#### Implementation report format

The format below follows the structure of the *CITES Strategic Vision: 2008-2020* and aims to collect information to enable the Strategic Vision indicators to be implemented.

#### **CITES vision statement**

Conserve biodiversity and contribute to its sustainable use by ensuring that no species of wild fauna or flora becomes or remains subject to unsustainable exploitation through international trade, thereby contributing to the significant reduction of the rate of biodiversity loss and making a significant contribution towards achieving the relevant Aichi Biodiversity Targets.

Article VIII, paragraph 7 (b), of the Convention requires each Party to submit to the CITES Secretariat a report on legislative, regulatory and administrative measures taken to enforce the provisions of the Convention.

The report format allows Parties to present information in a standard manner, so that it can be easily collated, with three main objectives:

- i) To enable monitoring of the implementation and effectiveness of the Convention;
- ii) To facilitate the identification of major achievements, significant developments, or trends, gaps or problems and possible solutions; and
- iii) Provide a basis for substantive and procedural decision-making by the Conference of the Parties and various subsidiary bodies.

Information on the nature and extent of CITES trade should be incorporated into the annual report [Article VIII paragraph 7 (a)], whereas the report provided under Article VIII paragraph 7 (b) should focus on measures taken to implement the Convention.

The report should cover the period indicated in <u>Resolution Conf. 11.17 (Rev. CoP16)</u> which urges that the report should be submitted to the Secretariat one year before each meeting of the Conference of the Parties (CoP). The reason for setting the report to be due a year in advance of the following CoP is to allow information to be collated so it can be considered by the Standing Committee in advance of CoP, and enable publication of the Strategic Vision indicators in advance of CoP.

Reports should be prepared in one of the three working languages of the Convention (English, French, Spanish).

Parties are *strongly* encouraged to prepare and submit their reports in electronic form. This will facilitate timely integration of information from Parties into publication of the Strategic Vision Indicators. If reports are only provided in hard copy, resources will be needed at the Secretariat to make an electronic copy, and this is not good use of Secretariat resources.

The completed report should be sent to:

CITES Secretariat International Environment House Chemin des Anémones 11-13 CH-1219 Châtelaine-Geneva Switzerland

Email: info@cites.org

Tel: +41-(0)22-917-81-39/40 Fax: +41-(0)22-797-34-17

If a Party requires further guidance on completing their report, please contact the CITES Secretariat at the address above.

Party	Switzerland
Period covered in this report	2015-2017
Department or agency preparing this report	CITES Management Authority, Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office
Contributing departments, agencies and organizations	

## GOAL 1 ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH AND IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONVENTION

Objective 1.1 Parties comply with their obligations under the Convention through appropriate policies, legislation and procedures.

All Aichi Targets relevant to CITES, particularly Aichi Target 2, Target 6, Target 9, Target 12, Target 17 and Target 18.

Indicator 1.1.1: The number of Parties that are in category 1 under the national legislation project.

1.1.1a	Have any CITES relevant policies or legislation been developed during the period covered in this report?  Yes No If 'Yes', have you shared information with the Secretariat? Yes No Not Applicable If 'No', please provide details to the Secretariat with this report:
1.1.1b	Does your legislation or legislative process allow easy amendment of your national law(s) to reflect changes in the CITES Appendices (e.g. to meet the 90 day implementation guidelines)?  Yes No If 'No', please provide details of the constraints faced: The procedures for amending the appendices need to go through review by the government which usually takes more than 90 days.

Objective 1.2 Parties have in place administrative procedures that are transparent, practical, coherent and user-friendly, and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.

Aichi Target 3.

Indicator 1.2.1: The number of Parties that have adopted standard transparent procedures for the timely issuance of permits in accordance with Article VI of the Convention.

		Yes	No	No information
1.2.1a	Do you have standard operating procedures for application for and issuance of permits?	$\boxtimes$		
	Are the procedures publicly available?	$\boxtimes$		
1.2.1b	Do you have:			
	Electronic data management and a paper-based permit issuance system?	$\boxtimes$		
	Electronic permit information exchange between Management Authorities of some countries If 'Yes', please list countries: Norway and until recently Czech republic	$\boxtimes$		
	Electronic permit information exchange to Management Authorities of all countries?		$\boxtimes$	
	Electronic permit data exchange between Management Authorities and customs?		$\boxtimes$	
	Electronic permit used to cross border with electronic validation by customs?		$\boxtimes$	

	If 'No', do you have any plans to move towards e-permitting¹?	$\boxtimes$		
	If you are planning to move towards e-permitting, please explain	what migh	t help you	ı to do so:
dicator	1.2.2: The number of Parties making use of the simplified pro	ocedures p	provided f	or in <u>Resolutio</u>
1.2.2a	Has your country developed simplified procedures for any of the	•	Гіск all ap	plicable
		Yes	No	No information
	Where biological samples of the type and size specified in Annex 4 of Resolution Conf. 12.3 (Rev. CoP16) are urgently required.		$\boxtimes$	
	For the issuance of pre-Convention certificates or equivalent documents in accordance with <a href="Article VII">Article VII</a> , paragraph 2.		$\boxtimes$	
	For the issuance of certificates of captive breeding or artificial propagation in accordance with Article VII, paragraph 5.		$\boxtimes$	
	For the issuance of export permits or re-export certificates in accordance with Article IV for specimens referred to in Article VII, paragraph 4.		$\boxtimes$	
	Are there other cases judged by a Management Authority to merit the use of simplified procedures?  If 'Yes', please provide details:  Switzerland does not need simplified procedures as the permitting procedures allow processing time of less than 3 days.		$\boxtimes$	
bjective	Implementation of the Convention at the national level is of the Conference of the Parties.  All Aichi targets relevant to CITES, particularly Target 9, T			
ndicator	1.3.1: The number of Parties that have implemented relevar Decisions of the Conference of the Parties and/or Standir			
1.3.1a	Has your country responded to all relevant special reporting req the period covered in this report, including those in the Resolution Conference of the Parties, Standing Committee recommendation the Secretariat (see [link to location on the CITES website where listed])?	ons and Dons, and N	ecisions o	of the s issued by
	Responses provided to ALL Responses provided to SOME of the Responses provided to NONE of the	relevant re relevant re	porting re	equirements 🛚
1.3.1b	Were any difficulties encountered during the period covered in the implementing specific Resolutions or Decisions adopted by the of the Parties?	his report i	n e	s applicable ☐
	If 'Yes', please provide details of which Resolution(s) or Decision were / are being encountered?	ı(s), and, f		Yes
	Switzerland faced a few challenges with the enforcement and im Dalbergia species which took up a lot of ressources which where			

e-permitting refers to the electronic (paperless) management of the permit business process, including permit application, Management Authority – Scientific Authority consultations, permit issuance, notification to customs and reporting.

		as. In general, a lesson leant from this should be to pay more attention to the o procement of new listings, decisions and resolutions.	utcome on
Objective	1.4	The Appendices correctly reflect the conservation needs of species. Aichi Target 1, Target12, Target 14 and Target 19.	
1.4.1:		number and proportion of species that have been found to meet the criteria conta . 9.24 or its successors. This includes both the periodic review and amendmen	
1.4.1a		re you undertaken any reviews of whether species would benefit from listing he CITES Appendices?	Yes ☐ No ⊠

 on the CITES Appendices?	Yes ☐ No 🏻
If 'Yes', please provide a summary here, or a link to the report of the work (or a copy of that report to the Secretariat if the work is not available online):	

Objective 1.5 Best available scientific information is the basis for non-detriment findings.

Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 12 and Target 14.

- Indicator 1.5.1: The number of surveys, studies or other analyses undertaken by exporting countries based on the sources of information cited in Resolution Conf. 16.7 on Non-detriment findings related to:
  - a) the population status of Appendix-II species;
  - b) the trends and impact of trade upon Appendix-II species; and
  - c) the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species and the impact of any recovery plans.

1.5.1a	Have any surveys, studies or other analyses been undertaken in your country in relation to:	Yes	No	Not Applicable	If Yes, How many?
	- the population status of Appendix II species?	$\boxtimes$			
	- the trends and impact of trade on Appendix II species?		$\boxtimes$		
	- the status of and trend in naturally-occurring Appendix I species?	$\boxtimes$			
	- the impact of any recovery plans on Appendix I species?	$\boxtimes$			
	Have the surveys, studies or analyses integrated relevant knowledge and expertise of local and indigenous communities?			$\boxtimes$	

	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide:						
		or other analys	ary of the results of sis (e.g. populatior se, off-take levels	n status, de	cline /		
	Species name (scientific)		ned reference mat				
	Falco peregrinus						
	Owls and birds of prey						
	Orchid case studies of use in finished cosmetic products						
1.5.1b	How are the results of such surveys, studies findings (NDFs)? Please tick all that appl		ses used in making	g non-detrir	nent		
			Revised harves				
			01:1	Banning			
		C	Stricter do hanged managem	omestic me	_		
			ussion with Manag				
			Discussion with otl				
	Other (please provide a short summary): All therefore no commercial trade of wild specim			er national la	aw and		
1.5.1c	Do you have specific conservation measures		Yes		$\boxtimes$		
	plans for naturally occurring Appendix-I listed	species?	No				
			Not Applicable No information				
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, incluimpact: Falco peregrinus	ıding, if possibl		f their			
1.5.1d	Have you published any non-detriment findin If 'Yes', please provide links or examples to the	-		lo 🛚			
1.5.1e	Which of the following (A to F of paragraph a do you use in making non-detriment findings		on Conf. 16.7)	Yes	No		
	A. relevant scientific literature concerning spedistribution and population trends.	ecies biology, li	fe history,				
	B. details of any ecological risk assessments	conducted.					
	C. scientific surveys conducted at harvest loc from harvest and other impacts.	cations and at s	sites protected				
	D. relevant knowledge and expertise of local	and indigenous	s communities.				
	E. consultations with relevant local, regional	and internation	al experts.				
	F. national and international trade information the CITES trade database maintained by UN Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), publication trade and investigations of sales at market example.	EP World Consons on trade, lo	servation cal knowledge				

Indicator 1.5.2: The number of Parties that have adopted standard procedures for making non-detriment findings.

1.5.2a				No		
		Yes	No	information		
	Do you have standard procedures for making non-detriment findings in line with Resolution Conf. 16.7?		$\boxtimes$			
	If 'Yes', please briefly describe your procedures for making non-de or attach as an annex to this report, or provide a link to where the on the internet:			ofound		
1.5.2b	When establishing non-detriment findings, have any of the following	ng				
	guidance been used?	Plea	se tick	all that apply		
	Virtual Coll	ege				
	IUCN Chec	IUCN Checklist				
	Resolution Conf.	Resolution Conf. 16.7				
		2008 NDF workshop				
	Species specific guida	•				
	, , ,	ther				
	If 'Other' or 'Species specific guidance', please specify details:	uici				
4.5.0-						
1.5.2c		se by case				
	,	nually ery two yea	ro			
		ery two yea ss frequentl				
		nix of the at	•			
	Please describe the circumstances under which non-detriment fine			ongod:		
	Flease describe the circumstances under which hori-detriment him	ungs would	i be cii	angeu.		
	<u> </u>					

Indicator 1.5.3: The number and proportion of annual export quotas based on population surveys.

1.5.3a	Do you set annual export quotas?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', do you set quotas based on population survey, or by other means? Please specify, for each species, how quotas are set:  Species Name (scientific)	Population Survey?	Other, please specify
1.5.3b	Have annual export quotas been set at levels which will ensure sustainable production and consumption?  If 'Yes', please describe how this fits into your non-detriment	Yes No finding process:	

Objective	1.6	Parties cooperate in managing shared wildlife resources. Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.						
Indicator 1.	.6.1:	The number of bilateral and multilateral agreements that specifically provide for comanagement of shared CITES listed species by range States.						
1.6.1a	Is your country a signatory to any bilateral and/or multilateral agreements for co-management of shared species?Yes  No  If 'Yes', please provide brief details, including the names of the agreements, and which other countries are involved: CMS							
Indicator 1.	.6.2:	The number of cooperative man populations of CITES-listed spec		nt plans	, includir	ng red	covery	y plans, in place for shared
1.6.2a		you have any cooperative manage lace for shared populations of CIT				ecove	ry pla	ans, Yes ☐ No ⊠
	If 'Yes', please list the species for which these plans are in place and provide a link or reference to a published plan for each species.  Species Name (scientific) Link or reference to a published plan						ovide a link or reference	
Indicator 1.	Ha	The number of workshops and othe to address the conservation and not to the conservation and not to address the conservation and not to ad	nanager ed or be	ment ne	eds of sl	hared	I, CITI	ES listed, species.
	Ple tarç	ease tick boxes to indicate which get group and which activity.	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	What were the external sources <sup>1</sup> ?
	Sta	aff of Management Authority						Wood identification course 2017 at the Thünen Institute in Hamburg
	Sta	aff of Scientific Authority						Wood identification course at KEW botanical gardens in London, 2017
	Sta	aff of enforcement authorities						

Other (please specify):

Traders

NGOs

Public

<sup>1</sup> Please provide the names of Parties, and any non-Parties, involved.

1.6.3b	Have the CITES authorities been the <i>providers</i> of any of the following capacity-building activities to other range States?								
	Please tick boxes to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	De	etails	
	Staff of Management Authority					ļļ.			
	otali of Management Additionty						Workshop on Shahtoos identification conducte in collaboration wit INTERPOL		
	Staff of Scientific Authority								
	Staff of enforcement authorities				$\boxtimes$		See above		
	Traders								
	NGOs								
	Public								
	Other Parties/International meetings								
	Other (please specify)								
1.6.3c	In what ways do you collaborate with	other C	CITES P	arties?	,		,		
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Very Often	Always	Furth	er detail / amples	
	Information exchange			$\boxtimes$			]		
	Monitoring / survey	$\boxtimes$							
	Habitat management	$\boxtimes$					]		
	Species management		$\boxtimes$						
	Law enforcement			$\boxtimes$			]		
	Capacity building		$\boxtimes$				]		
	Other (please provide details)								
Objective 1.7 Parties are enforcing the Convention to reduce illegal wildlife trade.  Aichi Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 9, Target 10, Target 12 and Target 19.  Indicator 1.7.1: The number of Parties that have, are covered by, or engaged with:  — an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan;  — formal international cooperation, such as an international enforcement network;  — a national enforcement strategy and/or action plan; and  — formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee.									
1.7.1a	1.7.1a Do you have, are you engaged in, or covered by:					Yes	No	No Information	
_	– an international enforcement strategy and/or action plan?								
	formal international cooperation, such enforcement network?	as an ir	nternatio	nal		$\boxtimes$			
	- a national enforcement strategy and/o	r action	plan?						
_	formal national interagency cooperation, such as a national interagency enforcement committee?								

If 'Yes' to any of the above, please specify the level of engagement and provide additional details: Cooperation at EU enforcement meetings at the international level; coordination at national level through a NEST (National Environmental Security Taskforce); participation in internationally coordinated enforcement actions

Indicator 1.7.2: The number of Parties with a process or mechanism for reviewing their enforcement strategies, and the activities taken to implement their strategies.

		.,	
1.7.2a	Do you have a process or mechanism for reviewing your	Yes	Ш
	enforcement strategy(ies) and the activities taken to implement your strategy(ies)?	No, but review is under consideration	
		No	$\boxtimes$
		No information	
	If 'Yes', what do you do?		
	If 'Yes' or 'No, but review is under consideration', which tools do y	ou find of value?	
1.7.2b	Have you used the International Consortium on Combating	Yes	
	Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) Wildlife and Forest Crime Analytic Toolkit, or equivalent tools?	No, but toolkit use is unde	er
		No	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide feedback on the parts of the toolkit used a equivalent tools have been. Please specify improvements that co		
	If 'No', please provide feedback on why not or what is needed to r tools useful to you:	make the toolkit or equivalen	t

Indicator 1.7.3: The number of Parties that have criminal (penal) law and procedures, capacity to use forensic technology, and capacity to use specialized investigation techniques, for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences..

1.7.3a	Do you have law and procedures in place for investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing CITES offences as a crime?	Yes No	
	If 'Yes', please provide the title of the legislation and a summary of the penalties available: CITES infractions are treated as an offence under the Federal Law CITES: Fines up to 40'000 CHF; imprisonment up to 3 years for severe cases.	No information	_
1.7.3b	Are criminal offences such as poaching and wildlife trafficking recognized as serious crime <sup>1</sup> in your country?	Yes	
	recognized as serious crime: in your country?	No D	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please explain what criteria must be met for poaching or w treated as serious crimes:	rildlife trafficking offences to b	e
1.7.3c	Do you have capacity to use forensic technology <sup>2</sup> to support the	Yes	3
	investigation of CITES offences?	No [	
		No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary of any samples from CITE collected and submitted to an appropriate forensic analysis facility another country) during the period covered in this report: Paternity	(located in your country and	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines serious crime as conduct constituting an offence punishable by imprisonment for at least four years or a more serious penalty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capacity to use forensic technology means the ability to collect, handle and submit samples from crime scenes involving CITES-listed species to an appropriate forensic analysis facility, located either in your country or in another country(ies).

	prey and parrots; analysis of fibers of suspected Sha and age determination for Rhinoceros horn and elep			s, species dete	rmination of caviar
	If 'Yes', and your country has an appropriate forensic please indicate which species it applies to:	analys	is facil	ity for CITES-lis	sted species,
1.7.3d	Did your authorities participate in or initiate any multi law enforcement operation(s) targeting CITES-listed during the period covered in this report?  If 'Yes', please provide a brief summary, including an other Parties: Operation Tembo (1620.10.2017)	species	3	Yes No No information rned which mig	
1.7.3e	Do you have a standard operating procedure among agencies for submitting information related to CITES INTERPOL and/or the World Customs Organization?	offence		Yes No No informatio	n
1.7.3f	Do you have legislative provisions for any of the following that can be applied to the investigation, prosecution and/or sentencing of CITES offences as appropriate?	Yes	No	No information	If yes, how many times was this used during the period covered by this report?
	General crime <sup>2</sup>				
	Predicate offences <sup>3</sup>				
	Asset forfeiture <sup>4</sup>				
	Corruption <sup>5</sup>				
	International cooperation in criminal matters <sup>6</sup>				
	Organized crime <sup>7</sup>				
	Specialized investigation techniques <sup>8</sup>				
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please explain how each brief summary, including any lessons learned which				
1.7.3g	Do you have institutional capacity to implement the leprovisions listed in question 1.7.3f against CITES off			Yes No No information	on
	If 'No', please provide a brief summary of your major	capaci	ty-buil	ding needs:	

Indicator 1.7.4: The number of Parties using risk assessment and intelligence to combat illegal trade in CITES-listed species.

A multi-disciplinary law enforcement operation is one that involves officers from all relevant enforcement disciplines as appropriate, for example officers from Police, Customs and the wildlife regulatory authority. It could be either sub-national, national or international in scope

General crime laws relate to offences such as fraud, conspiracy, possession of weapons, and other matters as set out in the national criminal code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Article 2, paragraph (h) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines a predicate offence is an offence whose proceeds may become the subject of any of the money-laundering offences established under the Convention.

Asset forfeiture is the seizure and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activities to ensure that criminals do not benefit from the proceeds of their crimes.

Provisions against corruption include national laws to implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption covering offences such as bribery of officials, embezzlement or misappropriation of public funds, trading in influence and abuse of functions by public officials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> International cooperation in criminal matters includes legislation through which a formal request for mutual legal assistance and/or extradition of a person for criminal prosecution can be forwarded to another country.

Article 2, paragraph (a) of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime defines an organized criminal group as a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with the Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit.

Specialized investigation techniques are techniques that are deployed against serious and/or organized crime when conventional law enforcement techniques fail to adequately address the activities of crime groups. Examples include controlled deliveries and covert operations.

1.7.4a	Do you use risk assessment to target CITES enforcement effort?	Always	
		Very often	
		Sometimes	$\boxtimes$
		Rarely	
		Never	
		No information	
1.7.4b	Do you have capacity to analyse information gathered on illegal	Yes	$\boxtimes$
	trade in CITES-listed species?	No	
		No information	
1.7.4c	Do you use criminal intelligence <sup>1</sup> to inform investigations into	Always	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species?	Very often	
		Sometimes	$\boxtimes$
		Rarely	$\boxtimes$
		Never	
		No information	
1.74d	Have you implemented any supply-side activities to address illegal	Yes	
	trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	$\boxtimes$
		No information	
1.7.4e	Have you implemented any demand-side activities to address	Yes	
	illegal trade in CITES-listed species during the period covered in this report?	No, but activities are under development	
		No	$\boxtimes$
		No information	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Criminal intelligence is information that is compiled, analyzed and disseminated in an effort to anticipate, prevent and/or monitor criminal activity. Examples include information on potential suspects held in a secure database and inferences about the methods, capabilities and intentions of specific criminal networks or individuals that are used to support effective law enforcement action.

Indicator 1.7.5: The number of administrative measures, criminal prosecutions and other court actions for CITES-related offences.

During the	e period covered in this report:	Yes	No	No Information
1.7.5a	Have any administrative measures (e.g. fines, bans, suspensions) been imposed for CITES-related offences?	$\boxtimes$		
	If 'Yes', please indicate how many and for what types of offend details:	es. If avai	lable, pleas	e attach
1.7.5b	Have there been any criminal prosecutions of CITES-related offences?			
	If 'Yes', how many and for what types of offences? If available,	please at	tach details	• •
1.7.5c	Have there been any other court actions against CITES-related offences?		$\boxtimes$	
	If 'Yes', what were the offences involved and what were the result	s? Please	attach detai	ls:
1.7.5d	How were any confiscated specimens disposed of?		Tick	all that apply
	<ul> <li>Return to country of export</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Public zoos or botanical gardens</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$
	Designated rescue centres			
	Approved private facilities			$\boxtimes$
	– Euthanasia			
	<ul><li>Other (please specify):</li></ul>			
	Have you encountered any challenges in disposing of confisca Yes; placement of pet parrots where they are very attached to special care; placement of frequently traded CITES Appendix I as Python regius or certain tortoise species.	owner and	d require	
	Do you have good practice that you would like to share with ot	her Partie	s?	

**Objective 1.8** Parties and the Secretariat have adequate capacity-building programmes in place. Aichi Target 1, Target 12 and Target 19.

Indicator 1.8.1: The number of Parties with national and regional training programmes and information resources in place to implement CITES including the making of non-detriment findings, issuance of permits and enforcement.

1.8.1a	Do you have information resources or training in place to support: Yes The making of non-detriment findings?   Permit officers?   Enforcement officers?	No	
1.8.1b	Is the CITES Virtual College used as part of your capacity building work?  What improvements could be made in using the Virtual College for capacity building?	Yes No No information	
1.8.1c	Is the ICCWC Wildlife and Forest Crime Toolkit used in the development of capacity-building programmes, or does it form part of the curriculum of such programmes?  What improvements could be made in using the ICCWC Toolkit for capacity building?	Yes No No information	

GOAL 2 SECURE THE NECESSARY FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MEANS FOR THE OPERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION

**Objective 2.1** Financial resources are sufficient to ensure operation of the Convention.

Information to be provided through records held by the Secretariat on financial management of the Convention.

Objective 2.2 Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to ensure compliance with and implementation and enforcement of the Convention.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.2.1: The number of Parties with dedicated staff and funding for Management Authorities, Scientific Authorities and wildlife trade enforcement agencies.

-				
2.2.1a	Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>1</sup> for your Management Authority(ies)?		Yes	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.		No	
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?	Issuance of permits (maximum of 5 days), Information set for private sed and public (telephone an email responsibility within 24 hour	ervice etor d ees	
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>2</sup> ?  If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?	See above	Yes No	
	Do you publish your performance against service standard targets?		Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:	90%		
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	– availability of funding?			$\boxtimes$
	- number of staff?			
	a shortage of skills?			$\boxtimes$
	If 'Yes' to a shortage of skills, which skills do you need more of?	_		
2.2.1b	Do you have an approved service standard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your Scientific Authority(ies)?		Yes No	
	If 'No', please go to Question 2.2.1d.			
	If 'Yes', for which services are there standards, and what are those standards?			
	If 'Yes', do you have performance targets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?  If 'Yes', what are your performance targets?		Yes No	
	If possible, please provide your performance against service standards during the period covered in this report:			
	If you did not meet your performance targets then was this shortfall a result of:	Yes		No
	<ul><li>availability of funding?</li></ul>			
	- number of staff?			
	a shortage of skills?			

For example, a time frame in which you are required to provide a response on a decision to issue or not issue a permit, certificate, or re-export certificate.

For example, 85% of all decisions will take place within the service standard.

	If 'Yes' to a sho	rtage of skills, which ski	ills do you need more of?			
2.2.1c	enforcement and If 'No', please of	go to Question 2.2.1d.	dard(s) <sup>47</sup> for your andards, and what are those		Yes No	
	_	•	ets for these standards <sup>48</sup> ?		Yes	
	if 'Yes', what ai	e your performance tar	gets?		No	
		ase provide your perform ng the period covered in				
	If you did not ma result of:	neet your performance to	argets then was this shortfall	Yes		No
	availability of	of funding?				
	- number of staff?					
	- a shortage	of skills?				
	If 'Yes' to a sho	rtage of skills, which ski	ills do you need more of?			
2.2.1d	2.2.1b, or 2.2.1	c, relating to the exister	our answered 'No' to the first pance of approved service standar	ds for your auth		s:
	Do you have si	· ·	for your authorities to function e	-		
		Management Authority(ies)	Scientific Authority(ies)	Enforce Authority		
	Funding?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes ⊠ No □	Yes 🗌 N	No 🗌	
	Staff?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes ⊠ No □	Yes 🗌 N	No 🗌	
	Skills?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Yes ⊠ No □	Yes 🗌 N	No 🗌	

The number of Parties that have undertaken one or more of the following activities:

- changed the budget for activities;

- hired more staff; Indicator 2.2.2:

- developed implementation tools;
- purchased technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement.

2.2.2a	Have any of the following activities been undertak covered in this report to enhance the effectiveness implementation at the national level?		period	Tick if applicable
	Hiring of more staff			
	Development of implementation tools			
	Purchase of technical equipment for implementati	on, monitorin	g or enforcemen	t
	Other (please specify):			
2.2.2b	During the period covered in this report, was the budget for your:	Increased	Stable	Decreased
	Management Authority(ies)		$\boxtimes$	
	Scientific Authority(ies)		$\boxtimes$	
	Enforcement authorities			$\boxtimes$
2.2.2c	Have you been able to use international development funding assistance to increase the level of implementation of your	Yes	No	Not applicable
	Management Authority(ies)?		$\boxtimes$	

	Scientific Authority(ies)?			$\boxtimes$	
	Enforcement authorities?			$\boxtimes$	
2.2.2d	What is the respective level of priority the national level through the following		ng the effectiv	veness of CITES	implementation at
	Activity	High	Medium	Low	Not a Priority
	Hiring of more staff				$\boxtimes$
	Development of implementation tools				$\boxtimes$
	Purchase of new technical equipment for implementation, monitoring or enforcement		$\boxtimes$		
	e-permitting		$\boxtimes$		
	Other (please specify):				
2.2.2e	Do you have a operational system (e.g electronic database) for managing	J.	Yes	Under development	No
	Species information				$\boxtimes$
	Trade information		$\boxtimes$		
	Non-detriment findings				$\boxtimes$

Indicator 2.2.3: The number of Parties raising funds for CITES implementation through user fees or other mechanisms.

Does the Management Authority charge fees for: Tick	
	k all that are applicabl
<ul> <li>Administrative procedures</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or intremediate)</li> </ul>	oduction from
<ul> <li>Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction of CITES-listed species)</li> </ul>	n from the sea
<ul> <li>Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Harvesting of CITES-listed species</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Use of CITES-listed species</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species</li> </ul>	
Other (please specify):	
Is a fee schedule publicly available?	Yes ⊠ No 🗆
If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Seci	retariat:
Type of document/ service	Amount charged
(Re)- export certificate Priority one (issued and sent by post the same day)	46 CHF
(Re)- export certificate Priority one (issued and sent by post the following day	36 CHF
(Re)- export certificate Priority one (issued and sent by post within two days	26 CHF
Import permit	0 CHF
Physical inspection upon import by a CITES officer fauna	88 CHF
Physical inspection upon import by a CITES officer flora	60 CHF
Inspection of documents flora	30 CHF
	<ul> <li>Issuance of CITES documents (e.g. for import, exports, re-export, or intrictive sea)</li> <li>Shipment clearance (e.g. for the import, export, re-export, or introduction of CITES-listed species)</li> <li>Licensing or registration of operations that produce CITES species</li> <li>Harvesting of CITES-listed species</li> <li>Use of CITES-listed species</li> <li>Assignment of quotas for CITES-listed species</li> <li>Other (please specify):</li> <li>Is a fee schedule publicly available?</li> <li>If 'Yes', please provide an internet link, or a copy of the schedule to the Section of the service</li> <li>(Re)- export certificate Priority one (issued and sent by post the same day)</li> <li>(Re)- export certificate Priority one (issued and sent by post within two days)</li> <li>Import permit</li> <li>Physical inspection upon import by a CITES officer flora</li> </ul>

	Certificate of ownership	50 CHF	
	Musical instrument certificate	50 CHF	
2.2.3c	Have revenues from fees been used for the implementation of CITES or wildl	ife conservation	?
		Entirely	
		Partly	
		Not at all	
		Not relevant	$\boxtimes$
2.2.3d		Yes	No
	Do you raise funds for CITES management through charging user fees?		$\boxtimes$
	Do your fees recover the full economic cost of issuing permits?		
	Do you have case studies on charging or using fees?	$\boxtimes$	
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, please provide brief details: During a three year permitting fees were raised in order to finance a rebuild of our IT E-permitting system.		
	Do you use innovative financial mechanisms to raise funds for CITES implementation?		$\boxtimes$
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		

Indicator 2.2.4: The number of Parties using incentive measures as part of their implementation of the Convention.

0.0.4	
2.2.4a	Do you use incentive measures¹ such as those described in CoP14 Doc 14.32 to implement the
	Convention? YesNo
	Due diligence
	Compensatory mechanisms $\square \boxtimes$
	Certification $\square$
	Communal property rights
	Auctioning of quotas
	Cost recovery or environmental charges
	Enforcement incentives
	If 'Yes' to any of the above, or if you use other measures, please provide a summary or link to further information: Companies using the e-permitting system must sign due diligence contracts with the MA for the use of the system. This gives them access to the database to request CITES permits. They enter permit information into the system based on which they can apply for export permits in a very short time (maximum of three days).
2.2.4b	Have incentives harmful to biodiversity been eliminated? Not at all ☐
	Very little□
	Somewhat⊡
	Completely

Defined as 'Social and economic incentives that promote and regulate sustainable management of and responsible trade in, wild flora and flora and promote effective enforcement of the Convention'. The intent of such measures is not to promote wildlife trade as such, but rather to ensure that any wildlife trade undertaken is conducted in a sustainable manner.

**Objective 2.3** Sufficient resources are secured at the national and international levels to implement capacity-building programmes.

Aichi Target 12, Target 19 and Target 20.

Indicator 2.3.1: The number of capacity building activities mandated by Resolutions and Decisions that are fully funded.

2.3.1a		you run during the period covered in this report?		Without assistance from the Secretariat		stance Conducted or assisted by the Secretariat	
			٨	lone 1 2-5			
				2-5 6-10 1-20			
	Please list the Resolutions or Decisions		More tha d:	an 20			
2.3.1b	What sorts of capacity building activities have taken place? Training of custom offic detection of CITES specimens				tom officers for the		
2.3.1c	What capacity building needs do you ha	ve?	,				
	Please tick all boxes which apply to indicate which target group and which activity.  Target group	Oral or written advice/guidance	Technical assistance	Financial assistance	Training	Other (specify)	Details
	Staff of Management Authority						
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities						
	Traders / other user groups						
	NGOs						
	Public						
	Other (please specify)						

An activity might be a single day training e.g. for a group of staff from the Management Authority, or a longer course / project undertaken by an individual.

### GOAL 3CONTRIBUTE TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE RATE OF BIODIVERSITY LOSS AND TO ACHIEVING RELEVANT GLOBALLY-AGREED GOALS AND TARGETS BY ENSURING THAT CITES AND OTHER MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENTS AND PROCESSES ARE COHERENT AND MUTUALLY SUPPORTIVE

Objective 3.1 Cooperation between CITES and international financial mechanisms and other related institutions is enhanced in order to support CITES-related conservation and sustainable development projects, without diminishing funding for currently prioritized activities.

Aichi Target 2 and Target 20.

Indicator 3.1.1: The number of Parties funded by international financial mechanisms and other related institutions to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements.

3.1.1a	Has funding from international financial mechanisms and other related institutions been used to develop activities that include CITES-related conservation and sustainable development elements?	Yes No Not applicable No information	
	If 'Yes', please provide brief details:		
3.1.1b	During the period covered in this report, has funding for your country from international funding mechanisms and other related institutions:	Increased Remained stable Decreased	

Indicator 3.1.2: The number of countries and institutions that have provided additional funding from CITES Authorities to another country or activity for conservation and sustainable development projects in order to further the objectives of the Convention.

3.1.2a	Have you provided technical or financial assistance to another country or countries in relation to CITES?					intry	Yes No No information		
	If 'Yes', please tick boxes to indicate type of assistance provided  Country(ies)	Species Management¹	Habitat Management²	Sustainable use	Law Enforcement	Livelihoods	Other (specify)	Details (provide more information in an Appendix if necessary)	
	Argentina							Financing of project related to use and management of the yellow anaconda	
	CITES Parties							Workshops on captive breeding of Asian snakes	
	CITES Parties							Technical assistance for e-permitting	

Use species conservation column for work directly related to species – e.g. population surveys, education programmes, conflict resolution, etc.

Use habitat conservation column for work that will indirectly support species conservation – e.g. habitat management, development of policy frameworks for how land is managed, etc.

•					······		
	Iran					Project Neurergus population managem	ı
	Madagascar						nent of entification rosewood
Objective 3	Awareness of the role and purpos Aichi Target 1, Target 4, Target 12			ncreased glo	obally.		
Indicator 3.	2.1: The number of Parties that have about better awareness by the requirements.						
3.2.1a	Have CITES authorities been involved activities to bring about better awarene requirements by the wider public and re	ess of th	ne Conve	ntion's	Wider	· public	Relevant User Groups
	<ul> <li>Press conferences</li> </ul>					$\boxtimes$	
	Press releases				_	$\boxtimes$	
	<ul> <li>Newspaper articles, brochures, leaf</li> </ul>	flets				$\boxtimes$	
	Television appearances				_	$\boxtimes$	
	Radio appearances					$\boxtimes$	
	- Presentations				L		
	Public consultations / meetings				L		
	Market surveys     Diaplays				L		
	Displays     Information at horder crossing point	40			_	$\preceq$	
	<ul><li>Information at border crossing point</li><li>Telephone hotline</li></ul>	เร			_	$\boxtimes$	
	<ul><li>releprione noulne</li><li>Website(s) – if so please provide lin</li></ul>	akie) ww	MAY CITES (	sh	_	$oxed{ imes}$	
	Vvebsite(s) – if so please provide in     Other (specify):	IK(S) WW	VW.GIGS.	л	<u>к</u> Г	∆ □	
	Please attach copies of any items or de	escribe	evample	ie.	L	_	Ш
	1 lease attach copies of any terms of a		Слаптріо	<u> </u>			
Indicator 3.	2.2: The number of visits to the CITES	S websi	ite.				
3.2.2a	How regularly do your Authorities consu			bsite?	<del></del>	Ţ	
	Please tick boxes to indicate the most f usage (decide on an average amongst necessary).	•		Weekly	Monthly	Less frequently	Not known
	Target group		Daily	We	Mo	Less	Not
	Staff of Management Authority		$\boxtimes$				
	Staff of Scientific Authority						
	Staff of enforcement authorities			$\boxtimes$			
3.2.2b	What has been your experience with u	ising the	e CITES	website?	Exc	ellent od	

Average Poor

l		Very Poor	
l		No information	
	Any further comments on the CITES Website? (e.g. useful aspects, any which authorities find which functions/tools most useful, what is missing, easy or intuitive to find the relevant information on the website e.g. NDF breeding guidelines,	etc): It is not always	ed,

#### Indicator 3.2.3: The number of Parties with web pages on CITES and its requirements.

A question relating to this indicator is within question 3.2.1a.

**Objective 3.3** Cooperation with relevant international environmental, trade and development organizations is enhanced.

Indicator 3.3.1 The number of Parties which report that they have achieved synergies in their implementation of CITES, other biodiversity-related conventions and other relevant multilateral environmental, trade and development agreements.

3.3.1a	Have measures been taken to achieve coordination and reduce duplication of activities between the national CITES authorities and national focal points for other multilateral environmental agreements (e.g. the other biodiversity-related conventions: CBD, CMS, ITPGR, Ramsar, WHC) <sup>1</sup> to which your country is party?	Yes No No information	
	If 'Yes', please give a brief description: Coordination meetings betweer regular basis	national focal points	s on a

Indicator 3.3.2: The number of biodiversity conservation or sustainable use projects, trade and development goals, or scientific and technical programmes that integrate CITES requirements.

3.3.2a	How many international projects which integrate CITES issues has y contributed towards?	our country	
3.3.2b	In addition to 3.2.2a, how many national level projects has your country implemented which integrate CITES issues?		
3.3.2c	Have there been any efforts at a national scale for your CITES Management or Scientific Authorities to collaborate with:	Yes	No
	Agencies for development?		$\boxtimes$
	Agencies for trade?	$\boxtimes$	
	Provincial, state or territorial authorities?	$\boxtimes$	
	Local authorities or communities?		$\boxtimes$
	Indigenous or local peoples?		$\boxtimes$
	Trade or other private sector associations?	$\boxtimes$	
	NGOs?	$\boxtimes$	
	Other (please specify)		
3.3.2d	Are CITES requirements integrated into?	Yes	No
	National and local development strategies?		$\boxtimes$
	National and local poverty reduction strategies?		$\boxtimes$
	Planning processes?		$\boxtimes$
	National accounting?		$\boxtimes$

CBD = Convention on Biological Diversity; CMS = Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, ITPGR = International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Ramsar = The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, WHC = World Heritage Convention.

Indicator 3.3.3:	The number of Parties cooperating / collaborating with intergovernmental and non-
	governmental organizations to participate in and/or fund CITES workshops and other training
	and capacity-building activities.

3.3.3a	Has funding been provided or received to facilitate CITES workshops, training or other capacity building activities to / from:	Tick if applicable	Which organizations?
	Inter-governmental organizations?		UNCTAD, IUCN, ITC, TRAFFIC (Eu-TWIX)
	Non-governmental organizations?		

# Objective 3.4 The contribution of CITES to the relevant Millennium Development Goals, the sustainable development goals set at WSSD, the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and the relevant *Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, and the relevant outcomes of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development is strengthened by ensuring that international trade in wild fauna and flora is conducted at sustainable levels.

This objective may also be assessed by a variety of means beyond the reporting format, including action taken to implement many of the CITES resolutions and decisions.

Aichi Target 1, Target 2, Target 3, Target 4, Target 5, Target 6, Target 7, Target 12, Target 14, Target 17, Target 18 and Target 19.

Indicator 3.4.1: The conservation status of species listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved.

3.4.1a	Do you have data which shows that the conservation status of naturally occurring species in your country listed on the CITES Appendices has stabilized or improved?	S	No	Not Applicable
	Appendix I	]		
	Appendix II	]		
	Appendix III	]		
	If there are such studies that you are willing to share, please provide	•		
	Species name (scientific) Link to the data, or a brief summary			
3.4.1b	Do you have examples of specific examples of success stories or	Yes		
	emerging problems with any CITES listed species?	No		$\boxtimes$
	If 'Yes', please provide details:	No ii	nformatio	on 🗌

Indicator 3.4.2: The number of Parties incorporating CITES into their National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP).

3.4.2a	Has CITES been incorporated into your country's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)?	Yes No	
		No information	
3.4.2b	Have you been able to obtain funds from the Global Environment	Yes	
	Facility (GEF) or other sources to support CITES aspects of NBSAP	No	$\boxtimes$
	implementation?	No information	

Objective 3.5	Parties and the Secretariat co- agreements dealing with natural collaborative approach to specie those which are commercially ex Aichi Target 2, Target 4, Target Target 19.	resourdes which xploited.	es, as a can be	ippropi endan	riate, in gered l	orde by uns	r to achieve a coh sustainable trade,	erent and including	
Indicator 3.5.	The number of cooperative action to prevent species from being uncertainty.							reements	
	s your country taken action under established bilateral or Itilateral agreements other than CITES to prevent species from ng unsustainably exploited through international trade? 'es', please provide details:						Yes No No information		
Indicator 3.5.2	2: The number of times other relevenatural resources are consulted								
t a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Average number of times per year that international organizations or agreements have been consulted by CITES Authorities  Management Authority(ies)  Enforcement Authority(ies)	Ouce	□ □ □ 2-5 times	☐ ☐ 6-20 times	☐ ☐ More than 20 times		Optional comment about which organizations and issues consulted on		
<u> </u>		neral fee	edback	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Please provid	de any additional comments you woul	d like to	make, i	ncludir	ng com	ment	s on this format.		
	Item								
Copy of full text of CITES-relevant legislation if changed Web link(s)				Enclosed					
Please list a		.g. fee s	chedule	s, awa	areness	raisi	ng materials, etc:		
your country requiring attention or assistance?						nform	_		
<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					nat is	requirea.		
Are there examples of good practice you would like to share with other Parties?					Yes No			<b></b>	

Thank you for completing the report. Please remember to include relevant attachments referred to in the report when it is submitted to the Secretariat.

How could this report format be improved? Clearer visible distinction between questions and answers. Some

If 'Yes' please provide details / links:

questions are not clear (BSP).

No Information