                   |  |
|        | For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <a href="Article VII">Article VII</a> , paragraph 2.   | $\boxtimes$ |              |                   |  |
|        | For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.   | $\boxtimes$ |              |                   |  |
|        | For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.   | $\boxtimes$ |              |                   |  |
|        | Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures?  If 'Yes', please provide details: Latvia directly implement EU level legislation, that foreseen possibility for (re)exporters to receive partly fulfilled export permits or re-export certificates for dead Appendix II and III specimens if Scientific Authority is satisfied that particular (re)export will have no detrimental impact on the species concerned and the (re)export is registered by Management Authority. |             |              |                   |  |

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

| Objective 1.3         |  | the Conference of th   |  |  |   |  | adopted by  |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|
|                       |  | All Aichi targets relev  | ant to CITES, particu  | larly Target 9,  | Target 14                               | and Target 18.   |   |
| Indicator 1           | 1.3.1:   |  | ties that have impler<br>ference of the Parties  |  |   |  |   |
| 1.3.1a                | the pe   | eriod covered in this re<br>erence of the Parties,<br>ecretariat (see [ <mark>link to</mark>   | ed to all relevant speci<br>eport, including those<br>Standing Committee<br>location on the CITES  | in the Resoluti<br>recommendation  | ons and Dons, and N                     | ecisions of the lotifications issu   | ued by  |
|                       |  |  | Responses p<br>Responses provided to<br>Responses provided to  | SOME of the NONE of the  | relevant r<br>relevant r                |  | ements  ements  |
| 1.3.1b                | imple<br>of the<br>If 'Yes   | menting specific Reso<br>Parties?  | ntered during the peri<br>plutions or Decisions a<br>ils of which Resolution<br>ed?  | adopted by the   | Conferen                                | ce<br>Yes [  | ☐ No ⊠<br>difficulties                                    |
| Objective             |  |  | ctly reflect the conserv<br>2, Target 14 and Targ  |  | species.                                |  |   |
|                       |  |  | f species that have be<br>This includes both the   |  |   |  |   |
|                       |  |  |  | •  |   |  |   |
| 1.4.1a                |  | you undertaken any ro<br>CITES Appendices?   | eviews of whether spe  | ecies would ber  | nefit from                              |  | ☐ No⊠   |
| 1.4.1a                | on the   | CITES Appendices?  , please provide a surply of that report to the provide and the provide as th | mmary here, or a link<br>he Secretariat if the w<br>such reviews on nati   | to the report of<br>ork is not avail<br>onal level, ho   | the work<br>able online<br>wever as     | Yes  <br>e):<br><b>EU Member S</b> i   | tate we   |
| 1.4.1a                | on the   | CITES Appendices?  , please provide a surply of that report to the provide and the provide as th | mmary here, or a link<br>he Secretariat if the w<br>such reviews on nati<br>s process within EU  | to the report of<br>ork is not avail<br>onal level, ho   | the work<br>able online<br>wever as     | Yes  <br>e):<br><b>EU Member S</b> i   | tate we   |
| 1.4.1a  Objective     | on the   | cites Appendices?  The provide a surply of that report to the provide and the provide and the provided in this ing such reviews from the provided in the provi | mmary here, or a link<br>he Secretariat if the w<br>such reviews on nati<br>s process within EU  | to the report of<br>ork is not availa<br>onal level, ho<br>level Scientifi<br>basis for non-d  | the work able online wever as c Review  | Yes  <br>e):<br>EU Member Si<br>Group includi<br>ndings.   | tate we   |
|                       | on the   | CITES Appendices?  I, please provide a surply of that report to the population of the provide in this ing such reviews from the provided in th | mmary here, or a link he Secretariat if the wasuch reviews on nation of the wasuch reviews within EU am UNEP-WCMC.   | to the report of ork is not availational level, how level Scientifications for non-dependent of the content of  | etriment fiet 9, Targon Non-decies; and | Yes   EU Member St Group includi  Indings. et 12 and Targe  Iporting countrie etriment finding   | tate weing  et 14. es based on s related to:              |
| Objective             | on the or the order or the orde | CITES Appendices?  I, please provide a surply of that report to the population of the provide in this ing such reviews from the sources of information of the population such recovery plans.  | mmary here, or a link he Secretariat if the we such reviews on nation is process within EU or UNEP-WCMC.  Tific information is the set 4, Target 5, Target 6 eys, studies or other are nation cited in Resolution cited in naturally-or and trend in naturally-or second content analyses be   | to the report of ork is not availational level, how level Scientifications for non-depth and years and yea | etriment fiet 9, Targon Non-decies; and | Yes   EU Member St Group includi  Indings. et 12 and Targe  Iporting countrie etriment finding   | et 14. es based on s related to: pact of any  If Yes, How |
| Objective Indicator 1 | on the or the order of the orde | CITES Appendices?  I, please provide a surply of that report to the population of the provided in this report in the provided in the sources of information at the population of the status of an recovery plans.   | mmary here, or a link he Secretariat if the wasuch reviews on nation is process within EU mm UNEP-WCMC.  Tific information is the tata tata of Appendix-II sympact of trade upon And trend in naturally-or in relation to:   | to the report of ork is not availational level, how level Scientification Conf. 16.7 pecies; appendix-II speccurring Apperent  | etriment fiet 9, Targon Non-decies; and | Yes   EU Member St Group includi  Indings. Let 12 and Targe  Incomparing countries  Incompa | et 14. es based on s related to: pact of any              |
| Objective Indicator 1 | on the If 'Yes (or a continuous or derivative in the If 'Yes (or a c | cites Appendices?  In please provide a surpopy of that report to the such reviews from the such reviews from the such reviews from the sources of informal the population such the status of an recovery plans.  In any surveys, studies of the status of an recovery plans.   | mmary here, or a link he Secretariat if the we such reviews on nation is process within EU or UNEP-WCMC.  Tific information is the set 4, Target 5, Target 6 or other anation cited in Resolution that us of Appendix-II sympact of trade upon And trend in naturally-or of the set in the set | to the report of ork is not availational level, how level Scientification Conf. 16.7 pecies; appendix-II specurring Apperent   | etriment fiet 9, Targon Non-decies; and | Yes   EU Member St Group includi  Indings. Let 12 and Targe  Incomparing countries  Incompa | et 14. es based on s related to: pact of any  If Yes, How |

| - the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?  | $\boxtimes$ |             |  |
|--|-------------|-------------|--|
| Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities? |             | $\boxtimes$ |  |
| There are no indigenous communities in Latvia  |             |             |  |

|        | If there are such studies that you are willing                                    | g to share, please provide:   |
|--------|---|---|
|        |   | A brief summary of the results of the survey, study or other analysis (e.g. population status, decline / stable / increase, off-take levels etc), or provide links to published reference material. A brief summary of the results of the survey, study or other analysis (e.g. population status, decline / stable / increase, off-take levels etc), or provide links to published reference material.   |
|        |   | For several CITES listed species national species management plans had been developed and adopted within reporting period. CITES and potential trade issues have been emphasised within these plans. All of these species are specially protected in Latvia (in case of Lynx lynx and Canis lupus also game species) and therefore subject to permanent population monitoring. Species management plans are available in Nature Conservation homepage <a href="https://www.daba.gov.lv/lv/sugu-un-biotopu-aizsardzibas-plani">https://www.daba.gov.lv/lv/sugu-un-biotopu-aizsardzibas-plani</a> . Species covered by management plans are Ursus arctos, Lynx lynx, Lutra lutra, Canis lupus, and birds (owls, Clanga pomarina). |
|        | Species name (scientific)   |   |
|        | Ursus   | arctos  |
|        | Lynx  | Lynx  |
|        | Canis   | Lupus   |
|        | Lutra   | lutra   |
|        | Clanga  | Pomarine  |
|        | - 3   | Glaucidium passerinum, Aegolius   |
|        |   | funereus, Strix aluco, Strix uralensis,<br>Asio otus, Bubo bubo   |
| 1.5.1b | How are the results of such surveys, studings (NDFs)? Please tick all that a      | es or other analyses used in making non-detriment pply  |
|        |   | Revised harvest or export quotas  |
|        |   | Banning export  |
|        |   | Stricter domestic measures ☐ Changed management of the species ☒  |
|        |   | Discussion with Management Authorities  |
|        |   | Discussion with other stakeholders?   |
|        | CITES listed species of owls and Clang<br>Management plan developed to management | Management plans has been adopted for native<br>a pomarina to improve their conservation status.<br>e population of Lutra lutra and recover population<br>ynx lynx management plans developed to set<br>sustainable species management.   |
| 1.5.1c | Do you have specific conservation measu   |   |
|        | plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I lis                                      |   |
|        |   | Not Applicable  No information  |
|        | If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, ir                                      |   |
|        |   | ion 1.5.1a as the national species conservation   |
| 1.5.1d | Have you published  | any non-detriment findings that can be shared? Yes ⊠  |

|        | No  If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the Secretariat within this report:  The criteria to set favourable hunting quotas for Canis lupus and Lynx in species management plans, which are published in https://www.dababiotopu-aizsardzibas-plani   | Lynx are in |             |
|--------|--|-------------|-------------|
| 1.5.1e | Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a) x) of Resolution Conf. 16.7) do you use in making non-detriment findings?   | Yes         | No          |
|        | A. relevant scientific literature concerning species biology, life history, distribution and population trends.  |             |             |
|        | B. details of any ecological risk assessments conducted.   | $\boxtimes$ |             |
|        | C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest locations and at sites protected from harvest and other impacts.  |             |             |
|        | D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities.   |             | $\boxtimes$ |
|        | E. consultations with relevant local, regional and international experts.  | $\boxtimes$ |             |
|        | F. national and international trade information such as that available via the CITES trade database maintained by UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publications on trade, local knowledge on trade and investigations of sales at markets or through the Internet for example. |             |             |

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

| 1.5.2a |   |            |       |             | No             |
|--------|---|------------|-------|-------------|----------------|
|        |   | ,          | Yes   | No          | information    |
|        | Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?   |            |       | $\boxtimes$ |                |
|        | If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-<br>or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the<br>on the internet: |            |       | _           | found          |
| 1.5.2b | When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the follo   | wing       |       |             |                |
|        | guidance been used?   |            | Pleas | se tick     | all that apply |
|        | Virtual C   | College    |       | [           | $\boxtimes$    |
|        | IUCN Ch   | ecklist    |       | [           | $\boxtimes$    |
|        | Resolution Con  | ıf. 16.7   |       | [           | $\boxtimes$    |
|        | 2008 NDF wor  | rkshop     |       | [           |                |
|        | Species specific gui  | idance     |       |             | $\boxtimes$    |
|        |   | Other      |       | [           |                |
|        | If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:  |            |       |             |                |
| 1.5.2c | How often do you review and/or change your non-   | Case by    | case  |             |                |
|        | detriment findings?   | Annually   |       |             |                |
|        |   | Every two  | •     |             |                |
|        |   | Less freq  | ,     |             |                |
|        |   | A mix of t |       |             |                |
|        | Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment   | •          |       |             | •              |
|        | The import and export are mainly with captive bred species case of hunting trophies DNF are checked case by case if a received.                               |            |       |             |                |

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

| 1.5.3a | Do you set annual export quotas?   | Yes<br>No             |                             |
|--------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
|        | If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:  We do not set national export quotas, but we set EU level export quotas for example for Anguilla anguilla. For native species in Latvia, there are hunting quotas for game species and CITES documents are issued only if specimen is obtained legally. For non-game species export permits are not issued | Population<br>Survey? | Other,<br>please<br>specify |
|        | Species Name (scientific)  |                       |                             |
| 1.5.3b | Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption?   | Yes<br>No             |                             |
|        | If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detrimen<br>For Anguilla Anguilla quotas has been set at EU level.   | t finding process:    | _                           |

| Objective 1.6 | Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources.                          |
|---------------|---|
|               | Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19. |

Indicator 1.6.1: The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for comanagement of shared CITES listed species by range States.

| 1.6.1a | Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes ☐ No ☒   |
|--------|--|
|        | If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: But in the same time for large carnivors (Ursus arctos, Canis lupus, Lynx lynx) species management plans were developed involving Baltic countries to ensure that shared population aspects are taken into account in species management plans. |

Indicator 1.6.2: The number of cooperative management plans, including recovery plans, in place for shared populations of CITES-listed species.

| 1.6.2a | Do you have any cooperative in place for shared population    | e management plans, including recovery plans,<br>ns of CITES-listed species? Yes⊠ No⊡ |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|        | If 'Yes', please list the specie to a published plan for each | es for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference species.        |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Species Name (scientific)                                     | Link or reference to a published plan   |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Ursus arctos  | https://www.daba.gov.lv/lv/sugu-un-biotopu-aizsardzibas-<br>plani                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Lynx lynx   | https://www.daba.gov.lv/lv/sugu-un-biotopu-aizsardzibas-<br>plani                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Canis lupus   | https://www.daba.gov.lv/lv/sugu-un-biotopu-aizsardzibas-<br>plani                     |  |  |  |  |  |

Indicator 1.6.3: The number of workshops and other capacity-building activities that bring range States together to address the conservation and management needs of shared, CITES listed, species.

| 1.6.3a | Have the CITES authorities <i>received or benefited</i> from any of the following capacity-building activities provided by external sources? |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |
|--------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
|        | Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group   | Oral or written<br>advice/guidance | Technical<br>assistance | Financial<br>assistance | Training    | Other (specify) | What were the external sources <sup>1</sup> ? |
|        | Staff of Management Authority  |                                    |                         |                         | $\boxtimes$ |                 | Organized by the<br>European Commission       |
|        | Staff of Scientific Authority  |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 | Organized by the<br>European Commission       |
|        | Staff of enforcement authorities   |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 | Organized by the<br>European Commission       |
|        | Traders  |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |
|        | NGOs   |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |
|        | Public   |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |

p. 9

Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

|        | Other (please specify):  |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |
|--------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 1.6.3b | Have the CITES authorities been the other range States?                            | provide                            | rs of any               | of the f                | followi     | ng ca           | pacity-building activities to   |
|        | Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group | Oral or written<br>advice/guidance | Technical<br>assistance | Financial<br>assistance | Training    | Other (specify) | Details   |
|        | Staff of Management Authority  | _                                  |                         | П                       |             |                 | Details   |
|        | Staff of Scientific Authority  |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |
|        | Staff of enforcement authorities   |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |
|        | Traders  |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |
|        | NGOs   |                                    |                         | $\boxtimes$             |             |                 | Financial support for EU-<br>twix data base   |
|        | Public   |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |
|        | Other Parties/International meetings Other (please specify)                        |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 | Training and experience exchange seminars within review process for conservation plans for Lynx lynx, Canis lupus, Lutra lutra and Ursus arctos |
| 1.6.3c | In what ways do you collaborate with   | other C                            | ITES P                  | arties?                 | ,           |                 |   |
|        |  | Never                              | Rarely                  | Sometimes               | Very Often  | Always          | Further detail /<br>examples  |
|        | Information exchange   |                                    |                         |                         | $\boxtimes$ |                 | To verify legality of documents, breeders etc.  |
|        | Monitoring / survey  |                                    |                         | $\boxtimes$             |             |                 | Not just in CITES issues, but in broader scale  |
|        | Habitat management   |                                    |                         | $\boxtimes$             |             |                 | Not just in CITES issues, but in broader scale  |
|        | Species management   |                                    |                         | $\boxtimes$             |             |                 | Not just in CITES issues, but in broader scale  |
|        | Law enforcement  |                                    |                         |                         | $\boxtimes$ |                 | Communication during investigation process  |
|        | Capacity building  |                                    |                         | $\boxtimes$             |             |                 | During training sessions or semminars   |
|        | Other (please provide details)   |                                    |                         |                         |             |                 |   |

Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade.

Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.

| Indicator 1 | <ul> <li>1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged</li> <li>an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan</li> <li>formal international cooperation, such as an international</li> <li>a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and</li> <li>formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency cooperation.</li> </ul>   | n;<br>al enforce  |  |  | nent  |
|-------------|---|---|--|--|-------|
| 1.7.1a      | Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:   | Yes   | No   | No<br>Information  | on    |
|             | – an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?   |   |  |  |       |
|             | – formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network?   | $\boxtimes$   |  |  |       |
|             | – a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan?   | $\boxtimes$   |  |  |       |
|             | <ul> <li>formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national<br/>interagency enforcement committee?</li> </ul>  |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |       |
|             | details: Within reporting period EU action plan against wildlife to implemented by the European Commission and EU Member States and participates in the Enforcement group of EU Member States and well as financially supports and exchanges information throug assessment have been carried out in Latvia to select enforcement agreements have been actively used or renewed within this perfections. During meeting with all relevant enforcement authorities wildlife and environmental crime it have been decided that for agreements are more suitable approach than formal national en | tates. Lat<br>nd neighb<br>nh EU-twi<br>nent prior<br>riod to en<br>es involv<br>Latvia bil | via activ<br>couring of<br>x platfor<br>rities and<br>nhance e<br>red in fig<br>lateral or | ely<br>countries a<br>m. Risk<br>I interagen<br>enforcemer<br>ht against<br>r multilater | nt    |
| Indicator 1 | 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for review and the activities taken to implement their strategies.   | wing their  | enforcen   | nent strateg   | jies, |
| 1.7.2a      | Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?   | conside<br>No   | t review<br>eration<br>ermation  | is under   |       |
|             | If 'Yes', what do you do? Management Authority is also the Enf  |   |  |  | .,    |

internal market and bilateral agreement is signed with Customs Board. The internation trade enforcement strategy and priorities are set on Nature Conservation Agency level (NCA strategy) and every year the list of priority control objects are set. Therefore NCA is flexible to any necessary changes arisen due to changes in legislation or illegal trade trends. If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do you find of value?  $\boxtimes$ 1.7.2b Have you used the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic No, but toolkit use is under Toolkit, or equivalent tools? consideration Nο No information If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used and how useful the toolkit or equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that could be made: Some parts and information from the toolkit have been used for training custom and police officers purposes. If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to make the toolkit or equivalent tools useful to you:

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic

technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

| 1.7.3a | Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?   | Yes No No information                              |                 |
|--------|---|--|-----------------|
|        | If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available  | No information                                     |                 |
|        | Within Criminal law imprisonment up to 5 years is foreseen for CITES infringements and poaching of protected species. Law on Administrative Liability and specific laws define administrative fines.  |  |                 |
|        | The legislation states that all illegally acquired specimens should be confiscated. If poaching or any other type of deliberate killing of protected species is proved the offender   |  |                 |
|        | have to reimburse up to 120 minimal wages (currently in total 51'000 euros) per specimen to government for the damage caused to the species concerned (total amount depends on how rare the species is and whether the infringement have been conducted within protected territory established for particular species). |  |                 |
| 1.7.3b | Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime <sup>1</sup> in your country?   | Yes<br>No  |                 |
|        |   | No information                                     |                 |
|        | If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes: Regarding poaching main criteria is a (to evaluate it, species experts and Nature Conservation Ager CITES criteria is either harm caused to the species or value of   | the harm caused to the<br>ncy is involved). Regard | species<br>ding |
| 1.7.3c | Do you have capacity to use forensic technology <sup>2</sup> to support the investigation of CITES offences?  | Yes<br>No<br>No information                        |                 |
|        | If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITE collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility another country) during the period covered in this report: <i>There hareporting period.</i>  | (located in your country                           | and/or          |
|        | If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic analysis facil please indicate which species it applies to: <i>There are several labe forensic analysis in Latvia and, if necessary, the communicate authorities is available to find necessary expertise in EU.</i>   | oratories able to work w                           | vith            |
| 1.7.3d | Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi-disciplinary <sup>3</sup> law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?   | Yes No No information                              |                 |
|        | If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including any lessons lear other Parties: During reporting period Latvia participated in op LAKE 2020/2021. Operation Thunder 2020 ended with no seize participation in this operation was awareness raising for enfo engaged in trade in CITES species.                      | erations Thunder 2020<br>ures. Added value of      | and OP          |
| 1.7.3e | Do you have a standard operating procedure among relevant agencies for submitting information related to CITES offences to INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?  | Yes No No information                              |                 |

The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

<sup>3</sup> A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope.

| 1.7.3f | Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?  | Yes         | No      | No<br>information           | If yes, how many<br>times was this<br>used during the<br>period covered<br>by this report? |
|--------|--|-------------|---------|-----------------------------|--|
|        | General crime <sup>1</sup>   | $\square$   |         |                             | 1  |
|        | Predicate offences <sup>2</sup>  | $\boxtimes$ |         |                             | 0  |
|        | Asset forfeiture <sup>3</sup>  | $\boxtimes$ |         |                             | 0  |
|        | Corruption <sup>4</sup>  | $\boxtimes$ |         |                             | 0  |
|        | International cooperation in criminal matters <sup>5</sup>   | $\boxtimes$ |         |                             | 0  |
|        | Organized crime <sup>6</sup>   | $\boxtimes$ |         |                             | 0  |
|        | Specialized investigation techniques <sup>7</sup>  |             |         |                             | 0  |
|        | If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which r communication and work together with police lea animal trade. Some cases are still under investigation.   | night b     | e helpt | ful for other Pai           | ties: <i>the</i>   |
| 1.7.3g | Do you have institutional capacity to implement the le<br>provisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES offer   |             |         | Yes<br>No<br>No information | □<br>□<br>on □   |
|        | If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major capacity-building needs: The capacity in terms of officers, their general knowledge about the methods and available technical resources is rather sufficient while the wide scope of offences these officers are dealing with and turnover might cause problems. |             |         |                             |  |

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

| 1.7.4a | Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?  | Always         |             |
|--------|---|----------------|-------------|
| 1.7.74 | Do you use hisk assessment to target of the enhorsement enort:  | Very often     |             |
|        |   | Sometimes      |             |
|        |   | Rarely         |             |
|        |   | Never          |             |
|        |   | No information |             |
| 1.7.4b | Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal | Yes            | $\boxtimes$ |
|        | trade in CITES-listed species?                                  | No             |             |
|        |   | No information |             |

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

<sup>3</sup> Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

| 1.7.4c | Do you use criminal intelligence <sup>1</sup> to inform investigations into illegal trade in CITES-listed species? | Always<br>Very often                     |             |
|--------|--|--|-------------|
|        |  | Sometimes                                | $\boxtimes$ |
|        |  | Rarely                                   |             |
|        |  | Never                                    |             |
|        |  | No information                           |             |
| 1.74d  | Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal   | Yes                                      | $\boxtimes$ |
|        | trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?  | No, but activities are under development |             |
|        |  | No                                       |             |
|        |  | No information                           |             |
| 1.7.4e | Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address   | Yes                                      | $\boxtimes$ |
|        | illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?                                    | No, but activities are under development |             |
|        |  | No                                       |             |
|        |  | No information                           |             |

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

| During the  | e period covered in this report:   | Yes                       | No           | No<br>Information |
|-------------|--|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 1.7.5a      | Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?  | $\boxtimes$               |              |                   |
|             | If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offend details: <b>Please see annual illegal trade report.</b>  | es. If avai               | lable, pleas | e attach          |
| 1.7.5b      | Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?   | $\boxtimes$               |              |                   |
|             | If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available  | , please at               | tach details | :                 |
|             | 1.criminal case of trade with skins of Lutra lutra (court apfine of 34 200 euro for the damage caused to nature);  | proved ag                 | reement ir   | nposing a         |
|             | 2. criminal case on cruelty to animals and violation of the wildlife (court approved agreement imposing a fine of 34 person, 420 hours of forced labor for another and for a thin 3. One case of trade with live Puma concolor is still in the | 400 euros<br>ird – fine ( | on one ac    | cused             |
| 1.7.5c      | Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?  |                           | $\boxtimes$  |                   |
|             | If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result   | ts? Please                | attach detai | ls:               |
| 1.7.5d      | How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?  | •                         | Tick         | all that apply    |
|             | <ul> <li>Return to country of export</li> </ul>  |                           |              |                   |
|             | <ul> <li>Public zoos or botanical gardens</li> </ul>   |                           |              | $\boxtimes$       |
|             | Designated rescue centres  |                           |              |                   |
|             | Approved private facilities  |                           |              |                   |
|             | <ul> <li>Euthanasia</li> </ul>   |                           |              |                   |
|             | <ul> <li>Other (please specify): Stored for awareness raising pure</li> </ul>  | poses or                  | disposal.    | $\boxtimes$       |
|             | Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca No. Current amount of seizures does not raise problems, increase in seizures (especially in case of live specimens) problems due to capacity of National zoological garden.       | but signif                | icant        |                   |
|             | Do you have good practice that you would like to share with of   | ther Partie               | s?           |                   |
| Objective ' | Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-build Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.  | ling progra               | ımmes in pl  | ace.              |

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

| 1.8.1a | Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings?   Permit officers?  Enforcement officers?  | No                          |  |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| 1.8.1b | Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work?  What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building? Some materials from CITES Virtual College is used, but as CITES is implemented by EU Regulations, we mainly use EU educational materials | Yes<br>No<br>No information |  |

| 1.8.1c | Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes?  What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for | Yes<br>No<br>No information |  |
|--------|--|-----------------------------|--|
|        | capacity building?   |                             |  |

# GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

**Objective 2.1** Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

|        | ŭ   |  |  |
|--------|---|--|--|
| 2.2.1a | Do you have an approved service standard(s)¹ for your Management Authority(ies)? If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.   | Yes<br>No  |  |
|        | If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?   | Standards are set on authority level. As CITES permit issuance is a service, the national legislation sets maximum time for answering any application (30 days). Response time set for CITES documents is much lower than general response time (~95% of CITES applications are answered within 5 to 10 working days). |  |
|        | If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>2</sup> ?  If 'Yes', what are your performance targets? 95% of CITES applications are answered within 5 to 10 working days   | Yes<br>No  |  |
|        | Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?   | Yes<br>No  |  |
|        | If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:  During reporting period all CITES permits were issued within one month. 95% of CITES applications are answered within 5 to 10 working days. |  |  |
|        |   |  |  |

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

|        | Customer satisfaction survey for all services by NCA available on - https://www.daba.gov.lv/lv/klientu-apmierinatibas-aptaujas |  |             |
|--------|--|--|-------------|
|        | If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:  | Yes  | No          |
|        | <ul><li>availability of funding?</li></ul>   |  |             |
|        | - number of staff?   |  |             |
|        | – a shortage of skills?  |  | $\boxtimes$ |
|        | If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?  |  |             |
| 2.2.1b | Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your Scientific Authority(ies)?                                  | Yes<br>No  |             |
|        | If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.   |  |             |
|        | If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?  |  |             |
|        | If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?  | Yes  |             |
|        | If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?   | No   |             |
|        | If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:               |  |             |
|        | If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:  | Yes  | No          |
|        | <ul><li>availability of funding?</li></ul>   |  |             |
|        | <ul><li>number of staff?</li></ul>   |  | $\Box$      |
|        | a shortage of skills?  |  | $\Box$      |
|        | If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?  |  |             |
| 2.2.1c | Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your   | Yes  |             |
|        | enforcement authority(ies)?  | No   | Ш           |
|        | If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.  If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those                   | Madanal  |             |
|        | standards?   | National legislation determine the time within which to react on possible violation and the time limits for reviewing violations and violation proceedings for all enforcement authorities |             |
|        | If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?  If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?    | Yes No Non-compliance with deadlines is a procedural violation, so every effort is   |             |

|        |                                     |  |   | made not to<br>delay deadlines |             |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------|
|        |                                     | ase provide your perforr<br>ng the period covered in |   |                                |             |
|        | If you did not m<br>a result of:    | eet your performance to                              | argets then was this shortfall                                      | Yes                            | No          |
|        | <ul> <li>availability of</li> </ul> | of funding?  |   |                                | $\boxtimes$ |
|        | - number of s                       | taff?  |   | $\boxtimes$                    |             |
|        | – a shortage o                      | of skills?   |   | $\boxtimes$                    |             |
|        | There is neces                      | •  | ills do you need more of?<br>vledges on administrative<br>nspectors |                                |             |
| 2.2.1d |                                     |  | our answered 'No' to the first p<br>nce of approved service standa  |                                |             |
|        |                                     | lly "Yes" or "No" ques                               | for your authorities to function stion. In our case answer to s     |                                |             |
|        |                                     | Management<br>Authority(ies)                         | Scientific Authority(ies)   | Enforcement<br>Authority(ies)  | 1           |
|        | Funding?                            | Yes ⊠ No □   | Yes ⊠ No □  | Yes ⊠ No 🗆                     | ]           |
|        | Staff?                              | Yes 🛛 No 🗌   | Yes ☐ No 🛚  | Yes ⊠ No 🗆                     | ]           |
|        | Skills?                             | Yes ⊠ No □   | Yes ☐ No 🛚  | Yes ⊠ No 🗆                     | ]           |
|        |                                     |  |   |                                |             |

## The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities: - changed the budget for activities; - hired more staff; Indicator 2.2.2:

- developed implementation tools;
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

| 2.2.2a | Have any of the following activities been undertak covered in this report to enhance the effectivenes implementation at the national level?  Hiring of more staff | Tick if applicable |        |                |  |  |  |  |
|--------|---|--------------------|--------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
|        | Development of implementation tools   |                    |        |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | Purchase of technical equipment for implementati  | t 🖂                |        |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | Other (please specify):   |                    |        |                |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2.2b | During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:  | Increased          | Stable | Decreased      |  |  |  |  |
|        | Management Authority(ies)   | $\boxtimes$        |        |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | Scientific Authority(ies)   | $\boxtimes$        |        |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | Enforcement authorities   | $\boxtimes$        |        |                |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2.2c | Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your  | Yes                | No     | Not applicable |  |  |  |  |
|        | Management Authority(ies)?  |                    |        | $\boxtimes$    |  |  |  |  |
|        | Scientific Authority(ies)?  |                    |        | $\boxtimes$    |  |  |  |  |
|        | Enforcement authorities?  |                    |        | $\boxtimes$    |  |  |  |  |

| 2.2.2d | What is the respective level of priority for enhancing the effectiveness of CITES implementation at the national level through the following activities? |             |             |                   |                |  |  |  |  |
|--------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
|        | Activity   | High        | Medium      | Low               | Not a Priority |  |  |  |  |
|        | Hiring of more staff   |             |             | $\boxtimes$       |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | Development of implementation tools  |             |             | $\boxtimes$       |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement  |             | $\boxtimes$ |                   |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | e-permitting   |             | $\boxtimes$ |                   |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | Other (please specify): Training for all authorities   |             |             |                   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2.2e | Do you have a operational system (e.g. electronic database) for managing   |             | Yes         | Under development | No             |  |  |  |  |
|        | Species information  | $\boxtimes$ |             |                   |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | Trade information  |             | $\boxtimes$ |                   |                |  |  |  |  |
|        | Non-detriment findings   |             |             | $\boxtimes$       |                |  |  |  |  |

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

| 2.2.3a | Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick a   | ıll that are appli | icable      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|---|--------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|        | Administrative procedures   |                    |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | <ul> <li>Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or introcthe sea)</li> </ul>   | luction from       |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | <ul> <li>Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction for CITES-listed species) – charged by Customs Board not Management</li> </ul> |                    |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species  |                    |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Harvesting of CITES-listed species  |                    |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Use of CITES-listed species   |                    |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species   |                    |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | <ul> <li>Other (please specify): State duty for registration of live CITES mamma<br/>reptile species</li> </ul>   | al, bird and       |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2.3b | Is a fee schedule publicly available?   | Yes ⊠N             | o 🗌         |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Secretariat:  |                    |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | https://likumi.lv/ta/id/150402-noteikumi-par-1973-gada-vasingtonas-konvencija-par-  |                    |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | starptautisko-tirdzniecibu-ar-apdraudetajam-savvalas-dzivnieku-un-augu  |                    |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | State duty/fee for CITES permit depends from the purpose codes: $T-11$ . euro; $B, E, G, M, N, S-4.27$ euro.  | 38 euro; Q – 8     | 3.54        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2.3c | Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildlif  | e conservation     | ?           |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   | Entirely           |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   | Partly             |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   | Not at all         | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |   | Not relevant       |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2.3d |   | Yes                | No          |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?   |                    | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?   |                    | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?   |                    | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: <b>there is discussion</b> to revise fees and to increase them.   | to                 |             |  |  |  |  |  |

| Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?   |  |
|---|--|
| If 'Yes', please provide brief details: Some of the national CITES related aims have been incorporated in projects financed by either state or EU project programs. |  |

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

| 2.2.4a | Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the Convention?  YesNo  Due diligence  Compensatory mechanisms  Certification  Communal property rights  Auctioning of quotas  Cost recovery or environmental charges ☐  Enforcement incentives  |
|--------|---|
|        | If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information:  |
|        | In a way certification idea is incorporated in the national requirement to register all live specimens of CITES Appendix I and II mammal, bird and reptile species including those owned by pet traders. Buyer can ask for registration sheet of particular specimen and check in the webpage of CITES Management Authority whether particular specimen is registered thus being sure that competent authority have checked whether specimen is of legal origin or not.   |
|        | Regarding compensatory mechanisms - some native CITES listed species have conflicts with agriculture, for example - Lynx lynx and Canis lupus (both in case of livestock), Ursus arctos (in case of beekeeping), Lutra lutra and Pandion haliaethus (both in case of aquaculture). National legislation foreseen both compensations for damage caused by these species (if prevention measures have been in place) and possibility to apply for co-financing for damage prevention measures (infrastructure, tools etc.). Furthermore, for conservation of some native CITES listed species protected territories and micro-reserves can be established – if commercial or other use of private land within these territories is banned owner can apply for compensation. |
| 2.2.4b | Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all   |
|        | Somewhat  |
|        | Completely⊠   |
|        | Completely 2  |

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

**Objective 2.3** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

| 2.3.1a | How many training and capacity building you run during the period covered in this                                 |                                    |                         |                         | Withou<br>from the<br>Secreta | е               | ance Conducted or<br>assisted by the<br>Secretariat |
|--------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|
|        |   |                                    | N                       | lone                    |                               |                 | $\boxtimes$   |
|        |   |                                    |                         | 1                       |                               |                 |   |
|        |   |                                    |                         | 2-5                     |                               |                 |   |
|        |   |                                    |                         | 6-10                    |                               |                 |   |
|        |   | _                                  |                         | 1-20                    |                               |                 |   |
|        |   |                                    | More tha                | _                       |                               | Ш               |   |
|        | Please list the Resolutions or Decisions devoted for training of enforcement of                                   |                                    |                         | f the c                 | apacity                       | / buildi        | ing activities have been                            |
| 2.3.1b | What sorts of capacity building activities  | have ta                            | aken pla                | ce? <b>T</b>            | raining                       | of enfo         | orcement officers.                                  |
| 2.3.1c | What capacity building needs do you hat building actions we are currently impallocating Management Authorities re | olement                            | ing of i                | mplen                   | nenting                       | on ca           |   |
|        | Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group                | Oral or written<br>advice/guidance | Technical<br>assistance | Financial<br>assistance | Training                      | Other (specify) | Details   |
|        | Staff of Management Authority   |                                    | П                       | П                       |                               |                 |   |
|        | Staff of Scientific Authority   |                                    |                         |                         |                               |                 |   |
|        | Staff of enforcement authorities  |                                    |                         |                         |                               |                 |   |
|        | Traders / other user groups   | $\boxtimes$                        |                         |                         |                               |                 |   |
|        | NGOs  |                                    |                         |                         |                               |                 |   |
|        | Public  |                                    |                         |                         |                               |                 |   |
|        | Other (please specify)  |                                    |                         |                         |                               |                 |   |

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

## GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

| 3.1.1a | Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?  If 'Yes', please provide brief details: There have not been internation devoted for CITES, however CITES aims and conservation of CITE incorporated in actions of such projects. |   |  |
|--------|--|---|--|
| 3.1.1b | During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:   | Increased<br>Remained stable<br>Decreased |  |

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

| 3.1.2a | 3.1.2a Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES? |                        |                        |                 |                 |             | Yes<br>No<br>No | information  |
|--------|--|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
|        | If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided  Country(ies)                                | Species<br>Management¹ | Habitat<br>Management² | Sustainable use | Law Enforcement | Livelihoods | Other (specify) | Details<br>(provide more<br>information in an<br>Appendix if<br>necessary) |
|        |  |                        |                        |                 |                 |             |                 |  |
|        |  |                        |                        |                 |                 |             |                 |  |
|        |  |                        |                        |                 |                 |             |                 |  |
|        |  |                        |                        |                 |                 |             |                 |  |
|        |  |                        |                        |                 |                 |             |                 |  |
|        |  |                        |                        |                 |                 |             |                 |  |
|        |  |                        |                        |                 |                 |             |                 |  |

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

Objective 3.2 Awareness of the role and purpose of CITES is increased globally. Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12 and Target 18.

Indicator 3.2.1: The number of Parties that have been involved in CITES awareness raising activities to bring about better awareness by the wider public and relevant user groups of the Convention requirements.

| 3.2.1a | Have CITES authorities been involved in any of the following activities to bring about better awareness of the Convention's      |              | Relevant<br>User |
|--------|--|--------------|------------------|
|        | requirements by the wider public and relevant user groups?   | Wider public | Groups           |
|        | - Press conferences  | $\boxtimes$  |                  |
|        | - Press releases   | $\boxtimes$  |                  |
|        | Newspaper articles, brochures, leaflets  | $\boxtimes$  |                  |
|        | Television appearances   | $\boxtimes$  |                  |
|        | - Radio appearances  | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$      |
|        | - Presentations  | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$      |
|        | Public consultations / meetings  | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$      |
|        | Market surveys   |              |                  |
|        | - Displays   | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$      |
|        | Information at border crossing points  | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$      |
|        | Telephone hotline  |              |                  |
|        | Website(s) – if so please provide link(s) <a href="https://www.daba.gov.lv/lv/cites">https://www.daba.gov.lv/lv/cites</a>        |              |                  |
|        | Other (specify):   |              |                  |
|        | Please attach copies of any items or describe examples: there are  |              |                  |
|        | lot of media activities, see links just to some of them  |              |                  |
|        | https://lvportals.lv/dienaskartiba/293672-ano-pasaules-savvalas-   |              |                  |
|        | sugu-diena-stastu-pecpusdiena-par-apdraudetajam-sugam-2018,  |              |                  |
|        | https://www.daba.gov.lv/lv/jaunums/sliteres-puse-notiks-pasaules-savvalas-sugu-dienai-veltits-pargajiens-vilkam-kajas-nosalusas, |              |                  |
|        | https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/riga-dzivokli-turets-melnais-  |              |                  |
|        | leopards-policija-sak-kriminalprocesu.a347608/   |              |                  |

Indicator 3.2.2: The number of visits to the CITES website.

| 3.2.2a | How regularly do your Authorities consult the CITES website?  |         |             |         |                    |             |  |  |  |
|--------|---|---------|-------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
|        | Please tick boxes to indicate the most frequent usage (decide on an average amongst staff if necessary).  Target group  | Daily   | Weekly      | Monthly | Less<br>frequently | Not known   |  |  |  |
|        | Staff of Management Authority   |         | $\boxtimes$ |         |                    |             |  |  |  |
|        | Staff of Scientific Authority   |         |             |         |                    | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
|        | Staff of enforcement authorities  |         | $\boxtimes$ |         |                    |             |  |  |  |
| 3.2.2b | What has been your experience with using the  | CITES w | vebsite?    | Exc     | ellent             |             |  |  |  |
|        |   |         |             | Goo     | d                  | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
|        |   |         |             | Ave     | rage               |             |  |  |  |
|        |   |         |             | Poo     | r                  |             |  |  |  |
|        | Very Poor   |         |             |         |                    |             |  |  |  |
|        | No information  |         |             |         |                    |             |  |  |  |
|        | Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any difficulties encountered, which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, etc): |         |             |         |                    |             |  |  |  |

### Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

**Objective 3.3** Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

| 3.3.1a | Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) <sup>1</sup> to which your country is party?  | Yes<br>No<br>No information  |                               |
|--------|--|--|-------------------------------|
|        | If 'Yes', please give a brief description: CITES Management Authority for implementation of all nature conservation related legislation in agreements and is focal point for CITES and Ramsar. CITES Manasubordinated by Ministry of Environmental Protection and Region focal point for other nature conservation related international agricollaboration between the two authorities ensure synergy in impleconventions on national level. | ncluding internation<br>agement Authority<br>nal Development w<br>eements and thus | nal<br>is<br>hich is<br>close |

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

| 3.3.2a | How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has yo contributed towards?                                 | our country | 1           |
|--------|--|-------------|-------------|
| 3.3.2b | In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your countimplemented which integrate CITES issues?          | try         | 2           |
| 3.3.2c | Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with: | Yes         | No          |
|        | Agencies for development?  |             |             |
|        | Agencies for trade?  | $\boxtimes$ |             |
|        | Provincial, state or territorial authorities?  | $\boxtimes$ |             |
|        | Local authorities or communities?  | $\square$   |             |
|        | Indigenous or local peoples?   |             |             |
|        | Trade or other private sector associations?  | $\boxtimes$ |             |
|        | NGOs?  | $\square$   |             |
|        | Other (please specify)   |             |             |
| 3.3.2d | Are CITES requirements integrated into?  | Yes         | No          |
|        | National and local development strategies?   |             | $\boxtimes$ |
|        | National and local poverty reduction strategies?   |             | $\boxtimes$ |
|        | Planning processes?  | $\boxtimes$ |             |
|        | National accounting?   | $\boxtimes$ |             |

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

| Indicator 3.3.3: | The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-           |
|------------------|---|
|                  | governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training |
|                  | and capacity-building activities.   |

| 3.3.3a | Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from: | Tick if applicable | Which organizations?                                    |
|--------|--|--------------------|---|
|        | Inter-governmental organizations?  |                    |   |
|        | Non-governmental organizations?  |                    | Latvia financially<br>supports the EU-<br>twix platform |

# Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

| 3.4.1a | Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the  |             |                   |  |  |  |
|--------|---|-------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
|        | CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?  | ′es N       | lo Not Applicable |  |  |  |
|        | Appendix I  | $\boxtimes$ |                   |  |  |  |
|        | Appendix II   | $\boxtimes$ |                   |  |  |  |
|        | Appendix III [  |             |                   |  |  |  |
|        | If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide: For some of the CITES listed species populations are stable (Lynx lynx, Canis lupus, orchids) or improved (Ursus arctos, Lutra lutra), but for some declining (some species of birds of prey) (decline in all cases is related to habitat loss or fragmentation and not trade or poaching related issues). For studies see point 1.5.1.a). |             |                   |  |  |  |
|        | Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary  | ,           |                   |  |  |  |
| 3.4.1b | Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or emerging problems with any CITES listed species?  | No          | ormation          |  |  |  |
|        | If 'Yes', please provide details:   |             |                   |  |  |  |

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

| 3.4.2a | Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)? | Yes<br>No      |             |
|--------|---|----------------|-------------|
|        |   | No information |             |
| 3.4.2b | Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment  | Yes            |             |
|        | Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP                                       | No             | $\boxtimes$ |
|        | implementation?   | No information |             |

| Objective 3.5  |   | Parties and the Secretariat co   |                       |                     |            |                   |                 |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
|  |   | agreements dealing with natural collaborative approach to specie those which are commercially exactly and the second seco | es which<br>xploited. | can be              | endan      | gered b           | y un            | sustainable trade, including                                       |
| Indicator 3.   | 5.1:  | The number of cooperative actio to prevent species from being un   |                       |                     |            |                   |                 |  |
| 3.5.1a   | 3.5.1a Has your country taken action under established bilateral or multilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from being unsustainably exploited through international trade?  If 'Yes', please provide details: Latvia as member of EU actively works together with all other EU countries to prevent unsustainable use of wildlife and environment both within EU and worldwide. But we have not signed any bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries (non EU countries). |  |                       |                     | ent<br>and | Yes               |                 |  |
| Indicator 3.   | 5.2:  | The number of times other relevantural resources are consulted   |                       |                     |            |                   |                 |  |
| 3.5.2a   |   |  |                       |                     |            |                   |                 |  |
|  |   |  |                       |                     |            | 20                | ation           |  |
|  | that<br>agre  | rage number of times per year international organizations or eements have been consulted CITES Authorities   | Once                  | 2-5 times           | 6-20 times | More than 2 times | No consultation | Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on |
|  | Man   | agement Authority(ies)   |                       | $\boxtimes$         |            |                   |                 |  |
|  | Scie  | ntific Authority(ies)  |                       |                     |            |                   |                 | No information   |
|  | Enfo  | rcement Authority(ies)   |                       |                     |            |                   |                 | No information   |
| Please pro   | vide a  | <b>Ger</b><br>ny additional comments you woul  | neral fee             |                     | ncludii    | ng com            | ment            | s on this format.  |
|  |   | ltem   |                       |                     |            |                   |                 |  |
| Web link(s)  |   |  | closed                |                     |            |                   |                 |  |
| Please list  | t any r   | naterials annexed to the report, e   | .g. fee s             | chedule             | s, awa     | reness            | raisi           | ng materials, etc:   |
| Have any constraints to implementation of the Convention arisen in your country requiring attention or assistance?  No No Inf If 'Yes', please describe the constraint and the type of attention or assistance the |   |  |                       |                     |            |                   |                 |  |
|  |   | ples of good practice you would lil  |                       |                     |            | Yes               |                 |  |
| Parties?   |   |  |                       | No 🖂 No Information |            |                   |                 |  |

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

If 'Yes' please provide details / links:

How could this report format be improved?